Helium isotopes in the ground fluids of the Baikal Rift and its surroundings: Contribution to continental rifting geodynamics

B. G. Polyak

Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

Abstract. The ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He} = R$ ratio was studied in underground fluids from 104 sites from the Baikal Rift Zone (BRZ) and adjacent areas in Russia and Mongolia. The *R*-values vary in a wide range from $R = 0.01 R_A$ (crustal radiogenic He) to $7.8 R_A$ (close to the MORB He), where $R_{\rm A}$ is the atmospheric ${}^{3}{\rm He}/{}^{4}{\rm He}=1.4\times10^{-6}$. The lowest R values distinguish CH₄-rich gases. More diverse R values were measured in N₂- and CO₂-rich fluids, and the latter show the highest R. The N_2/Ar ratios for the N_2 -rich gases are close to atmospheric values. The fN_2/fNe ratio value in CO₂- rich fluids indicates the excess (non-atmospheric) nitrogen. The comparison of the R values with He concentrations and predominate components of a fluid gas phase shows, that this phase is formed under the effects of solubility-controlled fractionation in gas-water system and gain/loss of chemically active gases within the crust. Gases of the pre-Riphean Siberian Platform have an average $R = 0.026R_A$ which is close to the "canonical" radiogenic crustal value. The distribution of the R values across the BRZ strike indicates a discharge of heat-mass flux from the mantle not only inside the BRZ as such, but much further to the east. The spectrum of R-values in the BRZ fluids is very wide: from $0.035R_{\rm A}$ to $7.8R_{\rm A}$, but there is a clear tendency to lowering of *R*-values at both sides with the distance from the Tunka depression considered as a "center of rifting". This trend correlates with both the heat flow density and the sizes of the rift depressions and demonstrates decreasing mantle-derived heat-mass flux to the margins of the rift zone. The comparison of BRZ data with those for other active continental rifts and mid-oceanic ridges suggests that the mechanisms of mantle-crust interaction during oceanic spreading and continental rifting are radically different.

1. Introduction

A relationship of continental rifting with the mantle activity is a generally accepted fact, yet the mechanism of this relationship still remains to be a matter of debate. The determination of the initial cause of the formation of continental rifts faces the same problem which was clearly formulated by

Copyright 2003 by the Russian Journal of Earth Sciences.

Paper number TJE03122. ISSN: 1681–1208 (online)

The online version of this paper was published 18 February 2003. URL: http://rjes.wdcb.ru/v05/tje03122/tje03122.htm

V. E. Khain for the development of the Central Asia mountain belt: "collision or mantle diapirism?" [*Khain*, 1990]. A new light is thrown on this problem by the data available for helium isotope composition in deep freely circulating fluids.

It is known that the ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He} = R \sim 10^{-4}$ ratio in the primordial helium accounting for about 23% of the Universe mass and entrapped by the Earth during the accretion is four orders of magnitude higher than in the helium formed in ordinary terrestrial rocks during radioactive U and Th decay (the conventional radiogenic value for the ancient Earth crust being $R_{\text{crust}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-8}$), whereas in the atmosphere this ratio has an intermediate value, $R_{\rm a} = 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ (see a review in [Mamyrin and Tolstikhin, 1981]. At the same time, many active volcanoes, terrestrial hot springs, and sea-floor hydrothermal fluids flowing at mid-oceanic ridges and other

objects show R values higher than in the atmosphere, namely $\sim 10^{-5}$, indicating that the mantle still contains primordial helium (see the reference mentioned above). Therefore, the isotope composition of helium is a highly sensitive indicator of the penetration of mantle derivatives into the upper layers of the lithosphere.

Mantle helium with R up to 1.2×10^{-5} was first discovered in the gases of the Kuril Island Arc [Mamyrin et al., 1969]. Almost simultaneously, its traces were discovered in the oceanic crust in the area of the Kermadek Trench [Clarke et al., 1969]. Thereafter, its searches began in tectonically active mobile structural features of other types, which resulted in finding R values as high as 3.5×10^{-5} in the hot springs of Iceland [Kononov et al., 1974] and 2.1×10^{-5} in the gases of the Hawaiian Kilauea Volcano [Craig and Lupton, 1976]. Later, it was found that the ratios as high as that mark "hot spots" which were interpreted by Morgan [1972] as hot plumes rising from the lower undepleted mantle, whereas in the upper mantle, or in MORB reservoirs, they are known to have the average values of $(1.15\pm0.1)\times10^{-5}$ [Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998]. Of great interest were also specific mobile structures such as continental rifts, the Baikal rift being the first object of He isotope studies, where the R values as high as 0.89×10^{-5} were measured [Lomonosov et al., 1976] in the gas from the thermomineral water flowing in the Tunka Basin, which after the correction for air contamination were found to be as high as 1.12×10^{-5} , these values being almost the same as those typical of the MORB reservoir.

These findings stimulated the further study of He isotopes in the gases of the Baikal Rift Zone (BRZ) itself and in the adjacent regions of Russia and Mongolia. Field fluid sampling operations were conducted there from 1975 to 1995, mainly by a team of researchers from the Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow), headed by the author of this paper and consisting of V. I. Kononov, S. V. Kozlovtseva, M. D. Khutorskoi, V. Yu. Lavrushin, and N. A. Lukina and by a team of researchers from the Institute of the Earth Crust, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences (Irkutsk), headed by E. V. Pinneker and consisting of B. I. Pisarskii, S. E. Pavlova, V. S. Lepin, and B. O. Shkandrii. Several samples were collected from thermal and minerals springs in the Trans-Baikal region (Zabaikalie) by N. E. Elmanova in the early 1970s. The mass-spectrometer determinations of the isotopic compositions of helium and other inert gases were carried out at the laboratories of the Ioffe Physicotechnical Institute, All-Union Petroleum Exploration Institute (both in St. Petersburg), and the Geological Institute, Kola Research Center, Russian Academy of Sciences (Apatity), with the participation of I. N. Tolstikhin, I. L. Kamenskii, E. M. Prasolov, B. A. Mamyrin, and L. V. Khabarin. Some results of these studies were published [Khutorskoi et al., 1991; Lavrushin et al., 1999; Lysak and Pisarskii, 1999; Pinneker et al., 1995; Polyak et al., 1992, 1994, 1998; Prasolov et al., 1984].

The aim of this paper is to summarize these results for creating the general pattern of He isotope distribution in various ground fluids of the region, clarifying He association with the geochemical specifics of this regions's fluids, and finding regularities in the R variations along and across the strike of the continental rift discussed.

2. Description of the Data and Grounds for their Interpretation

2.1. Types and Number of Samples

The results of all R determinations in the freely circulating fluids of the Baikal Rift Zone and adjacent areas are summarized here in the Table 1 (see below). The fluids of this type are good objects for the regional study of terrestrial helium in contrast to rocks and minerals, where the isotope composition of helium is highly variable because of differences in their origin, composition, and structure, all controlling their ability to keep the helium entrapped. Yet, in the long run, as has been long known [Gerling, 1957], helium emanates from them, so that the rocks always contain lower amounts of helium than could be expected for their age and contents of the source elements, such as U and Th, as well as Li, the irradiation of which by thermal neutrons produces ³He. In freely circulating fluids, to which helium passes from the rocks, its isotope composition is averaged in the natural way - in proportion with the contributions of all sources - and it becomes a quasiconstant regional characteristics of a given geological block in the hydraulically connected water-bearing systems. The conventional view of many researchers that helium is removed from the mantle by independent flows of volatile components is refuted by the correlation between the isotope compositions of atmophile helium and lithophile strontium, recorded in the products of recent volcanic and hydrothermal activity [Polyak et al., 1979a].

The fluids used in this study were mineral waters and hydrocarbons (in the regions of the Siberian Craton). Based on their temperatures, the waters are classified into thermal water (with the outflow temperature higher than the average annual air temperature) and cold water, and, based on the main component of the gas phase, into nitric, carbonate, and methane water. Nitric water with the temperature as high as 84°C [Lomonosov et al., 1977] occurs both in the platform area and in the Baikal Rift Zone, where it prevails, like in Mongolia. Yet, the Baikal Rift Zone also includes carbonic water in the Eastern part of the Tunka Basin, in the area of the manifestations of Quaternary volcanic activity. Here, the water flowing from the well-known Arshan Spring has a temperature of 8°C, whereas in the neighboring Well 39 its temperature is as high as 43° C at a depth of 750 m. Water of the same type prevails at the eastern flank of the zone (in Dauria and in the Khentey Kerulen Zone).

The temperature, flow rate, and composition of thermal mineral fluids vary in time in some springs. These changes seem to be provoked by geodynamic impulses. For instance, the temperature of water in the Zhemchug G-1 Well grew $5-10^{\circ}$ higher after the earthquake of 30.06.95 in the Tunka Basin with M = 7 [Lavrushin et al., 1999]. The 1995 testing of the East Baikalian Kopchagir Spring showed that the water flow had dropped compared with the period of 4–5 years before, the gas factor declined as well (the gas bubbling became sporadic compared to the previous steady and intensive flow). The chemical composition of emanating gas

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2 2 2 2 2 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								-	-								11 11 (110.5)
10 1.0 2.5 3.0 2.3 3.0 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	10 11 11 11 11 11 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 1													11 (110.		11 (110.		11 (110.5)
2.3 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.									\square								
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11.0 11.0													(110.				
		$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1.0\\ 1.1.0\\ 1.1.8\\ 1.$	2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1.0\\ 1.$	5 5	1.0 1.0 1.1.0 1.1.0 1.1.0 3.0.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1 3.1.0 3.1.1		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.2 1.0 1.10 1.10 1.10 2.55 2.18 3.50 3.50 3.50 2.10 2.12 2.12 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.12	$\left \begin{array}{c c} 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\$	220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\left \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2000 440 460 390 1500 11000 990 960	2000 440 460 390 1500 11000 990 990 990 15800	2000 4.1 440 1.0 460 1.1 330 2.2 1500 5.1 11000 3. 990 3. 950 2. 15800 4. 12500 4.	2000 440 1.1 440 1.1 460 1.1 1500 3.2 990 3.2 960 2. 15800 4. 15800 4. 10700 5. 20800 10	2000 440 110 440 110 460 111000 320 1500 320 320 990 320 990 320 15800 44 12500 44 10700 55 20800 10 50 50	2000 440 2000 440 440 10 1500 3.9 990 3.9 990 3.0 10700 4.0 20000 4.1 20000 5.2 20000 5.2 20000 5.2 20000 5.2	2000 440 110 440 110 440 1100 330 2200 11000 320 200 10700 44 11070 32 20800 10 20 20800 10 22 20800 10 22 20000 55 20000 57 20000 57 20000 57 20000 50 20000 50 20000000000	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\left \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\left \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2000 440 10 2000 1000 330 340 1500 330 350 340 11000 33170 341 350 20000 300 33170 341 1000 320000 332 340 200000 300 320 340 200000 300 320 340 200000 300 320 340 200000 300 320 340 200000 300 320 340 200000 300 320 340 300 300 320 340 300 300 35 340 300 300 32 340 300 300 35 340 300 300 35 340 300 300 340 340 300 340 340 340 300 340 340	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\left \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
(1920) (2500) (2800) (1920) (170) (170) (2460)	(2500) (2500) (2800) (1920) (790) (170) (530) (530) (2460) 1975 19.8 (900)	$\begin{array}{c} (120)\\(2500)\\(2800)\\(1920)\\(790)\\(170)\\(530)\\(2460)\\1975\\19.8\\(990)\\(740)\end{array}$	(2500) (2500) (2800) (790) (790) (170) (530) (530) (2460) (2460) (2460) (2460) (2460) (2460) (270) (740) (770)	$\begin{array}{c} (120)\\(2500)\\(2800)\\(1920)\\(790)\\(170)\\(530)\\(2460)\\(2460)\\(2460)\\(770)\\(770)\\(770)\\(310)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (12500)\\(2500)\\(2800)\\(1920)\\(790)\\(170)\\(170)\\(530)\\(530)\\(530)\\(770)\\(740)\\(740)\\(770)\\(770)\\(770)\\(310)\\$	$\begin{array}{c} (1400) \\ (2500) \\ (2800) \\ (1920) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (530) \\ (530) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (240) \\ (240) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (21$	$\begin{array}{c} (1400) \\ (2500) \\ (2800) \\ (790) \\ (790) \\ (170) \\ (530) \\ (530) \\ (530) \\ (530) \\ (170) \\ (540) \\ (740) \\ (740) \\ (740) \\ (770) \\ (710$	(2500) (2500) (1920) (790) (170) (530) (530) (530) (540) (740) (740) (740) (740) (770) (770) (770) (320) (770) (310) (320) (770) (320) (770) (320) (77	(2500) (2500) (1920) (790) (77	$\begin{array}{c} (1920)\\(2500)\\(2800)\\(790)\\(779)\\(770)\\(7$	(2500) (2500) (790) (790) (170) (530) (530) (530) (540) (740) (740) (770	$\begin{array}{c} (1700) \\ (2500) \\ (2800) \\ (790) \\ (790) \\ (770$	(2500) (2500) (790) (790) (770	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (1920)\\ (2500)\\ (790)\\ (790)\\ (770)\\ (770)\\ (740)\\ (740)\\ (770)\\ (7$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (170)\\ (2500)\\ (2800)\\ (1920)\\ (790)\\ (170)\\ (170)\\ (530)\\ (740)\\ (740)\\ (770)\\ ($	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (1920) \\ (2500) \\ (790) \\ (790) \\ (170) \\ (770) \\ (740) \\ (740) \\ (740) \\ (770) \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (1200)\\ (2500)\\ (790)\\ (790)\\ (770)\\ (7$	$\begin{array}{c c} \begin{array}{c} (100) \\ (2500) \\ (790) \\ (790) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (740) \\ (740) \\ (770) \\$
$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (790) \\ (171) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (170) \\ (170) \\ (171) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (79) \\ (79) \\ (79) \\ (77) \\ (79) \\ (74) \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (172) \\ (177) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (172) \\ (177) \\ (177) \\ (177) \\ (177) \\ (280) \\ (177) \\ (280) \\ (177) \\ (280) \\ (280) \\ (177) \\ (311) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (172) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (192) \\ (177) \\ (770) \\ (771) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} (280) \\ (177) \\$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
976 2280 976 450 976 430 976 1650 1 976 2400 3	7/6 2280 776 450 776 430 776 1650 1 776 2400 3 976 2400 3 95 776 700 1	976 2280 976 450 976 450 1 976 1650 1 976 2400 3 976 700 1 976 400	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7/6 2280 7/6 450 7/7 450 7/7 1650 7/7 2400 7/7 2400 7/7 700 7/7 400 7/6 118 7/6 60	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7/6 2280 7/6 450 7/6 450 7/6 1650 7/7 2400 9/7 700 7/7 400 7/7 118 7/7 60 7/6 60 7/7 118 7/7 60 7/7 118	7/6 2280 776 450 776 450 776 1650 976 700 176 400 776 400 776 118 776 118 776 118 776 118 776 118 776 118 776 122	176 2280 176 450 176 450 176 1650 176 2400 176 2400 176 400 176 400 176 118 176 118 176 118 176 118 176 118 176 370 152 370 3020 3020	376 2280 376 450 376 450 376 1650 376 1650 376 2400 376 400 376 118 376 118 376 118 376 118 376 118 376 118 370 118 370 276 370 270 370 2760	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	76 2280 776 450 776 450 776 1650 95 700 776 400 776 400 776 60 370 118 776 60 370 118 2600 370 2600 2600 2760 2200	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ea, hole <1976 a, hole R-1 <1976 area, hole R-3 <1976 5	area, hole <1976 aa, hole R-1 <1976 1	area, hole <1976 - 1976 1 area, hole R-1 <1976 1 sp. area, hole R-3 <1976 2 m (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 m (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 <1976	p. area, hole <1976 area, hole R-1 <1976 1 cosp. area, hole R-1 <1976 1 cosp. area, hole R-3 <1976 2 um (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 um (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 le <1976 age, hole $>$, hole 2, hole $>$, hole $>$, hole 2, hole <1976 de <1976 area, hole $>$, hol	p. area, hole <1976 area, hole R-1 <1976 1 rosp. area, hole R-3 <1976 1 rosp. area, hole R-3 <1976 2 um (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 le <1976 age, hole <1976 age, hole <1976 , hole <1976	sp. area, hole <1976 . area, hole R-1 <1976 1 rosp. area, hole R-3 <1976 ium (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 ium (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 lage, hole <1976 alge, hole <1976 e, hole <1976 s, hole <1976	, area, hole < 1976 area, hole R-1 < 1976 1 sp. area, hole R-3 < 1976 2 m (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 m (Irkutsk), hole 110 < 1976 ge, hole < 1076 < 1976 hole < 1976 hole < 1976 hole < 1976 hole < 1976 hole < 1976 hole hole < 1976 hole hole < 1076 hole hole < 1076	area, hole <1976 rea, hole $R-1$ <1976 p. area, hole $R-3$ <1976 p. area, hole 223 1995 n (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 s, hole <10 <1976 ole <1976 <1976 <td></td> <td>$area$, hole <1976</td> $area$, hole R-1 <1976		$area$, hole <1976	area, hole (-1976) $(-1$	area, hole (-1976) area, hole R-1 (-1976) an (Irkutsk), hole R-3 (-1976) n (Irkutsk), hole 110 (-1976) e, hole (-110) (-1976) e, hole (-1976) hole (-1976) profile, hole (-1976) and Arch (-1976) profile, hole (-1976) and (-1976) bole (-1976) and (-1976) and (-1976) bole (-1976) bole (-1976) and (-1976) bole (-1976) bo	area, hole (-1976) rea, hole $R-1$ (-1976) p. area, hole $R-3$ (-1976) 1 (Irkutsk), hole $R-3$ (-1976) 1 (Irkutsk), hole 110 (-1976) (-1976) (-1976) ole (-1976) ole (-1976) ole (-1976) and (-1976) ole (-1976)	$\begin{array}{c c} \operatorname{rrea}, \operatorname{hole} & <1976 \\ \operatorname{as}, \operatorname{hole} \operatorname{R-1} & <1976 \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} \operatorname{R-3} & <1976 \\ \operatorname{(Irkutsk)}, \operatorname{hole} 223 & 1995 \\ \operatorname{(Irkutsk)}, \operatorname{hole} 223 & 1995 \\ \operatorname{(Irkutsk)}, \operatorname{hole} 110 & <1976 \\ \operatorname{ofile}, \operatorname{hole} & <1976 \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{orsp.} \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{orsp.} \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{area}, \operatorname{hole} & \\ \operatorname{area},$	a, hole (-100) $(-$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	hole $(-1)^{-1}$ $(-1976)^{-1}$ $(-$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$_{\rm u}$, hole R-1 <1976 1650 13 (530 area, hole R-3 <1976 2400 35 (2460	a, hole R-1 <1976 1650 13 (530) . area, hole R-3 <1976 2400 35 (2460) (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1975 (Fibritsk) hole 110 <1976 700 16 (900	area, hole R-1 <1976 1650 13 (530 sp. area, hole R-3 <1976 2400 35 (2466 m (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1977 m (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 700 16 (990 <1976 400 (740	. area, hole $R-1$ <1976 1650 13 (530 rosp. area, hole $R-3$ <1976 2400 35 (2460 rosp. area, hole $R-3$ <1976 2400 15 (9460 um (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 700 16 (990 le <1976 400 (740 age, hole <1976 400 (740 age, hole <1976 118 (770 s. hole <1976 118 (770 s. hole <1076 118 (770 s. hole <1076 s. hole <108 s. hole <108 s. hole <1076 s. hole <108 s. hole	. area, hole R-1 <1976 1650 13 (530 rosp. area, hole R-3 <1976 2460 35 (2466 um (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1977 um (Irkutsk), hole 110 <1976 700 16 (990 le <1976 400 (740 sge, hole <1976 400 (740 sge, hole <1976 118 (770 s, hole <1976 108 (320 s, hole <1976 60 (310 s, hole <1976 60 (310 s) hole <1976 50 (310 s) hole <1976 50 (310 s) hole <1076 50 (310 s) hole <1006 50 (310 s) hole <1006 50 (310 s) hole <1006 50 (310 s) hole $<$. area, hole R-1 < <1976 1650 13 (530 rosp. area, hole R-3 < 1976 2460 35 (2466 ium (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1977 ium (Irkutsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 (990 ble < <1976 400 (740 (740 <1976 400 (740 <230 ble < <1976 400 (740 <100 <220 ble < <1976 400 (740 <220 ble < <1976 118 (770 <200 ble < <1976 118 (770 <200 ble <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100	area, hole R-1 < 1976 1650 13 (530 area, hole R-1 < 1976 1650 13 (530 m (Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1977 m (Irkutsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 (990 e < 1976 400 $(740$ (740) ge, hole < 1976 400 (770) hole < 1976 118 (770) hole < 1976 118 (770) hole < 1976 60 (320) hole < 1976 60 (310) profile, hole < 152 440 profile, hole < 152 440	rea, hole $R-1$ <1976	rea, hole $R-1$ <1976	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	area, hole $R-1$ <1976	wrea, hole R_{-1} <1976	rea, hole $R-1$ <1976	as, hole R-1 < 1976 1650 13 530 . area, hole R-3 < 1976 2400 35 (246) (Irkutsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 990 (Irkutsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 990 hole < 1976 700 16 990 hole < 1976 400 (770) (770) hole < 1976 118 (770) hole < 1976 60 (310) (770) ofile, hole < 1976 60 (310) (770) le < 1976 60 (310) (770) ofile, hole < 1520 370 2500 350 ofile 152 370 2500 350 t, hole 3020 2000 350 350 t, hole 3020 2500 350 350 orgen area, hole 2500 3300 2500 offere 2500 2600	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ole R-1 < 1976 1650 13 (530 as, hole R-3 < 1976 2400 35 (246) utsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 (990 utsk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 (990 $< < 1976$ 400 6 (770 (740 (770 $< < 1976$ < 118 (770 (770 (310 (770 $< < 1976$ < 118 (770 (310 (770 (310 (770 $< < 1976$ < 118 (770 (310 (310 (310 (770 (310 (770 (310 (770	a, hole R-1 < 1976 1650 13 (530 a, hole R-3 < 1976 2400 35 (246) tisk), hole 110 < 1976 700 16 (990 $< < 1976$ < 1076 < 400 770 16 (990 $< < 1976$ < 1076 < 100 (740 (770 $< < < 1976$ < 118 (770 (770 (770 $< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	(Irkutsk), hole 223 1995 15 1975 19.8 1; (Irhutsk) hole 110 -1976 700 16 (900) 11	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	artsk), hole 22319951519751981 $tisk)$, hole 110 <1976 70016(990)1 < -1976 400(740)1 $< <1976$ 410(770)20 $< <1976$ 60(310)20 $< <1976$ 60(310)20 $< <1976$ 1523702500020 $< <1976$ 60(310)20 $< <1976$ 60(310)20 $< <1976$ 15237025000 2150 37025000350 2150 25000 1550 $area, hole26001550area, hole26001550area, hole27003300area, hole2700350area, hole31501540area, hole31501540area, hole31501540area, hole31501540area, hole3160350area, h$	sk), hole 223 1995 15 1975 1978 13 sk), hole 110 <1976 700 16 (990) 11 <1976 400 (740) 11 <1976 400 (320) 10 <1976 60 (310) 20 370 25000 20 hole 25000 150 25000 20 hole 25000 1550 1550 rea, hole 25000 1550 1550 area, hole 25000 1550 1550 hole 2700 950 1550 hole 2700 950 1550 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250 hole 1180 4250	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1. Inert gases from subsurface fluids of the Baikal-Mongolian region

led
tinu
Con
÷
le
ab

	14			l, 4 l, 4		5, 6 5, 6		6 5, 6	>		6		-		1	x	1	1		9 и 0	0		1, 3			, 10		1 9	000
	3							$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\ \end{bmatrix}$	5)		$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}$		۲,	1 0	10	12	12	12	12	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2 \end{array} \right]$	(7,		[2]	2)	[$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 1	$\binom{1}{2}$	2)	à
	1			^z Z		ZZ		LASS)		42 42				Z	Z	Z	2	Z	22			Z	<u> </u>	43	43	48	42	<u>'</u>
	12										30																		
	11										(279)					47.06													
	10			9.8 4.9		$5.0 \\ 17.0$		18.0 18.0 0.0	0.0		18.0 50.0		45.0	15.0	19.0	18	5.0	18.0	40.0	72.0 8.0	20.0		41.0	42.0	55.0 22.0	56.U	45.0	85.0 100.0	22.0 24.0
	6			12000 16000									17000	14200	12000		17800	17600	14000		8740					10500	0	1900	$3580 \\ 9500$
	x										9.66																		
	7			(14200) (22000)							2450		(190)	(280)	(720)		(4100)	(100)	(200)		(160)		(820)	(ne)	(629)	(1050)	(290)	(320)	(80) (80)
	9			42									45	36	46	46	64	26	46				54	24		54_{0-1}	$\frac{27}{2}$.57	
	5			0 0		0 0		0 0	þ		0 0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	920		0	Ð	0	0			$735 \\ 675$
	4			$\begin{array}{c} 1984 \\ 1984 \end{array}$							1984		<1976	1975	1975	1994	1975	1975	1975		1982		1972	6/6T	1976	1984	1975	1984	$\begin{array}{c} 1982\\ 1982 \end{array}$
	ç	BAIKAL-HOVSGOL RIFT ZONE	Verkhne-Chara Basin	Verkhne-Charskiy Spr., spring # 2, bath Luktur Spr.	Udokan Range	Plotinnyi Spr. Travertin Spr.	North-Muya Range	Itykit Spr. North Muya tunnel addit	Unusuation opt. IImmer Angara Basin	CPPOT TINGUE TOUR	Dzhelinda Spr. Irkana Spr.	Northern Baikal Basin	Davshinskiv Snr	Frolikhinskiv Spr.	Khakusskiy Spr.	Khakusskiy Spr.	Kotel'nikovskiy Spr.	Kulinnykh Bolot Spr.	Zmeinyy Spr.	Gniloi Spr. Selnochnin Sm	Northern Basin of Lake Baikal, lake water	Middle Baikal Basin	Goryachinskiy Spr.	Goryachinskiy Spr.	Goryachinskiy Spr.	Goryachinskiy Spr.	Sykhaya Zagza th. area	Sykhaya Zagza th. area Sykhaya Zagza th. area, hole	Middle Basin of Lake Baikal, lake water Middle Basin of Lake Baikal, lake water
Continued	2			$\sim 118^{\circ}15'$ $\sim 118^{\circ}12'$		$116^{\circ}53'$ $117^{\circ}03'$		113°38′ 113°35′ 113°41′	TF OIT		$110^{\circ}33'$ $111^{\circ}12'$		$109^{\circ}31'$	$109^{\circ}57'$	$109^{\circ}57'$	$109^{\circ}57'$	$109^{\circ}04'$	$108^{\circ}59'$	$109^{\circ}00'$	100°22′ 100°05′	00 001		108°18′ 108°18′	108 18	$108^{\circ}18'$	$108^{\circ}18'$	$107^{\circ}14'$	$107^{\circ}14'$ $107^{\circ}14'$	- - -
Table 1.	1			$\sim 56^{\circ}54'$ $\sim 56^{\circ}51'$		$56^{\circ}15'$ $56^{\circ}15'$		56°16' 56°11' 56°06'	00.00		55°57′ 55°51′		54°22'	55°30′	$55^{\circ}24'$	$55^{\circ}24'$	$55^{\circ}03'$	$53^{\circ}40'$	$53^{\circ}45'$	53°37' 55°19'	75 00		52°58' 70070'	20.20	52°58'	52°58'	$52^{\circ}31'$	$52^{\circ}31'$ $52^{\circ}31'$	

POLYAK: HELIUM ISOTOPES IN THE GROUND FLUIDS OF THE BAIKAL RIFT

Continued	
Η.	
Table	

14		000		11	1	2	9	9	1	2, 7	2	11	1	2	5	11		12	13	-	1	1	1	1	13	1		9	1	1		-	ល	1	ŋ	9
13		(N_2)		CH_4	CH_4	CO_2			CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	N_2	N_2		N,	(N.)	\mathbf{N}_{2}^{2}	$ m N_2$	N_2	N_2	(N_2)				N_2	N_2	N_2		N_2	N_2	N_2	(N_2)	(N_2)
12				410		322.5			307.5	303		315		315.6		300				296	296	300	296													
11						(742)	~		(315)	(162)				(614.5)						(1.27)	(5.04)	(0.5)	(0.496)	[7.8]		[6.4]										
10		$300.0 \\ 102.0 \\ 115.0$		890.0	850.0	800.0	620.0	825.0	994.0	860.0	1100.0	800.0	1000.0	983.5	214.0	280.0		46.0	46.0	30.0	56.0	50.0	142.0	74.0	290.0	296.0		81.0	61.0	30.0		34.0	25.0	16.0	34.0	25.0
6		$8980 \\ 9340$		3108	2400	809			4010		2300	4213	770	180		10033													15600	12000		15000		14000		
×						0.64			4.1	3.43				0.15						19.4	19.3	19.2	18.9	23		22										
7		(50) (50)		2420	2600	430			1176	506	(210)	50	(14)	83		30		5740		23	06	6	6	180	140				(1500)	(1200)				(4300)		
9				38	38	54			9.5	x	x	21	43	43		41		47		9	4	0.2	က	5	2	2.8			55	46		48		53		
ъ		$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1200\\ 1260 \end{array}$							0	0	0							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
4		$1982 \\ 1982$		1973	1985	1995			1995	1983	1975	1973	1985	1995		1973		1982		1982	1982	1988	1982	1982	07.1973	1982			$<\!1976$	<1976		<1976		$<\!1976$		
ŝ	Southern Baikal Basin	Sviatoi Kliuch Spr. Southern Basin of Lake Baikal, lake water Southern Basin of Lake Baikal, lake water	Tunka Basin	Zhemchug prosp.area, hole R-1	Zhemchug prosp.area, hole R-1	Zhemchug prosp.area, hole G1	Zhemchug prosp.area, hole 2	Zhemchug prosp.area, hole1	Arshan Resort, Glaznoy Spr.	Arshan Resort, Glaznoy Spr.	Arshan Resort, Glaznoy Spr.	Arshan Resort, hole 26	Arshan Resort, hole 28	Arshan Resort, hole 39	Nilova Pustyn' Resort, hole	Nilova Pustyn' Resort, hole 1	Hovsgol Basin	Bulnav Spr.	Bulnav Spr.	Chzhilge Spr.	Delger-Bulag Spr.	Naran-Bulag Spr.	Oboni Spr.	Bilyutyyn Spr.	Ulkhan Spr.	Ulkhan Spr.	Barguzin Basin	Allinskiy Spr.	Gusikhinskiy Spr.	Umkheyskiy Spr.	Baunt Basin	Bauntovskiy Spr.	Bauntovskiy Spr.	Busanskiy Spr.	Frantsevo Spr.	Mogoi Spr.
2		105°50'		$102^{\circ}23'$	$102^{\circ}23'$	$102^{\circ}23'$	$102^{\circ}23'$	$102^{\circ}23'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$102^{\circ}28'$	$101^{\circ}41'$	$101^{\circ}41'$		$100^{\circ}48.2'$	$100^\circ 48.2'$	$100^{\circ}59.8'$	$100^\circ 25.2'$	$100^{\circ}17.5'$	$100^{\circ}48'$	$100^{\circ}36'$	$100^{\circ}2.9'$	$100^{\circ}2.9'$		$110^{\circ}42'$	$109^{\circ}25'$	$111^{\circ}07'$		$112^{\circ}59'$	$112^{\circ}59'$	$113^{\circ}30'$	$113^{\circ}50'$	$113^{\circ}35'$
1		51°45′		51°37'	$51^{\circ}37'$	$51^{\circ}37'$	$51^{\circ}37'$	$51^{\circ}37'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}54'$	$51^{\circ}41'$	$51^{\circ}41'$		$50^{\circ}46.5'$	$50^{\circ}46.5'$	$51^{\circ}20'$	$51^{\circ}32.7'$	$49^{\circ}25.5'$	$51^{\circ}33$	$51^\circ 36.8'$	$50^{\circ}4.2'$	$50^{\circ}4.2'$		$54^{\circ}42'$	$53^{\circ}26'$	$54^{\circ}59'$		55°07'	$55^{\circ}07'$	$55^{\circ}22'$	$55^{\circ}31'$	$55^{\circ}25'$

				_																																		
14		2	2, 14	2	2, 14	2	2	2, 14	2, 14	2	2	2	2, 14		12	12	13	12	13	12	12, 15	12	13	13		12	13	12	13	13	12	13	12	13	12	13	12	13
13		CH_4	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	(CO_2)	N_2	N_2		CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	N_2	N_2	N_2				N_2	(N_2)	N_2	N_2	(N_2)	N_2	N_2	N_2	N_2	N_2	N_2	N_2	N_2
12		298.3		299.5		303.5	305.4			305.7	296.5	295.7			292						296					299											299	
11		(412)		(31)		(69)	(119)			(244)	(3)	(54)			(86)						(0.41)	[10.0]				(86)											(333)	
10		4.4	79.0	206.0	185.0	187.0	214.0	110.0	50.0	95.0	141.0	7.4	47.0		120.0	110.0	110.0	190.0	190.0	13.0	58.0	27.0	65.0	130.0		6.0	18.0	12.0	12.0	21.0	26.0	26.0	11.0	11.0	13.0	11.0	34.0	30.0
6		6970	500	2.28		461	334			195	111	11200	009																									
x		9.9		1.7		0.33	0.2			0.2	0.1	21			2.56						19.2	24				13.3											12.5	
2		3720	320	47	190	21	22		(180)	45	0.3	1029	(1400)		230	$<\!20$		$<\!30$		2370	7	240				1200		0026			5490		2400		7220		3800	
9		c,	2.4	3.5	0.2	4	4	2		4	5 C	19.5	17.5		10	2^{-5}	3.5	4	1.5	10	00	1	×	3.4		41 - 54	46.5	44	45	52	86	35	35	45	44	55	51	51 - 56
S		0		0		0	0				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4		1995	1984	1995	1972	1995	1995	1970	1972	1995	1995	1995	1984		1983	1982	06.04.1989	1982	21.08.1989	1982	1988	1982	24.06.1990	22.06.1990		1988	14.07.1990	1982	16.07.1989	15.07.1090	1982	11.08.1978	1982	13.08.1978	1982	16.04.1990	1983	23.06.1990
ņ	TRANSBAIKALIA (DAURIYA)	Angi-Arshan Spr.	Darasun Resort, hole $6/56$	Kapchagir Spr.	Kuka Resort, hole 41	Moduy Spr.	Poperechny Spr.	Urguchan Resort	Yamarovka Resort	Yamarovka Resort, hole 15	Mergitayka Spr.	Kunaley Spr.	Yamkun Resort, spring	HENTIYN NURUU RANGE	Dashi-Chingiyn Spr.	Orgil Spr.	Orgil Spr.	Urta Spr.	Urta Spr.	Dundyn-Amralt Spr.	Minzhur Spr.	Yargach Spr.	Avrag Spr.	Tal-Bulag Spr.	HANGAYN NURUU RANGE	Bogdo-Ula Spr.	Bor-Tal Spr.	Chulutu Spr.	Chulutu Spr.	Gialgar Spr	Khalun-Us Spr.	Khalun-Us Spr.	Khodzhulin Spr.	Khodzhulin Spr.	Khudzhirte Spr.	Khudzhirte Spr.	Khul'dzhi Spr.	Khul'dzhi Spr. (Saikhan-Khul'dzh)
2		$110^{\circ}58'$	$113^{\circ}48'$	$110^{\circ}58'$	$112^{\circ}58'$	$110^{\circ}04'$	$110^{\circ}29'$	$116^{\circ}40'$	$110^{\circ}15'$	$110^{\circ}15'$	$110^{\circ}54'$	$110^{\circ}04'$	$118^{\circ}28'$		$110^{\circ}04'$	$100^\circ 55.5'$	$100^{\circ}55.5'$	$109^{\circ}24.3'$	$109^{\circ}24.3'$	$109^{\circ}21'$	$115^\circ 10'$	$110^{\circ}6.2'$	$109^{\circ}06'$	$112^{\circ}44'$		$97^{\circ}34'$	$100^{\circ}26'$	$100^\circ 14.7'$	$100^{\circ}14.7'$	$101^{\circ}00'$	$101^{\circ}36'$	$101^{\circ}36'$	$98^{\circ}22.2'$	$98^{\circ}22.2'$	$102^{\circ}46'$	$102^{\circ}46'$	$102^{\circ}59'$	$102^{\circ}37'$
1		$50^{\circ}19'$	$51^{\circ}11'$	$50^{\circ}42'$	$51^{\circ}45'$	$50^{\circ}39'$	$50^{\circ}38'$	$51^{\circ}50'$	$50^{\circ}38'$	$50^{\circ}38'$	$50^{\circ}34'$	$50^{\circ}15'$	$51^{\circ}30'$		$47^{\circ}20'$	$47^{\circ}54.1'$	$47^{\circ}54.1'$	$47^{\circ}33.5'$	$47^{\circ}33.5'$	$46^{\circ}11.5'$	$48^{\circ}45'$	$47^{\circ}49.9'$	$47^{\circ}06'$	$47^{\circ}03'$		$47^{\circ}48'$	$46^{\circ}45'$	$47^{\circ}45.3'$	$47^{\circ}45.3'$	$47^{\circ}05'$	$47^{\circ}24'$	$47^{\circ}24'$	$48^{\circ}20'$	$48^{\circ}20'$	$46^{\circ}53.8'$	$46^{\circ}53.8'$	$48^{\circ}15'$	$48^{\circ}39'$

Table 1. Continued

POLYAK: HELIUM ISOTOPES IN THE GROUND FLUIDS OF THE BAIKAL RIFT

ued
ntin
ů
÷
le
ab
Ĥ

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ANGAYN NURUU RANGE	alzhut Spr. 1983 0 90 1740 14.5 36.0 (131) 294 N ₂ 12	rtu Spr. 1982 0 60 3740 14.2 9.7 (290) 301 N ₂ 12	rtu Spr. 16.07.1990 0 59 8.4 N2 13	nt Spr. $0 42.0 (N_2) 5, 6$	m-Sumeit Spr. $12.07.1990$ 0 69 14.0 (N_2) 13	char $14.07.1990$ 85.5 15.0 (N_2) 13	tot Spr. 12.4 (227) 298 0 20.5 3170 15.3 12.4 (227) 298 N ₂ 12	n-Chalun Spr. 1982 0 38 1010 7.05 4.9 (158) 297 N ₂ 12	NGOLIAN ALTAY RANGE	lirt Spr. 1988 0 26 800 17.5 10.1 (50.2) 305 N ₂ 12	GOBI DESERT	hantu Spr. 1983 0 10 252 6.6 46.0 (42.2) 293 CO ₂ 12
3	HANGAYN NURUU RANGI	Shargalzhut Spr.	Shibertu Spr.	Shibertu Spr.	Toshint Spr.	Tsagan-Sumeit Spr.	Tsankhar	Tsokhot Spr.	Zartyn-Chalun Spr.	MONGOLIAN ALTAY RANG	Chikhirt Spr.	GOBI DESERT	Ara-Shantu Spr.
2		$101^{\circ}13'$	$101^{\circ}32'$	$101^{\circ}32'$	$98^{\circ}19'$	$102^{\circ}02'$		$90^{\circ}36'$	$98^{\circ}47'$				$106^{\circ}43'$
1		$46^{\circ}20'$	$47^{\circ}39'$	$47^{\circ}39'$	$49^{\circ}15'$	$47^{\circ}02'$		$47^{\circ}13'$	$48^{\circ}21.1'$				45°44′

changed too. A sample collected in 1995 showed the concentrations of CO₂, N₂, and Ar to be 74.5, 25.3, and 2.3 tot.%, respectively [Lavrushin et al., 1999], compared to the respective estimates of 1947 reported by Karaseva [1980]: 91.4, 6.9, and 1.3 tot.%. It appears that the growth of N_2 and Ar concentrations in the gas phase reflects a decline of their contents in the total mass of the fluid, rather than their growth in the total fluid and also in the spontaneously

emanating carbon dioxide. Most of the studied samples were free gases emanating from the fluids as they were discharged onto the ground surface by way of natural springs and boreholes. Researchers from the Institute of the Earth Crust, Russian Academy of Sciences, also collected samples of gases dissolved in water [Pinneker et al., 1995b]. Some of the samples collected from the Siberian Craton sites adjacent to the Baikal Rift Zone were gasses dissolved in oil. The sampling procedures were described in the papers cited above. The technique of the mass spectrometer analysis was described in the above and other publications [Kamenskii et al., 1976, 1990; Tolstikhin et al., 1991]. For this reason we omit their description here. The total number of the samples analyzed was 139, collected in 104 different sites of the study region.

2.2. Introduction of Corrections into the Results of Measurements

The samples collected by the workers of the Geological Institute (Moscow) were used to determine R values, He, Ne, and Ar concentrations, the isotope compositions of the latter, and the ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios. This allowed them to take into account the contamination of deep gases by the air, that is, to determine the content of atmospheric helium in the sample and introduce a respective correction into the results of the measurements (denoted below by the index "meas"). The true R value in the deep gases, $R_{\rm cor}$, was found from the relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\rm cor} &= \{R_{\rm meas}({}^{4}{\rm He}/{}^{20}{\rm Ne})_{\rm meas} - R_{\rm atm}({}^{4}{\rm He}/{}^{20}{\rm Ne})_{\rm atm}\} / \\ & \{({}^{4}{\rm He}/{}^{20}{\rm Ne})_{\rm meas} - ({}^{4}{\rm He}/{}^{20}{\rm Ne})_{\rm atm}\} \end{aligned}$$

on the assumption that all neon in the sample is atmospheric. This is the most accurate correction, because the similarity of He and Ne solubility precludes their substantial fractionation during the separation of fluid as a free gas phase. Where the ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}$ Ne was not measured in the sample, yet the concentration and isotope composition of argon were determined, Ar_{sam} and $({}^{40}Ar/{}^{36}Ar)_{meas}$, respectively, then, following *Prasolov* [1990], and using the well known atmospheric value of the ratio $({}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{36}\text{Ar})_{\rm atm} = 295.6$, the content of ⁴⁰Ar in the air was computed:

$$\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{atm}} \approx \{({}^{40}\mathrm{Ar}/{}^{36}\mathrm{Ar})_{\mathrm{atm}}/({}^{40}\mathrm{Ar}/{}^{36}\mathrm{Ar})_{\mathrm{meas}}\} \times \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{sam}},$$

this allowed the analysts to find the content of the air helium and introduce a respective correction which was less reliable because of different solubilities of Ar and He.



Figure 1. Variations of the ³He/⁴He ration in the ground fluids with time. Sampling sites: (1) Angara Health Resort area, hole (Irkutsk amphitheater); (2) Shibertu Spring (Khangai), (3) Chulutu Spring (Khangai); (4) Khudzhirte Spring (Khangai); (5) Khakusskii Spring (North Baikal Basin); (6) Khalun-Us Spring (Khangai); (7) Khuldzhi Spring (Khangai); (8) Bulnai Spring (Khubsugul Basin); (9) Goryachinskii Spring (Middle Baikal Basin); (10) Sukhaya Zagza area, hole (Middle Baikal Basin): Khudzhirte Spring (Khangai); (11) Yamarovka Health Resort area, Hole 110 (Trans Baikal area); (12) Orgyl Spring (Khentei Kerulen Zone); (13) Urta Spring (Khentei Kerulen Zone); (14) Ulkhen Spring (Khubsugul Basin), (15) Zhemchug area, Holes R-1 and G-1 (Tunka Basin); (16) Arshan Resort Area, Holes 26, 28, 39, and Glaznoi Spring (Tunka Basin).

In the general case, the value of the correction depends on the purity of the sample, because its contamination by the atmospheric component may result not only from the natural mixing of the abyssal fluid with air-saturated meteoric water, and from the carelessness of the person who collected the sample, but also from the content of helium in the sample, which can be significant even where its content is low. In most of the samples used to determine the parameters necessary for the introduction of a correction its value was low. Having determined the R value in the deep gas, $R_{\rm cor}$, and using its values prescribed to the crust and mantle ($R_{\rm crust}$ and $R_{\rm mantle}$, respectively), one can estimate the contribution of the mantle component, He_m in the total amount of helium in the sample, and He_{sam}, using the relationship:

$$He_m/He_{sam} = (R_{cor} - R_{crust})/$$
$$(R_{man} - R_{crust}) \approx (R_{cor} - R_{crust})/R_{man}.$$

The comparison of the He isotope data with the other compositional features of the fluids under study opens up new possibilities for specifying their genesis. This approach, which in its strict form consists in normalizing the contents of a given component in terms of ³He concentration, was offered by I. N. Tolstikhin at the early stage of this research [see *Polyak et al.*, 1976] and is now rather popular,

see, for example, [Jenden et al., 1988; Prasolov and Tolstikhin, 1987]. For this reason, in order to use these data in the geochemical aspect, the Table 1 presented here lists the predominant components of the gas phase from the fluid sites investigated, which had been reported earlier [Balabanov and Disler, 1968; Ivanov, 1969, 1974; Karaseva, 1980; Lomonosov, 1974; Lomonosov et al., 1977; Tkachuk and Tolstikhin, 1962; Tkachuk et al., 1957], as well as our data. Yet, in order to find any patterns in the lateral variations of He isotope composition, in addition to introducing corrections for the air contamination in the resulting measurements, two more conditions should be fulfilled.

2.3. Interpretation Premises

First, we have to clarify what is the range of the R value variation in time. In the case of its substantial variations in time, as can be expected in the dynamic systems such as ground fluids (see above), regional differences may happen to be illusory. It is natural that observations cannot be made at all sites. Yet, as follows from the data given in the table, the repeated measurements carried out at some sampling sites during more than 20 years showed a kind of stability, that is, the absence of any pulsation of the He isotope ratios



Figure 2. ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ variations in ground fluids as a function of depth. One can see the structural and tectonic affiliation of the sampling sites and the predominant gas phase (see the legend in the figure).

almost everywhere for any R values (Figure 1). It is worth noting that at most of these sites samples were collected by different people and were analyzed in different laboratories. Therefore the data available support the idea that the results obtained at different sites during different periods of time can be compared to get the idea of the real regional patterns in the R value distribution.

Secondly, we have to clarify for the same purpose the variation range of the He isotope ratio in the fluids distributed in the vertical sections of the study areas. This is the general condition of the objective analysis of the lateral variations of any geochemical or geophysical parameter, assigned to particular geographical sites. This condition is especially important in heat flow mapping. Naturally, in our case this condition was satisfied only in areas where holes had been drilled. As regards the region of the Baikal Rift Zone, these areas include the Tunka Basin and the surrounding areas of the Siberian Craton. As follows from the data available (see the Table 1), in the craton areas the R value is equally low in the gases of the surface springs and in various intervals of a 3-km section, irrespective of a component prevailing in the gas composition. In the east of the Tunka Basin of the Baikal Rift Zone, this ratio is also identical in the springs and subsurface water-bearing layers, being independent of the total gas composition, yet being three orders of magnitude higher than in the cratonic regions (Figure 2). It can be stated, therefore, that the areas where wells have been drilled do not show any systematic vertical differences in the He isotope ratio, so that the combined analysis of the R data obtained for the samples from different wells and springs (the latter accounting for the bulk of the data) looks justified.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of the sites where ground fluids were collected for helium isotope studies in South Siberia and in the adjacent areas of Mongolia.

3. Helium Isotopes and the Specifics of Underground Gases

3.1. Relationship Between the Isotope Composition and the Concentration of Helium

Both the isotope composition of He and its concentration were found to be highly variable in the fluids examined in this study. As far as the gases of the Siberian Craton are concerned, almost all R values fit in the very narrow range of $(1.0-6.5)\times 10^{-8}$, almost coinciding with the standard radiogenic value of 2×10^{-8} . It has been found by some special studies [Loosli et al., 1995; Tolstikhin et al., 1996, 1999] that slightly higher R values may be caused by the higher Li concentrations in the rocks or by the long preservation of ³He in some chemical precipitates. The R values vary wider from 4.4×10^{-8} to 2.14×10^{-6} at the eastern flank of the Baikal Rift Zone, namely in the Khentei Kerulen zone of Mongolia, reactivated in the Mesozoic Cenozoic period of time, and in its continuation in the East Baikal region. At the same time the gases of the rift zone show a highly wide R variation range, embracing three orders of magnitude: 4.9×10^{-8} to 1.1×10^{-5}

Also highly variable is the concentration of helium in the gases of the study area: in most cases it varies from 7 to 9700 ppm. It amounts to 22000–27000 ppm in the gases of the Upper Chara Basin and in two areas of the Siberian Craton, being as low as 0.28 ppm in the Mergitaika Spring in the East Baikal area. All of these data are plotted in Figure 4.

The data points plotted in this figure show a negative correlation between the R value and the helium concentration in the gas phase of the fluids. This correlation is statistically



Figure 3. Results of the study of He isotope composition in the ground fluids of the Baikal-Mongolian region. The sites where the ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ ratio was measured repeatedly or in different objects, coinciding spatially in terms of the map scale, show the average values of the ratio depicted using respective symbols (see the legend in the figure). F–G and A–E are the lines of the profiles (see Figures 7 and 8, respectively).

true both in terms of the whole data set, irrespective of the seemingly anomalous data from the Mergitaika Spring (the extreme left data point in Figure 4), and in the smaller data sample including the data for the Baikal Rift Zone (including those for the Tunka Basin) and for its eastern flank. The correlation of this kind has been reported from many areas of young volcanism, for example, in the Trans-Mexican volcanic belt [*Polyak et al.*, 1982], in the Rhine Graben [*Griesshaber et al.*, 1989], and in the North Caucasus region [*Polyak et et al.*, 1989], and in the North Caucasus region [*Polyak et al.*, 1989].

al., 1998]. This trend suggests the idea of the mixing of two end members: the mantle material with high R and low He concentration and the crustal material enriched in He with low R. However, the real situation in much more complex, because the He concentration in the mantle gas is not a vanishingly small value, being estimated in MORB reservoirs as 97 ± 30 ppm with $R_{\rm man} = 1.15 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\rm CO_2/^3He =$ $(0.9\pm0.2)\times10^9$ [Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998]. This is why the curve, plotted for the mixing of two reservoirs (inclined



Figure 4. A relationship between of isotope compositions a concentrations of helium in the gas phases of the fluids. The predominant component of the gas phase is shown using a special symbol (see the legend in the figure). Where direct data were not available, the gases of the hydrocarbon pools of the Siberian Craton were ranked as methanic, and the waters of the West Baikal region, and nitric. The figure shows the fields characterizing the compared parameters in the ancient continental crust ("CRUST") and in the basalts of mid-oceanic ridges ("MORB") and the lines of the mixing of the gases from these reservoirs (inclined shaded band). The gently dipping black line crossing this band shows the statistically true relationship trend between the parameters compared in the combined data sample characterizing the Baikal Rift Zone and its eastern flank ($|r| = 0.376 > 0.26 = r_{0.05}^{\text{sign}}$ for n = 57). The exclusion of the data for the eastern part of the Tunka Basin and for the Mergitaika Spring (extreme left point in the plot) yielded $|r| = 0.648 \gg 0.29 = r_{0.05}^{\text{sign}}$ for n = 46. The total data set (n = 101) also showed significant correlation ($|r| = 0.476 \gg 0.196 = r_{0.05}^{\text{sign}}$). The horizontal arrows in the upper part of the plot characterize variations in He concentration under the effect of intracrustal processes (see the text for the explanation).

band in Figure 4) on the assumption that the crustal end member is represented by helium with the canonical radiogenic $R_{\rm crust} = 2 \times 10^{-8}$ without any admixture of other gases passes to the left from the line of the statistical trend. It is evident that the vertical scatter of the data points in Figure 4 reflects the mixing of the mantle and crust helium. As regards the horizontal scatter (deviations from the mixing line of two final members), it may be caused by two factors.

One of them is the fractionation of helium and other gases in the gas water system because of their different solubilities. The shift of the data points to the left from the mixing line suggests the enrichment of the gas phase in poorly soluble helium. This process takes place as a result of both fluid degassing and the incomplete dissolution of gases generated in the crust (or rising from the mantle) in the fluids. The shifting of the data points to the left stems from the fact that the fluids under study had experienced partial degassing earlier and lost some amounts of helium.

This seems to account for the scatter of the data characterizing the eastern part of the Tunka Basin. The R values in these samples are almost equally close to the mantle level, whereas the He concentrations in them vary over the range of two orders of magnitude. Earlier (see Figure 1 in [Kononov et al., 1974]), a very similar subhorizontal trend, though with a higher level of the R values, reflecting the addition of helium by a plume from the undepleted mantle, was reported from Iceland (see Figure 1 in [Kononov et al., 1974]).

Another possible factor responsible for the horizontal dispersion of data points is the variation of the concentration of the major chemically active components of the gas phase, generated or expended in the crust (in particular, as a result of carbonate formation/decomposition). In the former case, where these components are added to the fluid composition (with the absolute content of helium in the fluid remaining the same), the respective data points lie to the left of the mixing line, in the latter, to the right.

The general scatter of the data points in Figure 4 reflects the diverse contributions of the phenomena discussed into the formation of underground gases.

3.2. Helium Isotopes and the Formation of Underground Fluids

The comparison of the isotope characteristics of helium with its concentrations in the fluids of different geochemical types helps to specify the conditions of their formation. Figure 4 shows that a certain relationship exists between the He isotope characteristics of fluids and the composition of the main components of their gas phases.

Nitric gases are seen to be grouped in the lower half of the plot. Their values vary from 3×10^{-8} to 2.8×10^{-6} . The lowest values, close to the conventional radiogenic one, preclude the participation of any mantle derivatives in the formation of fluids with this He type. Yet, the maximum values show that the nitric fluids of this geochemical type contain a distinct admixture of mantle helium. This, however, does not mean that the nitrogen itself, the predominant gas in the fluids of the Baikal Rift Zone, is of mantle origin. Earlier, *Prasolov* [1990] got an estimate of $N_2/\text{He}\approx 4$ for the mantle proceeding from the model of nondissipating atmosphere. At the same time, the samples from the Baikal Rift Zone examined later showed this ratio to be much higher $(10^2 \text{ to } 10^4)$ without any notable contribution of mantle nitrogen [Polyak et al., 1992]. The N_2 origin helps to specify the data available on the content and composition Ar. E. M. Prosolov found the N_2/Ar_{atm} ratio in the gas samples investigated in the Baikal Rift Zone and the Siberian Craton vary between 47 and 85, corresponding the range expected for the air gases (37–84) and, hence, support the conventional views of the atmospheric origin of nitrogen. It is only in the Tunka Basin, where this ratio is higher than 80-94, about third of nitrogen can be of crustal ratler than of atmosphere origin (see [*Polyak et al.*, 1992]).

The methane gases from the Siberian Craton (except for the gas from the Zhemchug R-1 well drilled in the Tunka Basin) occupy the lowermost part of the plot. Their predominant values of $R=(1-6.5)\times10^{-8}$ suggest the obviously crustal origin of these gases. As regards the high values of $R=(0.85-0.89)\times10^{-5}$ in the gas of the Zhemchug R-1 Well, they cannot be used as the basis for assigning this methane a mantle origin. The CH₄/³He ratio in the mantle was reported to be equal roughly to 10^{6} [*Poreda et al.*, 1988; *Prasolov and Tolstikhin*, 1987]. The gas from the Zhemchug R-1 Well showed this ratio to be 100 times higher. Moreover, as follows from the measurements of E. M. Prasolov, the value of $\delta^{13}C = -66.9^{\circ}/_{oo}$ suggests the primarily biochemical origin of this methane (see [*Polyak et al.*, 1992]).

Carbonic gases tend to be located in the upper half of the plot shown in Figure 4: the R values in these gases do not show the values lower than 5×10^{-7} (one sample from the area of the Yamarovka Helth Resort) and are similar to those typical of the MORB reservoir. This proves the presence of mantle helium in the carbonic gases. Yet, most of these gases show a $CO_2/^3$ He value different from that of MORB, though the simple dilution of the mantle helium by the crustal one could not change it. This ratio is notably higher than in MORB in the carbonic gases of the Russian Trans-Baikal region and in two wells drilled in the Tunka Basin, whereas the other samples from this basin showed its notably lower value. In the case of the previous analysis these deviations of the $CO_2/{}^3He$ value from the "mantle standard" show that the formation of carbonic gases was accompanied by the fractionation of the components in the gas water system. It cannot be excluded however that the formation of these gases was affected by CO₂ consumption and generation in the crust. The former (in the form of dissolved carbonate) seems to be likely in the case of the "Herich" gas of the Tunka Basin. The latter (as a consequence of deep metamorphic activity) explains the pattern observed in the Trans-Baikal region. This conclusion is supported by the results of studying other gases, such as Ar, Ne, and N_2 , using the samples collected in the Trans-Baikal region.

The direct correlation of the Ar_{atm} and Ne confirms the purely atmospheric origin of neon (inferred after the introduction of a respective correction into the results of the Rmeasurements, see above). This allows one to infer the origin of the nitrogen. Figure 5 plotted in the coordinates of the nitrogen and neon contents normalized using argon of the air (so-called fN_2 and fNe) shows that the data points of the two nitric gases from the Trans-Baikal region reside near the lines marking the degassing of the air dissolved in water, indicating the purely atmospheric origin of the nitrogen. Yet, five out of six carbonic gases reside above these lines, suggesting the presence of excessive nonatmospheric nitrogen, especially significant in three springs from the Trans-Baikal region. The excess of nitrogene in carbonic gases is not accidental suggesting the combined generation of N_2 and CO_2 by the metamorphism of the crustal rocks under the effect of the mantle heat and mass flow traced by the isotope composition of helium.

The association of the He isotope anomalies with the geothermal ones is ascertained by one more characteristics of the fluids, namely, by the value of their ratio between radiogenic helium and argon, ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{40}\text{Ar}_{rad}$. Non-atmospheric ${}^{40}\text{Ar}$ was found only in carbonic gases, mainly in small amounts in the fluids of the Tunka Basin showing a submantle He composition. The data obtained in this study yielded ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{40}\text{Ar}_{rad} \sim 7$. The same value was obtained for the gases from the Trans-Baikal Yamarovka Helth Resort area, which, as follows from [*Prasolov*, 1990], corresponds to the gas generation temperature of about 260°C. As to the two other investigated carbonic springs from the Trans-Baikal area, Modui and Poperechnyi, this value is as low as ~ 2 which suggests even higher temperatures.

Summing up, it should be concluded that there is no strict universal relationship between the isotope composition of helium and the total composition of the gas phase of the fluids. This is proved by the fact that the fields of the data points for the fluids of different geochemical types overlap in Figure 4. The same conclusion stems from Figure 2. Other arguments in its support will be given below.

4. Lateral Variation of the Helium Isotope Composition as the Image of the Tectonic Structure and Evolution of the Region

As mentioned above, the R value distribution at the fluid sampling sites in the study area shows a highly variegated pattern. This can be seen in Figure 3. However, this pattern shows some principal tectonic regularities. For this purpose we will discuss, in the section that follow, the specific features of the He isotope composition in the major structural elements of the region, and also in their smaller subdivisions, for example, in the rift zones and in their individual segments. These differences exist in the specifics of the geological structure and history, in the geophysical (primarily, geothermal) characteristics, morphology, and other features in accordance with the known reviews [Duchkov et al., 1987; Khutorskoi, 1996; Lysak, 1988] and regional studies [Devyatkin et al., 1987; Genshaft and Saltykovskii, 1979; Grachev et al., 1982; Tectonics..., 1966, 1974, 1980; Zorin et al., 1982, 1986, 1988], to cite but a few.

4.1. Helium Isotope Specifics of the Structural and Tectonic Elements of the Region

The structural and tectonic elements of the region, such as the Siberian Craton (including its Irkutsk Amphitheater and Nepa Dome), the Khangai Block, the Khentei Kerulen and Dauria blocks, forming the eastern flank of the rift zone, and, finally, this zone itself, differ in terms of their R values (Figure 6). In the areas around the rift the helium isotope composition changes notably in accordance with the general dependence of the composition of helium on the age of the crust reflecting the general obliteration of the initial mantle imprints in the rocks composing the crust and the generation of radiogenic ⁴He [*Polyak*, 1988; *Polyak et al.*, 1979b].

As to the gases of the pre-Riphean platform, the R values, both in the Irkutsk Amphitheater and in the Nepa Dome, the R values fit in the narrow range of $(1.0-6.5)\times10^{-8}$ being as high as 10×10^{-8} only in two cases (possibly, because of the contamination by atmospheric air and the lack of data for introducing corrections). The average value of $\overline{R} = (3.5\pm0.8)\times10^{-8}$ is very close to the conventional radiogenic one, this fact being typical of old platforms (here and below, the accuracy of an average estimate is $1.96 \times s/n^{0.5}$, where s is standard deviation and n is the number of Rdeterminations). The heat flow in the platform is also low being equal to that of the continental background [Duchkov et al., 1987].

The Khangai Uplift in Mongolia ia composed of late Paleozoic crustal rocks [*Tektonics...*, 1974; *Zorin et al.*, 1982], enclosing the Late Paleozoic block of Western Khangai.



Figure 5. The relations between the N₂ and Ne concentrations, normalized twice using the content of atmospheric argon: $f_i = \{[i]/[Ar_{atm}]\}_{sample}/\{[i]/[Ar]\}_{atm}$, where $\{[i]/[Ar_{atm}]\}_{sample}$ is the ratio between the concentrations of these gases in the air. The solid and dash lines show the degassing of the atmospheric gases dissolved at temperatures of 200°C and 20°C, respectively. The numbers in the figure denote the test sites: (1) Modui Spring, (2) Poperechnyi Spring, (3) Kopchagir Spring, (4) Arshan Resort (Hole 39), (5) Arshan Resort (Glaznoi Spring), (6) Kunalei Spring, (7) Angi-Arshan Spring, (8) Angara Resort (Hole 223), (9) Yamarovka Resort (Hole 15).

The fourteen sites of study showed an elevated He isotope ratio, notably higher than those from the platform: $(4.9-36)\times10^{-8}$, the average values being $(16.3\pm4.9)\times10^{-8}$. This value is slightly higher than the value typical of the Hercynean structures. It is possible, however, that the values shown by three springs in Central Khangai, namely, by Khuldzhi (Saikhan-Khuldzhi), Khalun-Us, and Shargalzhut, as high as $(26-36)\times10^{-8}$, are anomalous R values (see the extreme left column in the histogram of Figure 6), which trace some submeridional extension zone of no morphological expression (see below). After the elimination of these data from the total data sample, the average R value declined to $(12.3\pm2.9)\times10^{-8}$, which corresponds to the Paleozoic crust where the heat flow is also somewhat higher than the continental background [*Khutorskoi*, 1996].

The Khentei Kerulen Zone of Mongolia includes widely developed volcano-plutonic rocks of Mesozoic age, reflecting the tectonic and igneous reactivation in the Late Triassic Early Jurassic time and in the time between the end of the Jurassic and the beginning of the Cretaceous [Nagibina, 1967]. In seven sites from this zone, the R values vary greatly, amounting to 1.9×10^{-6} and averaging 0.94×10^{-6} . These values are too high even for the Late Mesozoic crust (see [Polyak, 1988]). The Mesozoic and some later reactivation embraced also the Trans-Baikal region (Dauriya), where the He isotope composition in gases from eleven springs was



Figure 6. Distribution of the ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He} = R$ values in the ground fluids of different structural elements of the Baikal-Mongolian Region. The histograms were plotted using one R value for each site (in the case of several measurements the average value was used, like in the case of Figure 3).

found to be also highly variegated, its average value being 1.14×10^{-6} . The combined data set showed the \overline{R} value to be $(1.06 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6}$. This value can be taken as typical of the eastern flank of the rift zone, where the heat flow is also slightly elevated [Lysak, 1988; Lysak and Pisarskii, 1999].

At the same time the high asymmetry of the histogram (see Figure 6) suggests the heterogeneity of the data sample.

The regional variations of the He isotope composition in underground fluids are clearly seen in the profile plotted across the strike of the Baikal Rift Zone (Figure 7). This profile clearly shows a high contrast between the pre-Riphean Siberian Craton and the Baikal Rift. Note that in this segment of the profile the R values are lower than those of MORB, but clearly suggest the contribution of mantle helium into the fluid. At the edge of the craton (Bayandai Hole) the R value of 1×10^{-7} is slightly higher than the conventional radiogenic value, which can be expected here proceeding from the age of the crust. This can be the consequence of both the local specifics of the radiogenic helium composition (see Section 3.1), and the Late Paleozoic tectonomagmatic reactivation of the southeastern margin of the craton [Ryazanov, 1979], or some echo of the recent rifting activity.

The pattern east of the Baikal Lake is absolutely different from that in the west: the values of the He isotope ratio in the gases investigated in the Dauriya and Khentey-Kerulen blocks resemble those in the rift zone, obviously suggesting some repeated tectonic and magmatic reactivation of these structural elements, as has been mentioned above.

This pattern agrees well with the specifics of the crustal structure of the region recorded by geophysical measurements. As follows from seismological data, under the rift zone the P wave velocities at the M discontinuity are as low as 7.7–7.8 km/s compared to 8.1–8.2 km/s under the Siberian Craton [Florensov, 1977]. Zorin et al. [1990] showed that the relative decline of V_p values under the Baikal Rift Zone perseveres to a depth of some 200 km (base of the lithosphere under the craton, and that the overlying volume (anomalous or low-velocity mantle) is the protrusion of the asthenosphere, where the material is partially molten, as indicated by the high attenuation of S waves. As follows from the gravity data, the top of this protrusion coincides with the crustal base throughout the rift zone from Southern Yakutia to Northern Mongolia at a depth level of less than 50 km (see Figure 2 in [Zorin et al., 1988]. This contour line outlines, with some overlap, the region of high R values in the fluids of the rift zone, compared to the normal values of the crust dissected by this zone.

Geothermal modeling suggested that the top of the asthenosphere rose to depths levels as high as <50 km not only under the Baikal Rift Zone but also east of it over a distance of 150 km, where an intracrustal layer with temperature above the Curie point was inferred (see Figure 5 in [Zorin and Lepina, 1985]). As follows from gravity data, the top of the asthenosphere was supposed to be as deep as 150 km below the ground surface and rose eastward again to depths of about 75 km (see the above-mentioned Figure 2 in [Zorin et al., 1988].

The crustal structure of the Trans-Baikal region looked different in the light of seismological and DSS data. These data [*Berdichevskii et al.*, 1999] suggest that a low-velocity layer (anomalous mantle) branches off from the subvertical channel ("big dike" of Yu. A. Zorin) rising from the asthenosphere to a depth of less than 40 km. This low-velocity zone (anomalous mantle) extends to the southeast for a dis-

58



Figure 7. Variations of the 3 He/ 4 He ratio in the ground fluids across the strike of the Baikal Rift.

tance of roughly 300 km from Lake Baikal and to the northeast for a distance of >1500 km. This view on the crustal structure of the region was supported by A. F. Grachev who emphasized the asymmetry of the Baikal Rift [Grachev, 1996]. This view was later supported by the recent analysis of the magnetotelluric data accumulated for this region [Berdichevskii et al., 1999]. This analysis revealed the configuration of the crustal high conductivity zones, which suggests the high temperature of the crustal rocks. The best convergence of the magnetotelluric data was obtained for a model with vertical conductive channels in the upper and intermediate crust with conductivity of 5–10 Ω ·m under the Baikal Rift and 20–50 $\Omega \cdot {\rm m}$ under the Trans Baikal region. These channels have been interpreted as fluid-saturated fault zones. Yet, they can be magma feeders. Although recent volcanic activity is very scarce in the Trans-Baikal region, one of its manifestations is a small melanephelinite plateau in the upper reaches of the Chikoi R. [Aschepkov et al., 1996]. It is in its vicinity that the R values are as high as 2.14×10^{-6} in the samples from the carbon dioxide springs we studied [Lavrushin et al., 1999; Polyak et al., 1998]. The ${}^{4}\mathrm{He}/{}^{40}\mathrm{Ar_{rad}}$ values in these gases, discussed in Section 3.2, suggest the significant heating of rocks in this area of the Trans Baikal region.

The obvious admixture of mantle He in the springs from the eastern flank of the rift zone, which declines only at distances of a few hundred kilometers from this zone, proves the latent heat and mass flow from the mantle operating over a much larger area compared to the open flow. A similar situation has been reported from many other regions, for example, from the North Caucasus [*Polyak et al.*, 1998, 2000]. The distribution pattern of R values in the fluids of the Trans-Baikal region suggests that the sheet intrusion of the anomalous mantle, containing, as follows from seismological and electromagnetic data, a few per cent of melt [*Berdichevskii et al.*, 1999], and possibly having served as a source of hypabyssal igneous rock bodies, extends farther to the southeast, as compared to the extent suggested thus far by geophysical data.

The data presented in the profile discussed (Figure 7) support the conclusion offered in Section 3.2 about the absence of an unambiguous relationship between the isotope composition of He and the total composition of the fluid gases. For instance, the CO₂ fluids of the Trans Baikal region differ greatly in terms of the R value from the nitric fluids of the same region, but are almost identical to the nitric springs flowing in the Lake Baikal basin. The latter, in turn, differ in this respect from their analogs in the Trans-Baikal region and in the Siberian Craton. The nitric gases from the latter are indistinguishable in terms of the He composition, characteristic of ancient crust, from methane gases. At the same time, as demonstrated in Figure 2, the methane gases from the Tunka Basin of the Baikal Rift Zone are similar in terms of the submantle isotope composition of helium to the CO_2 gases.

As to the rift zone itself and its nearest surroundings, the isotope composition of He in its fluids is distinguished by the broadest range of the R values varying from the minimum value of 4.9×10^{-8} in the sample, collected by E. V. Pinneker from the Luktur Spring in the Upper Chara Basin,



Figure 8. Variations of He isotope composition in fluids and rocks (top) and of the heat flow (bottom, after [Lysak, 1988]) along the strike of the Baikal (Baikal Khubsugul) rift zone. The black rhombs show the R values at sites inside the rift zone, open rhombs, the values for the Adjacent areas (for example, in the Bargusin and Bauntovo basins). The data for the rocks are shown by shaded rectangles in accordance with the range of the R values reported in [Drubetskoi and Grachev, 1987] (see the text for the explanation). The wavy lines show the R values in the gases dissolved in the water of lake baikal. For comparison, the horizontal line shows the R values for a MORB reservoir.

to the maximum value of 1.1×10^{-5} measured in one of the samples collected in the Arshan Resort area in the Tunka Basin. As has been clarified using the Wilcockson criterion (see [Mineev, 1973]), this data sample is statistically indistinguishable, in terms of its average value, from the former data sample characterizing the Khentey Kerulen Zone of Mongolia and the Russian Trans-Baikal region, both reactivated during the Meso-Cenozoic time. This allowed us to include both data samples into one general data sample (see the lower curve in Figure 6). This affinity of the data samples can be taken as the confirmation of the above mentioned views of geophysicists on the crustal structure of the region and as the evidence in favor of the similar conditions of shaping the isotope composition of helium in underground fluids inside the rift zone and at its eastern flank, the fact proved by the distribution of the R values across the strike of the Baikal Rift Zone (see Figure 7).

With the great dispersion of the R particular values observed in the rift zone the formal determination of the R mean value does not have any exact geological sense. Yet, the variations of the R values along the strike of the rift zone are obviously of great importance.

4.2. Variations of the He Isotope Composition of Fluids Along the Strike of the Rift Zone

We succeeded to trace the distribution of the R values along the strike of the rift zone over a distance of >2000 km (Figure 8) between the Upper Chara and Khubsugul riftrelated basins and farther roughly along the 100°E longitude as far as the Bolnai Fault. This fault appears to be a transform fault, along which the rifting axis was displaced eastward [*Khutorskoi*, 1996; *Polyak et al.*, 1992]. Although there are no basins of rift origin south of this fault, this view is supported by the sporadic occurrences of Neogene Quaternary volcanism in the North Khangai area along 102° E in the form of small monogenic volcanoes and the thin fields of alkalic basalt in the northern Khangai area [Devyatkin et al., 1987; Genshaft and Saltykovskii, 1979], and also by the above cited data on the elevated He isotope ratio in gases from three springs of the Central Khangai region.

Our analysis of the He isotope composition variations along the strike of the rift zone revealed an obvious regular pattern in the distribution of the R values.

The maximum, submantle R values, as high as 1.1×10^{-5} , responsible for almost 96% of the mantle helium in the helium of the fluids (with $R_{\text{mantle}} = 1.15 \times 10^{-5}$), which had been discovered in the eastern part of the Tunka Basin by the first investigators [Lomonosov et al., 1976] and confirmed later by all subsequent investigations, turned out to be characteristic only of this segment of the Baikal Rift Zone. As close as in the west of the basin they decline to 2.8×10^{-6} (Nilova Pustun Health Resort), so that the average \overline{R} value, based on all data available, is 0.65×10^{-5} . This basin is known to be different from all other graben-shaped depressions of the rift zone in terms of its highest volcanic activity: the products of this activity, which lasted from the Early Miocene to Holocene, inclusive, compose the bulk of its nearly 3 km thick sedimentary cover [Logachev et al., 1983] and form four groups of small monogenic cones sitting on its surface [Kiselev et al., 1979].

A twice as low value of R (0.3×10⁻⁵) was measured in a sample from the Svyatoi Klyuch Spring flowing at the eastern flank of the neighboring South Baikal Basin [Pinneker et al., 1995b]. Deep fluids flow at the floor of this basin, as has been proved by geothermal measurements [Golubev, 1982] and by the He isotope composition in the lake water: the Rvalues in the samples from the depths of 1260 and 1200 m $\,$ were 115×10^{-8} and 102×10^{-8} , respectively [Grachev et al., 1982]. The analysis of these samples excludes the possibility of explaining these values by the simple mixing of radiogenic He with the helium contained in the air dissolved in water, or as the entrapment of technogenic tritium from the atmosphere, transformed to ³He by way of β -decay [*Polyak et al.*, 1992]. These values are lower, $(24-20) \times 10^{-8}$, in the Middle and North basins of the Baikal Lake. However, the isotope composition of helium is different in the springs flowing on the banks of these basins, especially on the eastern one.

Six different samples collected from two sites of the North Baikal Basin (Goryachinskii Spring and Sukhaya Zagza Hole) showed the R values ranging from 4.1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-6} with the formal average value of 6.26×10^{-7} . This value is five times lower than that from the South Baikal Basin, yet is higher than in the lake water.

A still lower R value was found in the springs flowing at the eastern shore of the North Basin: the samples taken from five springs showed the values varying over a range of $(1.85-4.5)\times10^{-7}$ and being as high as 7.2×10^{-7} in one spring (Gniloi Spring in the south of the basin [Lysak and Pisarskii, 1999; Pinneker et al., 1995a], averaging 3.48×10^{-7} . It is remarkable that the isotope composition of helium in the gas emanating from the Khakusskii Spring is absolutely invariable: the results of its sampling by V. I. Kononov in 1975 are absolutely identical to those obtained by an international crew 20 years later [Kipfer et al., 1996]. On the contrary, at the western bank of this basin the R values decline to the common radiogenic level, amounting to $(5-8)\times10^{-8}$ in two springs. This suggests that in the antirift direction the mantle signal declines more rapidly to the west than to the east.

Similar to the North Baikal Basin, the average R value remains in the next northward depression of rift origin, namely, in the Upper Angara depression, where two springs were tested. Yet, in the more northwestern segments of the rift zone, namely, in the North Muya Range, Udokan Range, and the Upper Chara depression, the R value declines to 1.47×10^{-7} , 1.1×10^{-7} , and 7.4×10^{-8} , respectively, approaching the value characteristic of the old crust dissected by the rift. The crustal origin of helium in the Upper Chara depression is validated by its extremely high concentration (2.2 vol.%).

A similar trend was observed in the He isotope composition in the fluids from the flank graben-shaped basins of rift origin, parallel to the strike of the rift: the Barguzin and Bauntov basins (see Figure 8). The average R value is 5.73×10^{-7} in the former, and 2.61×10^{-7} in the latter. The same trend is typical of the periphery of a rift zone from Mongolia (see Figure 8).

South of the Tunka maximum, the He isotope ratio in ground water declines, similar to the trend observed in the NE direction. In the Khubsugul Depression it has a value similar to those observed in the west of the Tunka Basin only in one, southernmost, Ulkhen Arshan Spring, averaging 0.9×10^{-6} . At the Khangai foothills, as far as the Bolnai fault, it declines twofold again (in the Naran-Bulag Spring flowing at the continuation of the rift zone and in the Toshint Spring, flowing somewhat more to the west [*Pinneker et al.*, 1995b]). In the area of the Khangai Range itself, the He isotope ratio corresponds to the Paleozoic age of the tectonomagmatic activity and suggests some small (~2.5%) admixture of mantle helium only in three East Khangai springs mentioned above.

4.3. A Relationship Between the He Isotope Composition and the Geological and Geophysical Characteristics of the Baikal Rift Zone: The Problem of Continental Rifting

A decline in the He isotope ratio in ground fluids, observed along the strike of the Baikal Khubsugul Rift, is accompanied by a decline in the lengths of the rift basins [Lysak, 1988; Sherman, 1977; Zorin, 1971], in the their widths [Florensov, 1977; Lukina, 1988], and in their depths. For instance, the top of the crystalline basement (the surface of the Cretaceous Paleogene prerifting levelling) lies at a depth of about 7 km in the South Baikal Basin, at 4.5 km, in the North Baikal Basin, at 2 km in the Upper Angara Basin, and at 1 km, in the Upper Chara Basin [Florensov, 1977]. These depths agree with the gravity data (same references and [Zorin et al., 1986]) suggesting the higher densities of the crustal rocks under some basins because of the emplacement of basic and ultrabasic intrusions, the latter contributing to the extensions of the basins by way of isostatic compensation.

The source of these intrusions, namely, a deep-seated melt reservoir, can be identified using their He isotope characteristics. Yet, the rocks of this region have been studied in this respect to a lesser extent compared with the ground fluids which, as mentioned in Section 2.1, are more preferable for the aim of elucidating the lateral variations of the He isotope composition. The possibility of studying these He composition variations in the young igneous rocks of the region is limited also by their sporadic occurrences (in contrast to the almost ubiquitous fluid occurrences). The Cenozoic basaltoids tend to occur in several volcanic areas (see Figure 1 in [Ashchepkov et al., 1996]), of which only one area has been studied in detail, namely, the Khamar-Daban volcanic center, located slightly south of the Tunka Basin [Drubetskoi and Grachev, 1987; Grachev, 1998] and, partially, the Udokan area adjoining the extreme NE segment of the rift zone [Drubetskoi and Grachev, 1987].

Reported in the last of the cited references are the results of determining He isotope composition in mantle xenoliths (spinel lherzolites) and in the olivine from the Khamar-Daban and Udokan volcanics, which preserves well the mantle He. They were compared with the data on the He composition in the fluids of the Baikal Rift Zone (see Figure 8). It was found that the data obtained for the rocks repeated the trend observed in the fluids: the R values found for the Khamar-Daban area were found to be much higher, up to 1.2×10^{-5} (and even higher as reported in [*Grachev*, 1998]), than in the Udokan area ($<0.8 \times 10^{-6}$ [Drubetskoi and Grachev, 1987]). This situation seems to be fairly strange. If the xenoliths had been entrapped from the same mantle reservoir and had not been contaminated by crustal helium, this means that the mantle is not homogeneous in He isotope terms along the rift strike. It was namely this conclusion which was made by Grachev [1998]. But then, this contradicts the pattern observed in the fluids, which can be more naturally explained by the contamination of the mantle fluids by crustal He derivates, which grows more active away from the Tunka Basin. In this case, the helium contained in the Udokan rock samples must have been entrapped not from the mantle. This problem calls for a further study which should include necessarily geothermal data.

As basic magma is intruded in the crust, it introduces into it not only some respective silicate material with its volatiles but also the heat accumulated in it. In the areas of open discharge, like in the Tunka Basin with its surface flows of recent volcanism, the mantle material is removed to the surface of the crust. Indirect evidence in favor of this process is provided by magnetic, magnetotelluric, and deep seismic sounding data [Berdichevskii et al., 1999; Florensov, 1977; Lysak, 1988]. As follows from these data, the thickness of the crustal magnetically active layer, correlating with the depth of the Curie point of titanomagnetite, the predominant ferromagnetic material ($\sim 440^{\circ}$ C), is as small as 18.5–19.5 km as compared to 32.5 km under the Siberian Craton. The lowermost part of the layer includes a seismic waveguide, this layer being underlain by a layer of high electric conductivity which is accounted for by the heating of the rocks and by the content of high-T solutions in this depth interval.

It is significant in this respect that the natural variations of the He isotope composition discovered along and across the strike of the rift repeats the well-known heat flow distribution pattern there [Duchkov et al., 1987; Lysak, 1988; Lysak and Zorin, 1976]. In her book, Lysak [1988] proved that the average conductive heat flow density varies in different segments of the rift, decreasing in both directions away from the South Baikal Basin, which was called by her as a "rifting center". Taking into account her accuracy of deriving the mean value, it can be supposed that this heat flow density is comparable with that in the Tunka Basin. The positive correlation between the He isotope ratio and the heat flow density observed in the Baikal Rift is a particular case of the general regularity observed for the entire continental block of North Eurasia [Polyak, 1988; Polyak et al., 1979b]. This is a natural paragenetic correlation of two parameters reflecting the effect of the same cause, namely, the heat and mass flow from the mantle. This correlation is found not only where different regions are compared but also at a regional and even at a local scale [Polyak et al., 1985]. This correlation was confirmed by the investigations carried out in Japan [Sano et al., 1982], in China [Du, 1992], in the Eastern Carpathian region [Polyak et al., 1999], and in the North Caucasus [Polyak et al., 1998b, 2000]. This correlation was also discovered in the area of this study [Polyak et al., 1992], where the correlation of these parameters was used successfully to estimate heat flow values using the composition of helium in the fluids in the areas lacking deep drill holes in Mongolia [Khutorskoi et al., 1991] and in Russia [Lysak and Pisarskii, 1999].

The regular variation of the mantle component in the fluid helium and in the heat flow background density along the strike of the Baikal Rift Zone suggests differences in the intensity of heat and mass flow from the mantle in different fragments of this zone. The conjugation of the geochemical and geothermal traces of this discharge with the high scatter of R values was observed in the similar structural features of Western and Central Europe: in the system of grabens from the upper and lower Rhine North Sea region [Griesshaber et al., 1989, 1992; Hooker et al., 1985], in the Okhrge (Eger) rift [O'Nions et al., 1989] and in East Asia [Du, 1992]. A similar pattern exists in the distribution of the He isotope ratio in the ground fluids and in the tectonic type of continental rifts, such as the Afriacan Arabian rift belt [Craig and Lupton, 1978; Craig and Rison, 1982; Lupton et al., 1977]; see also a review in [Scarsi and Craig, 1996]. It can be concluded that the broad variation of the He isotope composition in ground fluids is the common universal feature of continental rift zones.

An absolutely different pattern is observed in the global system of mid-oceanic ridges which had been referred to as oceanic rifts in the early studies. It was found later that the sizes of their axial rift valleys were inversely proportional to their spreading rates and in the rapidly spreading East Pacific Rise had been incomparably lower than in the first studied Mid-Atlantic Ridge, so that their formal similarity with continental rifts is highly relative. The isotope composition of helium in the products of submarine volcanic and hydrothermal activity is highly uniform in the products of submarine volcanic and hydrothermal activity throughout mid-oceanic ridges, except for the hot spots (see, for example, [Kurz et al., 1982]). The particular results of measuring R values in mid-oceanic ridges deviate little from their average value, characteristic of average MORB, that is a depleted mantle: $(1.15\pm0.1)\times10^{-5}$ [Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998].

The data available enable one to verify the specifics of the processes responsible for the formation of continental rifts. The great variation of the He isotope composition in ground fluids, which is associated with heat flow variations in the geothermally investigated segments of rift zones (and in some regions, as, for example, in the Baikal Rift Zone, showing an ordered pattern, being accompanied by changes in the morphology of the rift zones and in the geophysical characteristics of the rocks), suggests variations in the intensity of mantle diapirism in their segments, distinguishing greatly continental rifting from oceanic spreading. This seems to reflect differences in the extent of continental rift opening and, consequently, a peculiar mechanism of crust and mantle interaction, different from that od mid-oceanic ridges. The process of oceanic spreading is the response of the lithosphere to the rise of the mantle material along the axis of the mid-oceanic ridge, operating throughout its length with invariable intensity (this being reflected in subparallel narrow magnetic anomalies), meaning that in this case the lithosphere extension is caused by the mantle activity. This process is usually referred to as active or axial spreading. In contrast, continents are formed by the crowding ("conglomeration") of individual blocks and exist in the environment of compression (collision) resulting, in the long run, in shear deformations breaking the crust (lithosphere). These breaks facilitate the autonomous movements of the new plates (microplates), producing pull-apart structures as the "embryos" of continental rifts. It is worth while reminding here that the term "collision rifting" was proposed long ago [Sengör, 1976; Sengör et al., 1978], and that the idea of "passive spreading" is still popular (see, for example, [Bott, 1990; Khain, 1990]). These environments involve the possibility of mantle diapirism, that is, the rise of mantle material, decompression melting at its front, and volcanic activity. It follows that during continental rifting activity (and, possibly, during back-arc spreading) the mantle activity is not the cause but the consequence of deformation in the overlying lithosphere. Deformation of this kind can be intensified by the wedging effects of mantle plumes rising from the undepleted mantle where their projections to the Earth surface coincide with rift zones. Judging by the He isotope composition in the fluids of the African Arabian rift belt, this process operates in the Afar region [Craig and Lupton, 1978; Craig and Rison, 1982; Scarsi and Craig, 1996]. Yet, in the area of the other, Yellowstone, hot spot [Craig and Lupton, 1978], no opening of a continental rift took place. Some researchers [Grachev, 1996; and others] believe that plumes rising from the undepleted mantle can be interpreted as the primary cause responsible for continental rifting creating prerifting conditions in areas of future rifting. Yet, in this case, too, the breaking of the continental lithosphere will not develop simultaneously throughout the extension of the arising rift zones (as is the case in oceanic spreading), but successively, by the mechanism of a "propagating fracture" [Shaw et al., 1980], from the "rifting center" to the peripheral segments of these zones, so that the conclusion of the specific process of continental rifting, compared to the formation of midoceanic ridges, remains to be valid.

In the critical case, this development of events can lead to the complete destruction of continental crust (Red Sea), the opening of new oceans (Atlantica), and, obviously, to the rearrangement of the system of convection cells in the mantle. This suggests the conclusion of a "feedback" between continental rifting and oceanic spreading.

5. Conclusion

The analysis of the results of studying helium and other gases in the ground fluids of the Baikal Rift Zone and adjacent areas suggested the following conclusions.

1. The value of the ratio of He isotopes, ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He} = R$, derived at different sites of the region, varies greatly from 1×10^{-8} to 1.1×10^{-5} . The minimal *R* values correspond to crustal radiogenic helium, and the maximal values, observed locally inside the Baikal Rift Zone, are similar to those characteristic of the modern mantle (MORB reservoir).

2. The repeated sampling of some sites during 20 years showed stability, that is the absence of any pulsation of the He isotope ratio almost at each of them for all R values.

3. The areas of the region where deep holes had been drilled did not show any systematic vertical differences of the He ratio, this justifying the joint analysis of the results of determining R values in the samples from different holes and springs.

4. The comparison of the isotope composition of helium with its concentration and with the composition of the main gases in the fluid shows that the composition of the gas phase of the fluids is formed under the effect of the fractionation of the differently soluble components in the gas water system and the generation and consumption of chemically active components in the crust.

5. There is no strict universal relationship between the He isotope composition and the general composition of the fluid gases, although some relationship does exist. The methane gases of the hydrocarbon deposits show the minimum crustal R values. Much more diverse is the composition of He in nitric and carbonic gases, the latter showing the maximum R values.

The nitric gases of the Baikal Rift Zone are of atmospheric origin, as follows from the N₂/Ar_{atm}. Their N₂/He ratio ranging between 10² and 10⁴ is much higher than that inferred in the mantle (≈ 4 [*Prasolov*, 1990]), excluding the notable addition of mantle N₂. The fN₂/fNe ratio in the nitric gases suggests the presence of excessive (not atmospheric) nitrogen, this being a seeming consequence of the common generation of N₂ and CO₂ by metamorphic processes operating in the crustal rocks under the effect of mantle mass and heat transfer traced by the He isotope composition. In the carbonic gases the CO₂/³He ratio is different from that in MORB $(0.9\pm0.2)\times10^9$ [Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998], apriori precluding to consider these gases to be of mantle origin.

6. The structural elements of the region also differ in the R values. The gases of the pre-Riphean Siberian Craton showed the R value to be $(3.6\pm0.9)\times10^{-8}$, which almost coincides with the cannonic radiogenic value. The heat flow of the craton is also low, close to the continental background [Duchkov et al., 1987].

The Khangai dome-shaped uplift of Mongolia showed the average $R = (16.3\pm4.6)\times10^{-8}$, the most probable estimate being $(12.3\pm2.9)\times10^{-8}$, which corresponds to the Paleozoic crust where the heat flow is somewhat higher than the continental background [*Khutorskoi*, 1996].

The Khentei Kerulen zone of Mongolia with its abundant volcano-plutonic rocks of Mesozoic age showed highly variable R values amounting to 1.9×10^{-6} and averaging 0.94×10^{-6} . The Mesozoic and later tectonic reactivation embraced also the Russian Trans-Baikal region, where the He isotope composition is also highly variable with the average $R = 1.14 \times 10^{-6}$. The combined data sample showed $R = (1.06 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which can be taken as atypical value for the eastern flank of the rift zone. where the heat flow is also notably higher [Lysak, 1988; Lysak and Pisarskii, 1999]. For this reason the distribution of the values of the He isotope ratio across the rift zone is highly asymmetric suggesting the heat flow from the mantle not only in the rift zone, but also in the region much more eastward.

The fluids of the rift zone showed that the He isotope composition there was distinguished by the widest spectrum of the R values: 4.9×10^{-8} to 1.1×10^{-5} . The determination of the average R value has no geological sense, yet the variation of the R values along the strike of the rift zone shows a definite pattern.

7. The value of the He isotope ratio in ground fluids decreases along the strike of the rift zone from Southern Yakutia to Northern Mongolia in both directions away from the Tunka Basin where it attains a mantle value. This trend is accompanied by a conductive heat flow decline and by the sizes of the rift basins becoming smaller [Lysak, 1988]. This correlation indicates a decline in the heat and mass flow from the mantle in the distant segments of the rift zone.

8. The wide variation of the He isotope composition in the fluids is the general universal property of continental rift zones, proved by numerous studies in Africa, West and Central Europe, and East Asia [*Craig and Lupton*, 1978; *Craig and Rison*, 1982; *Du*, 1992; *Griesshaber et al.*, 1989, 1992; *Hooker et al.*, 1985; *Lupton et al.*, 1977; *O'Nions et al.*, 1989], and also by our previous study of the Baikal Rift [*Polyak et al.*, 1992]. This variation distinguishes them from the zones of oceanic spreading in mid-oceanic ridges, throwing light on the principle difference between the crust and mantle interaction in these environments.

Oceanic crust spreading is the reaction of the lithosphere to the rise of mantle material along the axis of a spreading ridge throughout its extension with the same intensity, that is. in this case the extension of the lithosphere is caused by mantle activity. In the case of continental rifting the mantle activity is not the cause but the consequence of shear deformation in the overlying lithosphere. This deformation is obviously provoked by collision which breaks the crust (lithosphere) and creates conditions favorable for the autonomous movements of the arising plates (microplates) and for origin of pull-apart structures favoring the formation of mantle diapirs.

Acknowledgments. This study was carried out by the author during the many-year cooperation with I. N. Tolstikhin, I. L. Kamenskii, and E. M. Prasolov, whose contribution is highly appreciated. I also thank them for some of their unpublished data for the fluids of the Siberian Craton. Thanks are also due to V. I. Kononov, M. D. Khutorskoi, V. Yu. Lavrushin, and L. E. Yakovlev, who participated in this study during its various stages, for their friendly support and fruitful discussions of the results. Thanks are also due to V. A. Fedorovskii for his valuable consultations on the tectonics of the Baikal Region, to E. V. Pinneker for his rock samples, and to K. G. Levi for his help in conducting the field work. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, projects nos. 99-05-64800 and 00-05-64014).

References

- Aschepkov, I. V., Yu. D. Litasov, and K. D. Litasov, Garnet peridotite xenoliths from the melanophelinites of the Khentei Ridge (South Trans-Baikal region): Evidence of a mantle diapir rise, *Geol. Geofiz.*, 37, (1), 130–147, 1996.
- Barabanov, L. N., and V. N. Disler, Nitric Springs of the USSR, TsNIIKiF, Moscow, 1968.
- Berdichevskii, M. N., L. L. Van'yan, and A. V. Koshurnikov, Magnetotelluric sounding in the Baikal Rift Zone, *Fizika Zemli*, (10), 3–25, 1999.
- Bott, M., Geodynamic processes in continental rift zones with reference to the Baikal Rift, in *Geodynamics of Intracontinental Mountainous Regions*, pp. 317–323, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990.
- Clarke, W. B., M. A. Beg, and H. Craig, Excess ³He in the sea: Evidence for terrestrial primordial helium, *Earth Planet. Sci.* Lett., 6, 213–220, 1969.
- Craig, H., and J. Lupton, Primordial neon, helium, and hydrogen in oceanic basalts, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 31, (3), 369–385, 1976.
- Craig, H., and J. Lupton, Helium isotope variations: Evidence for mantle plumes in Yellowstone, Kilauea, and the Ethiopian Rift Valley, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union (EOS), 59, (12), 1978.
- Craig, H., and W. Rison, Helium-3: Indian Ocean hot spot and the East African Rift, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union (EOS), 63, (45), 1982.
- Devyatkin, E. R., I. G. Liskun, M. A. Pevzner, and L. Badamgarav, Stratigraphy of Cenozoic basalts in Central Mongolia, in Volcanic Rocks of Mongolia: Associations, Composition and Stratigraphic Positions, pp. 54–63, Nauka, Moscow, 1987.
- Drubetskoi, E. R., and A. F. Grachev, Basalts and ultramafic xenoliths in the Baikal Rift Zone: He and Ar isotope composition, in *Mantle Xenoliths and the Structure of the Lithosphere*, pp. 54–63, Nauka, Moscow, 1987.
- Du, J., ³He/⁴He ratios and heat flow in the continental rift valley, in Works of Gas Geochemistry (Xu Y., Ed.), pp. 165–171, Gansu Science and Technology Press, Lanzhou, 1992.
- Duchkov, A. D., V. T. Balobaev, S. V. Lysak, et al., Heat flow in Siberia, Geol. Geophyz., (1), 42–51, 1987.
- Florensov, N. A., Ed., Collection of Papers on the Crustal Structure of the Baikal Rift, 153 pp., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1977.
- Genshaft, Yu. S., and A. Ya. Saltykovskii, Problems of the deep structure of Mongolia, in *Geology and Magmatism of Mongolia*, pp. 183–195, Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- Gerling, E. K., Migration of helium from minerals and rocks, Proc. Khlopin Radium Institute, vol. 6, pp. 64–87, USSR Acad. Sci., 1957.
- Golubev, V. A., Geothermy of the Baikal Region, 150 p., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1982.
- Grachev, A. F., Major problems of the recent tectonics and geodynamics of North Eurasia, *Fizika Zemli*, (12), 5–36, 1996.
- Grachev, A. F., Khamar-Daban as the hot spot of the baikal Rift: Chemical hydrodynamic data, *Fizika Zemli*, (3), 3–28, 1998.
- Grachev, A. F., E. R. Drubetskoi, M. A. Martynova, E. M. Pra-

solov, Yu. N. Dedenkov, and A. A. Sukhanov, First data on the He isotope composition in the rocks of the Baikal Rift and in the Baikal Lake water, *Proc. IX All-Union Symposium: Stable Isotopes in Geochemistry*, pp. 114–116, 16–19 November, 1982, GEOKHI, Acad. Nauk USSR, Moscow, 1982.

- Griesshaber, E., R. K. O'Nions, and E. R. Oxburgh, Helium isotope systematics in crustal fluids from West Germany and adjacent areas, in *European Geothermal Update* (Eds. K. Louwrier, E. Staroste, J. D. Garnish, and V. Karkoulias), pp. 407–418, 1989.
- Griesshaber, E., R. K. O'Nions, and E. R. Oxbugh, Helium and carbon isotope systematics in crustal fluids from the Eifel, the Rhine Graben, and Black Forest, FRG, *Chem. Geol.*, 99, 213– 235, 1992.
- Hooker, P. J., R. K. O'Nions, and E. R. Oxburgh, Helium isotopes in North Sea gas fields and the Rhine Rift, *Nature*, 318, 273– 275, 1985.
- Jenden, P. D., I. R. Kaplan, R. J. Poreda, and H. Craig, Origin of nitrogen-rich natural gases in the California Great Valley: Evidence from helium, carbon, and nitrogen isotope ratios, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 52, (4), 851–861, 1988.
- Ivanov, V. V. (Chief Editor), Catalog of Mineral Waters of the USSR (Supplement to the Map of the Mineral Waters of the USSR, Scale 1:4 000 000), 287 p., TsNIIKiF, Moscow, 1969.
- Ivanov, V. V. (Chief Editor), Mineral Waters of the USSR (Explanatory Note to the Map of Mineral Waters of the USSR, Scale 1:4 000 000), 324 p., TsNIIKiF, Moscow, 1974.
- Kamenskii, I. L., V. A. Lobkov, E. M. Prasolov, et al., Upper mantle components in the gases of Kamchatka, based on He, Ne, Ar, and C isotopes, *Geokhimiya*, (5), 682–695, 1976.
- Kamenskii, I. L., I. N. Tolstikhin, and V. R. Vetrin, Juvenile helium in ancient rocks: I. ³He excess in amphiboles from 2.8 Ga charnockite series: crust mantle fluid in intracrustal magmatic process, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 54, 3115–3122, 1990.
- Karaseva, A. P., The chemical composition and basic types of carbonic waters, in *Carbonic waters of the USSR*, iss. II, pp. 5– 76, TsNIIKiF, Moscow, 1980.
- Kiselev, A. N., M. E. Medvedev, and G. A. Golovko, Volcanism of the Baikal Rift Zone and the Problem of Deep Magma Formation, 197 pp., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1979.
- Khain, V. E., Origin of the Central-Asia mountain belt: Collision or mantle diapirism, in *Geodynamics of Intracontinental Mountain Regions*, pp. 317–323, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990.
- Khutorskoi, M. D., *Geothermy of Central Asia Foldbelt*, 289 p., RUDN, Moscow, 1996.
- Khutorskoi, M. D., V. A. Golubeva, S. I. Kozlovtseva, et al., *Heat Flow in Mongolia*, 127 pp., Nauka, Moscow, 1991.
- Kipfer, R., W. Aeschbach-Herig, H. Bauer, V. Golubev, M. Hofer, R. Hofmann D. Imboden, and J. Klerkx, Hydrothermal activity in Lake Baikal: A possible trigger for density currents and deep water formation, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 60, (6), 961– 971, 1996.
- Kononov, V. I., B. A. Mamyrin, B. G. Polyak, and D. V. Khabarin, He isotopes in the gases of the Icelandic hot springs, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 217, (1), 172–175, 1974.
- Kurz, M., W. J. Jenkins, J. G. Schilling, and S. R. Hart, Helium isotope variations in the mantle beneath the central North Atlantic Ocean, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 58, 1–14, 1982.
- Lavrushin, V. Yu., B. G. Polyak, and I. L. Kamenskii, He isotope composition in thermomineral fluids of the Trans-Baikal region, *Lithol. Polezn. Iskop.*, (2), 146–157, 1999.
- Logachev, N. A., Yu. A. Zorin, and V. A. Rogozhin, Cenozoic continental rifting and geologic formations (with reference to the Kenia and Baikal rift zones), *Geotektonika*, (2), 3–15, 1983.
- Lomonosov, I. S., Geochemistry and Origin of Modern Hot Springs in the Baikal Rift Zone, 166 p., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1974.
- Lomonosov, I. S., B. A. Mamyrin, E. M. Prasolov, and I. N. Tolstikhin, He and Ar isotope compositions in some hot springs from the Baikal Rift Zone, *Geokhimiya*, (11), 1743–1746, 1976.
- Lomonosov, I. S., B. I. Pisarskii, and S. D. Khilko, The role of neotectonics in the origin of thermal springs in the Mongolia Baikal orogenic belt, in *The Role of Rifting in the Geological*

History of the Earth, pp. 164–168, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1977.

- Loosli, H. H., B. E. Lehman, A. Gautschi, and I. N. Tolstikhin, Helium isotopes in rocks, minerals, related ground waters, *Proc. 8th Int. Symp. on Water-Rock Interaction* (Eds. Y. K. Kharaka and O. V. Chudaev), pp. 31–34, Balkema, Rotterdam, 1995.
- Lukina, N. V., Baikal intracontinental rift system, in Neotectonics and Modern Geodynamics of Mobile belts, pp. 294–326, Nauka, Moscow, 1988.
- Lupton, J. E., R. F. Weiss, and H. Craig, Mantle helium in the Red Sea brines, *Nature*, 266, 2440–2446, 1977.
- Lysak, S. V., Thermal Conditions of Continental Rift Zones, 198 p., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1988.
- Lysak, S. V., and Yu. A. Zorin, The Geothermal Field of the Baikal Rift Zone, 90 pp., Nauka, Moscow, 1976.
- Lysak, S. V., and B. I. Pisarskii, Heat flow estimation from He isotopes in the gases from the ground water of the Baikal Rift Zone and the surrounding regions, *Volcanology and Seismology*, (3), 45–55, 1999.
- Mamyrin, B. A., and I. N. Tolstikhin, *Helium Isotopes in Nature*, Energoizdat, Moscow, 1981.
- Mamyrin, B. A., G. S. Anufriev, and I. N. Tostikhin, Anomalous He isotope composition in volcanic gases, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* SSSR, 184, (5), 1197–1199, 1969.
- Marty, B., and I. N. Tolstikhin, CO₂ fluxes from mid-oceanic ridges, arcs and plumes, *Chem. Geol.*, 145, 233–248, 1998.
- Mineev, D. A. (Ed.), Statistical Methods in Ore Prospecting, 122 pp., IMGRE and Ministry of Geology, Moscow, 1973.
- Morgan, W. G., Deep mantle convection plumes and plate motions, Am. Ass. Petrol. Geol. Bull., 56, (2), 203–213, 1972.
- Nagibina, M. S., Reactivation and revival of tectonic features, Geotektonika, (4), 15–26, 1967.
- O'Nions, R. K., E. Griesshaber, and E. R. Oxburgh, Rocks that are too hot to handle, *Nature*, 342, (6241), p. 391, 1989.
- Pinneker, E. V., B. I. Pisarskii, S. E. Pavlova, and V. S. Lepin, Isotope studies of mineral water in Mongolia, *Geology and Geo*physics, 36, (1), 94–102, 1995a.
- Pinneker, E. V., B. I. Pissarskiy, and S. E. Pavlova, Helium isotope data for ground water in the Baikal rift zones, *Isotopes Environ. Health Studies*, 31, 97–106, 1995b.
- Polyak, B. G., Heat and Mass Flow from the Mantle in Major Structures of the Earth's Crust, 192 pp., Nauka, Moscow, 1988.
- Polyak, B. G., V. I. Kononov, I. N. Tolstikhin, V. A. Mamyrin, and L. V. Khabarin, The helium isotopes in thermal fluids, *IAHS Publ.*, (119), 17–33, 1976.
- Polyak, B. G., E. M. Prasolov, G. I. Buachidze, et al., He and Ar isotope composition in the fluids of the Alps Apennines region and its association with volcanism, *Dokl. AN SSSR*, 247, (5), 1220–1225, 1979a.
- Polyak, B. G., I. N. Tolstikhin, and V. P. Yakutseni, Helium isotope composition and heat flow: Geophysical and geochemical aspects of tectogenesis, *Geotektonika*, (5), 3–23, 1979b.
- Polyak, B. G., E. M. Prasolov, V. I. Kononov, A. B. Verkhovskii, A. Gonzales, L. A. Templos, J. M. Espindola, J. M. Arellano, and A. Manon, Isotopic composition and concentration of inert gases in Mexican hydrothermal systems, *Geofisica Int.*, 21, 193–227, 1982.
- Polyak, B. G., E. M. Prasolov, V. Cermak, and A. B. Verkhovsky, Isotopic composition of noble gases in geothermal fluids of the Krusne Hory Mts. (Czechoslovakia) and geothermal anomaly, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 49*, 695–699, 1985.
- Polyak, B. G., E. M. Prasolov, I. N. Tolstikhin, S. V. Kozlovtseva, V. I. Kononov, and M. D. Khutorskoi, He isotopes in the fluids of the Baikal Rift Zone, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Geologiya*, (10), 18–33, 1992.
- Polyak, B. G., M. D. Khutorskoi, I. L. Kamenskii, and E. M. Prasolov, Heat and mass flow from the mantle in Mongolia: He isotope and geothermal data, *Geokhimiya*, (12), 1693–1706, 1994.
- Polyak, B. G., V, Yu. Lavrushin, and I. L. Kamenskii, Mantle helium in the mineral springs of the Trans-Baikal region, Proc. 15th Symposium on Isotope Geochemistry, 24–27 November, 1998, pp. 199–200, GEOKHI RAN, Moscow, 1998a.
- Polyak, B. G., E. M. Prasolov, I. L. Kamenskii, et al., Helium

isotopes in the North Caucasus gases: Traces of heat and mass flow from the mantle, *Geokhimiya*, (4), 383–397, 1998b.

- Polyak, B. G., V. V. Gordienko, A. L. Cheshko, I. L. Kamenskii, E. M. Prasolov, and V. N. Tarasov, Helium isotopes in the ground fluids of the Eastern Carpathians, *Doklady Rus. Akad. Nauk*, 367, (2), 244–249, 1999.
- Polyak, B. G., L. E. Yakovlev, I. L. Kamenskii, I. N. Tolstikhin, B. Marty, and A. L. Cheshko, Helium isotopes, tectonics, and heat flow in the Northern Caucasus, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2000.
- Poreda, R. J., A. W. A. Jeffrey, I. R. Kaplan, and H. Craig, Magmatic helium in subduction-zone natural gases, *Chem. Geol.*, 71, 199–219, 1988.
- Prasolov, E. M., Isotope Geochemistry and Natural Gas Origin, 283 pp., Nedra, Leningrad, 1990.
- Prasolov, E. M., and I. N. Tolstikhin, Juvenile He, CO_2 and CH_4 gases; Their ratios and contributions to crustal fluids, *Geokhimiya*, (10), 1406–1414, 1987.
- Prasolov, E. M., B. G. Polyak, S. V. Khutorskoi, S. V. Kozlovtseva, and A. A. Sukhanov, First ³He/⁴He estimates in ground fluids of Mongolia, Proc. 10th All-Union Symposium on Stable Isotopes in Geochemistry, 3–5 December, 1984, GEOKHI AN SSSR, Moscow, 1984.
- Ryazanov, G. V., The Morphology and Genesis of Folds in the Nepa Zone, 89 pp., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1979.
- Sano, Y., T. Tominaga, Y. Nakamura, and H. Wakita, ³He/⁴He ratios in methane-rich gases in japan, *Geochem. J.*, 16, 237– 245, 1982.
- Scarsi, P., and H. Craig, Helium isotope ratios in Ethiopian rift basalts, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 144, 505–516, 1996.
- Sengör, A. M. C., Collision of irregular continental margins? Implications for the forelands of Alpine-type orogens, *Geology*, 4, 427–430, 1976.
- Sengör, A. M. C., K. Burke, and J. F. Dewey, Rifts at high angles to orogenic belts: Tests for their origin and the Upper Rhine Graben as an example, Am. J. Sci., 278, 24–40, 1978.
- Shaw, H. R., The fracture mechanism of magma transport from the mantle to the surface, in *Physics of Magmatic Processes* (R. B. Hargraves, Ed.), pp. 201–264, Princeton Univ. Press, Prinston, N.J., 1980.
- Sherman, S. I., Physical Laws of Crustal Faulting, 102 pp., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1977.
- Tectonics of Eurasia (Explanatory Note to the Tectonic Map of Eurasia, Scale 1 : 5 000 000, 428 pp., Nauka, Moscow, 1966. Tectonics of Mongolia, 284 pp., Nauka, 1974.

- Tectonics of North Eurasia (Explanatory Note to the Tectonic Map of North Eurasia, Scale 1 : 5 000 000), 222 pp., Nauka, Moscow, 1980.
- Tkachuk, V. G., and N. I. Tolstikhin (Eds.), Mineral Waters in the South of East Siberia, vol. 1, 345 pp., 1961; vol. 2, 200 pp., 1962; 1962.
- Tkachuk, V. G., N. V. Yasnitskaya, and G. A. Ankudinova, Mineral Waters in the Buryat Mongolian Autonomous Republic, 148 pp., East-Siberian Division, USSR Academy of Sciences, Irkutsk, 1957.
- Tolstikhin, I. N., I. L. Kamenskii, V. Forjash, B. G. Polyak, V. I. Kononov, and D. I. Kudryavtsev, He isotopes in the San-Migel gases, Azores Plateau, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Geol.*, (9), 137–147, 1991.
- Tolstikhin, I. N., B. E. Lehmann, H. H. Loosli, and A. Gautschi, Helium argon isotopes in rocks, minerals, and related groundwaters: A case study in northern Switzerland, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 60*, 1497–1514, 1996.
- Tolstikhin, I. N., B. E. Lehmann, H. H. Loosli, I. L. Kamensky, V. A. Nivin, S. P. Orlov, L. M. Ploschansky, I. V. Tokarev, and M. A. Gannibal, Radiogenic helium isotope fractionation: The role of tritium as ³He precursor and geochemical implications, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63*, 1605–1611, 1999.
- Zorin, Yu. A., Recent Structure and Isostasy of the Baikal Rift Zone and Adjacent Regions, Nauka, Moscow, 1971.
- Zorin, Yu. A., and S. V. Lepina, Geothermal aspects of the development of asthenospheric upwelling beneath a continental rift zone, J. Geodynamics, 3, 1–22, 1985.
- Zorin, Yu. A., R. M. Novoselova, and V. A. Rogozhina, Crustal Structure of Mongolia, 93 pp., Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1982.
- Zorin, Yu. A., V. V. Mordvinova, R. M. Novoselova, and E. H. Turutanov, The density heterogeneity of the mantle under the Baikal Rift, *Izvestiya Acad Nauk SSSR*, Ser. Fizika Zemli, (5), 43–52, 1986.
- Zorin, Yu. A., T. V. Balk, R. M. Novoselova, and E. H. Turutanov, The thickness of the lithosphere under the Mongolia Siberia mountainous land and adjacent regions, *Fizika Zemli*, (7), 33–42, 1988.
- Zorin, Yu. A., R. M. Novoselova, E. H. Turutanov, and V. M. Kozhevnikov, The lithospheric structure of the Mongolia Siberia mountain land, in *Geodynamics of Intracontinental Mountainous Regions*, pp. 143–154, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990.

(Received 13 February 2003)