

Journal of Hydrology 272 (2003) 175-190

Journal of **Hydrology** 

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhydrol

## Tracing <sup>15</sup>N through landscapes: potential uses and precautions

## A. Bedard-Haughn<sup>\*</sup>, J.W. van Groenigen<sup>1</sup>, C. van Kessel

Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

#### Abstract

Stable N isotopes are used to examine the source, flow and fate of N at scales ranging from greenhouse pots to landscapes. There are two main approaches: the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method applies an artificially enriched source of <sup>15</sup>N and the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance ( $\delta^{15}$ N) method uses natural <sup>15</sup>N differences between N sources and sinks.

The  $\delta^{15}N$  method is good for semi-quantitative estimates of N flow in undisturbed ecosystems, for analyzing patterns, and for developing new hypotheses, particularly when spatial variability across a landscape or watershed can be explained. The spatial variability of  $\delta^{15}N$  across a landscape is often non-random, following predictable spatial patterns. Topographic features control the rate of various hydrological and biological processes, resulting in significantly different  $\delta^{15}N$  between lower and upper slope positions. However, if the difference between source- $\delta^{15}N$  and sink- $\delta^{15}N$  is small due to inherent background variability and/or if fractionating processes have a large effect on the isotopic signature of the N to be traced,  $\delta^{15}N$  will not work as a tracer.

With the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method, the isotopic signature of the enriched tracer can be pre-determined to ensure a significant difference in atom%<sup>15</sup>N between source and background levels, even when fractionation occurs. In most situations, the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method can be successfully used as a tracer to test hypotheses and to quantify N cycling through the landscape, regardless of background variability in  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N. Limitations of the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method include the cost associated with applying an enriched tracer, especially at the landscape scale, and the potentially confounding effects of applying N to a previously undisturbed landscape.

© 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Landscape; Ecosystem; Fractionation

### 1. Introduction

In many areas of the world, agricultural applications of organic and inorganic nitrogen increased rapidly during the second half of the 20th century (Mosier et al., 2001). FAO (1999) has estimated the total amount of  $N_2$  fixed globally by industrial production of fertilizer at 85 million tones annually and increasing. Nitrogen is an essential macronutrient, second only to water availability in its importance for plant growth (Mengel and Kirkby, 1982). Unfortunately, increases in N use have had detrimental effects such as eutrophication of surface water and increases of  $NO_3^-$  in groundwater (Pierzynski et al., 1994).

Nitrogen is present in the soil as organic or inorganic N. Over 95% of soil N is in the organic pool and must be mineralized by microorganisms into inorganic N for plant uptake. Organic N compounds range in bioavailability from easily mineralized N to unavailable N (Kamprath, 2000). The two dominant forms of inorganic N are ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1-530-752-4361.

*E-mail address:* bedardhaughn@ucdavis.edu (A. Bedard-Haughn).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Alterra, Department of Water and Environment, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

<sup>0022-1694/03/</sup>\$ - see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0022-1694(02)00263-9

nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) Ammonium, applied as fertilizer-N or released in the soil solution by mineralization (Ladd and Jackson, 1982), undergoes microbiological nitrification into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> under aerobic conditions (Schmidt, 1982). Unlike NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is highly soluble in water and is susceptible to leaching. Under anaerobic conditions, denitrification may occur, reducing NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> into N<sub>2</sub>O or N<sub>2</sub>. Leaching and denitrification contribute to N losses from the agro-ecosystem, decreasing crop N use efficiency and increasing the potential for environmental problems.

Stable isotopes of N are used to trace the flow and fate of applied <sup>15</sup>N at several scales, from pot experiments to landscapes. The stable isotope technique can detect and quantify inputs or losses of new N (i.e. <sup>15</sup>N-enriched N) in a specific N pool, often without a change in total N. There are two commonly used stable N isotope methods: the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method and the <sup>15</sup>N-natural abundance ( $\delta^{15}N$ ) method. With the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method, inorganic N (i.e. fertilizer) or organic N (i.e. manure or sludge) is enriched in <sup>15</sup>N to give it a unique isotopic signature. When this material is applied, it becomes part of the overall N-cycle and the flow of <sup>15</sup>N through the various soil and plant N pools can be followed. The <sup>15</sup>N-enrichment of a given N pool will depend on (1) the amount of N applied, (2) its background  $^{15}N$ enrichment, (3) the turnover rates of the individual N pools, (4) the size of the N pools, and (5) the interconnectedness of the pools (i.e. the likelihood that <sup>15</sup>N from one pool will appear in another). The change in <sup>15</sup>N enrichment over time can be used to calculate the turnover rate of N in the various soil N pools. If all N in the vegetation accumulates following the application of the <sup>15</sup>N, the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in the vegetation becomes an integrated measurement of the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of available soil N during the growing season.

In contrast with the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method, the  $\delta^{15}$ N method does not add <sup>15</sup>N-enriched materials to the ecosystem. Instead, it uses the small difference between the <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratio of the N source being examined and the <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratio of N already in the system to follow the source N through the soil, water, and vegetation. The advantage of the  $\delta^{15}$ N approach is that, in principle, it can be used in any ecosystem, but it has analytical and interpretative limitations.

## 1.1. Stable N isotopes: an overview

Nitrogen is composed of two stable isotopes with atomic masses of 14 and 15. The majority of N in the atmosphere is composed of <sup>14</sup>N (99.6337%) and the remainder is composed of <sup>15</sup>N (0.3663%) (Junk and Svec, 1958). All N-containing compounds on earth show a <sup>14</sup>N/<sup>15</sup>N ratio close to 272, similar to the <sup>14</sup>N/<sup>15</sup>N ratio observed in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>.

Natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance is expressed as delta  $(\delta)^{15}$ N in per mill (‰) <sup>15</sup>N excess over a standard:

$$\delta^{15} N(\%) = \frac{a tom\%^{15} N_{sample} - a tom\%^{15} N_{standard}}{a tom\%^{15} N_{standard}} 1000$$

A slightly different expression for  $\delta^{15}$ N (‰) uses the *R*-values of the isotope ratios:

$$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}(\%) = \frac{R_{\mathrm{sample}} - R_{\mathrm{standard}}}{R_{\mathrm{standard}}} 1000$$

where  $\delta^{15}N$  (%*o*) is the isotope ratio of the sample relative to the atmospheric air standard and  $R_{\text{sample}}$  and  $R_{\text{standard}}$  are the molar ratios of  ${}^{15}N{-}^{14}N$ . Both equations have been widely used at the  $\delta^{15}N$  level. Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> is the ultimate reference value for  $\delta^{15}N$  measurements (Mariotti, 1983), but because it remains cumbersome to use atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> as a standard, researchers commonly use a more convenient, off-the-shelf working standard (usually backcalibrated to N<sub>2</sub>).

#### 1.2. Isotope fractionation

The  $\delta^{15}$ N of the product and the substrate (assuming incomplete consumption) of a bio- or physio-chemical process differ when <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>14</sup>N react at different rates. This is called the isotope effect, expressed as the ratio of the rate constants ( $k_{14}/k_{15}$ ) and equivalent to ( $R_{substrate}/R_{product}$ ). The rate constant for <sup>14</sup>N ( $k_{14}$ ) is larger than for <sup>15</sup>N ( $k_{15}$ ), so the resulting value for the intrinsic kinetic isotope effect is typically >1 (Fry, 1970).

For biologically mediated processes, each step of a complete reaction sequence will have its own intrinsic kinetic isotope effect (Shearer and Kohl, 1986). For field studies, the overall observed isotope fractionation ( $\beta_{obs}$ ) for the reaction is more relevant. In an

open system, with unlimited supply of the substrate:

$$\beta_{\rm obs} = \frac{({}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N})_{\rm substrate}}{({}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N})_{\rm product}}$$

The value for  $\beta_{obs}$  depends on (1) the intrinsic isotope effects for each individual reaction in the sequence, (2) the relative rate of each reaction, and (3) the specific mechanism of the reaction (Shearer and Kohl, 1992a). Another commonly used fractionation factor is  $\alpha$ :

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{source}} - \delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{product}}}{1000}$$

which is susceptible to the same influences as  $\beta_{obs}$ .

Most, if not all, biotic processes show an isotope effect but the intensity of the isotope fractionation

Table 1 Fractionation values for biotic and abiotic N-cycle processes varies, particularly for biotic processes (Table 1). For example, the median isotope fractionation reported for denitrification is 1.0185 (Table 1), so denitrification depletes the N<sub>2</sub>O or N<sub>2</sub> by 18.5‰ and enriches the unreacted NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> with <sup>15</sup>N. In contrast, for N<sub>2</sub> fixation the depletion in <sup>15</sup>N of fixed N hovers around zero with  $\beta_{obs}$  between 0.9963 and 1.0090 (Table 1).

Isotopic fractionation of <sup>15</sup>N also occurs during abiotic processes such as the diffusion of solutes, volatilization of NH<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> exchange and fixation (Hübner, 1986). The volatilization of NH<sub>3</sub> shows a strong isotope fractionation with a  $\beta_{obs}$  of 1.0245. When the supply of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is unlimited, volatilization depletes NH<sub>3</sub> by 24.5% and enriches the remaining NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. In contrast, the diffusion of solutes and the exchange and fixation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> has a minimal isotope effect (Table 1).

	α			β			Reference
	Median	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Minimum	Maximum	
Biotic							
Ammonification				1.0025	1.0000	1.0050	1
Assimilation of NH <sup>+</sup>	1.0158 <sup>a</sup>	1.0091 <sup>a</sup>	1.0200 <sup>a</sup>	1.0050	1.0050	1.0050	2,3,4,5,6,7
Assimilation of $NO_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.0210 <sup>a</sup>	$1.0000^{a}$	1.0360 <sup>a</sup>				5,8,9
Assimilation of $NO_3^-$	1.0142 <sup>a</sup>	$1.0027^{a}$	1.0300 <sup>a</sup>				3,4,5,9,10, 11, 12
Denitrification	1.0060 <sup>b</sup>	1.0025 <sup>b</sup>	1.0330 <sup>b</sup>	1.0185	1.0000	1.0200	2,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21, 22,23,24,26
N <sub>2</sub> fixation	1.0020 <sup>a</sup>	0.9910 <sup>a</sup>	1.0090 <sup>a</sup>	1.0013	0.9963	1.0090	2,3,5,10,11,27,28,29,30,31,32, 33,34,35,36
$N_2O/N_2$ loss by denitrification	1.0305 <sup>a</sup>	$1.0280^{a}$	1.0330 <sup>a</sup>				37
$N_2O/N_2$ loss by nitrification	1.0600 <sup>a</sup>	1.0350 <sup>a</sup>	1.0684				8,37
Nitrification	1.0285 <sup>a,c</sup>	1.0150 <sup>a,c</sup>	1.0350 <sup>a,c</sup>	1.0250	1.0250	1.0250	2,8,38
Abiotic							
Exchange of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>				1.0014	1.0014	1.0014	39
Volatilization of NH <sub>3</sub>	1.0400 <sup>c</sup>	1.0400 <sup>c</sup>	1.0400 <sup>c</sup>	1.0245	1.0200	1.0268	38,40

1, Shi et al. (1992); 2, Delwiche and Steyn (1970); 3, Macko et al. (1987); 4, Yoneyama et al. (1991); 5, Wada and Hattori (1978); 6, Pennock et al. (1988); 7, Yoneyama et al. (1991); 8, Yoshida (1988); 9, Ledgard et al. (1985); 10, Mariotti et al. (1980); 11, Wada and Hattori (1978); 12, Medina and Schmidt (1982); 13, Aravena and Robertson (1998); 14, Böttcher et al. (1990); 15, Fryar et al. (2000); 16, Fustec et al. (1991); 17, Hinkle et al. (2001); 18, Koba et al. (1997); 19, Kreitler (1975); 20, Mariotti et al. (1981); 21, Mariotti et al. (1982); 22, Mariotti et al. (1988); 23, Smith et al. (1991); 24, Wellman et al. (1968); 25, Wilson et al. (1994); 26, Yoshida et al. (1989); 27, Minagawa and Wada (1986); 28, Yoneyama et al. (1987); 29, Yamazaki et al. (1987); 30, Hoering and Ford (1960); 31, Kohl and Shearer (1980); 32, Shearer and Kohl (1986); 33, Domenach and Corman (1984); 34, Steele et al. (1983); 35, Shearer et al. (1983); 36, Bergersen and Turner (1983); 37, Handley and Raven (1992); 38, Mariotti (1982); 39, Karamanos and Rennie (1978); 40, Hermes et al. (1985).

<sup>a</sup> Calculated as  $\alpha = 1 + ((\delta^{15}N_{source} - \delta^{15}N_{product})/1000).$ 

<sup>b</sup> Calculated from enrichment factor  $\varepsilon$  reported in papers, using  $\alpha = 1 + (\varepsilon/1000)$ .

<sup>c</sup> Calculated as  $\alpha = 1 + (\delta^{15} N_{\text{product}} / \delta^{15} N_{\text{source}}).$ 

### 1.3. Isotopic signatures of N sources

The fractionation of <sup>15</sup>N through biotic and abiotic processes contributes to different ranges of <sup>15</sup>N:<sup>14</sup>N ratios for different N sources. Common sources of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> include animal waste, soil N, and inorganic fertilizer (Heaton, 1986). Animal waste  $\delta^{15}N$  is generally between 10 and 20%, which is higher than the  $\delta^{15}N$  of most of the vegetation animals consume (Fig. 1). Metabolic processes lead to <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in the animal and concurrent <sup>15</sup>N depletion of the excreted N (Steele and Daniel, 1978). Volatilization, a major pathway of N losses from manure, may increase the  $\delta^{15}N$  of manure-N (Table 1), resulting in <sup>15</sup>N-enriched compounds (Wassenaar, 1995). Groundwater  $NO_3^- - \delta^{15}N$  typically falls between 0 and 10% but values as low as -15% (Wilson et al., 1994) and as high as 80%(Böttcher et al., 1990) have been reported (Fig. 1). The typical range of  $NO_3^- - \delta^{15}N$  is similar to the range to the  $\delta^{15}$ N of soil organic N, which reflects the relative rate of the mineralization and nitrification processes. Non-fractionating mineralization occurs more slowly than nitrification, serving as the ratelimiting step (Wilson et al., 1994). Fertilizer- $\delta^{15}$ N ranges from 0.5 to 5% for oxidized N (NO $_3^-$ ), with lower values for the reduced form (i.e.  $NH_4^+$  or urea; Fig. 1). Some of the variation presented in Fig. 1 may also be attributed to fractionations associated with sample preparation prior to analysis.

To follow an N source (e.g. manure or fertilizer-N), it must have a <sup>15</sup>N:<sup>14</sup>N ratio distinct from the N already in the system. Based on the principles of the two pool mixing model, the percentage of N derived from the N source can be calculated once the source N mixes with the <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>14</sup>N present in the system:

$$\% N_{\text{source}} = \frac{\delta^{15} N_{\text{sample}}}{\delta^{15} N_{\text{source}}} 100$$

When using a <sup>15</sup>N-enriched source (e.g. enriched <sup>15</sup>N fertilizer or residue), the percentage N derived from the enriched N source ( $\%N_{\text{enriched source}}$ ) in a particular N pool is calculated as:

$$\%N_{\text{enriched source}} = \frac{\text{atom}\%^{15}\text{N} \text{ excess}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{atom}\%^{15}\text{N} \text{ excess}_{\text{enriched source}}}100$$

defining  $a tom \%^{15}N$  excess as  $a tom \%^{15}N$  minus background  $a tom \%^{15}N$ .

To trace and quantify the flow of applied N through the various N pools, the  $\delta^{15}$ N or the atom%<sup>15</sup>N of the source must be significantly different from the  $\delta^{15}$ N or atom%<sup>15</sup>N of the background N. This is an absolute requirement for <sup>15</sup>N-enriched and <sup>15</sup>N-natural abundance studies.

## 2. Using $\delta^{15}$ N to trace N in landscapes

The first widely reported study of the  $\delta^{15}N$ approach to trace N at the landscape scale was carried out in the Mississippi Valley (Kohl et al., 1971). The total amount of fertilizer-derived N in the Mississippi River was estimated by measuring the  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> upstream and downstream and the  $\delta^{15}N$  of the fertilizer used. Virgin soil collected from fields in the study region was aerobically incubated, producing NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> with a  $\delta^{15}$ N of 13%; N from fertilizers in the study region had a  $\delta^{15}$ N of 3.7‰. Using those two values and measuring the  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the surface water, they calculated that between 55 and 60% of the surface water N in the valley was derived from fertilizer. They also found a significant negative correlation between surface water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration and  $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ , reported as further evidence that a low  $\delta^{15}N$  source (i.e. fertilizer-N) was present to increase NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations and reduce  $\delta^{15}$ N in surface water.

The authors' conclusions generated heavy criticism because the estimates of fertilizer-N input from  $\delta^{15}$ N data were considered unreliable (Broadbent et al., 1980; Hauck et al., 1972). Because the  $\delta^{15}N$  of inorganic soil N depends on several different N processes, each with its own fractionation (Table 1), the  $\delta^{15}$ N of inorganic N in soil or water was thought to be too variable to provide a reliable indicator of an N source. The  $\delta^{15}$ N of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced in a laboratory soil incubation study also may not reflect the average  $\delta^{15}$ N of soil  $NO_3^-$  across a watershed. In follow-up studies, soil <sup>15</sup>N was shown to vary by as much as 20%, further proving its limited use as a tracer (Black and Waring, 1977; Broadbent et al., 1980; Delwiche and Steyn, 1970). Following this early report on  $\delta^{15}N$  and the ensuing controversy, the use of  $\delta^{15}$ N to determine and



Fig. 1. Range of  $\delta^{15}$ N for various organic and inorganic N sources and sinks. Animal—Shearer and Kohl (1992b); fertilizer (NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>)—Kohl et al. (1971), Black and Waring (1977), Farrell et al. (1996), Freyer and Aly (1974), Hübner (1986), Shearer and Kohl (1992b) and Wassenaar (1995); fertilizer (NO<sub>3</sub>)—Freyer and Aly (1974), Hübner (1986), Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel (1998) and Shearer and Kohl (1992b); fertilizer (urea)—Black and Waring (1977), Farrell et al. (1996), Hübner (1986), Sutherland et al. (1993) and Wassenaar (1995); groundwater (NO<sub>3</sub>)— Aravena et al. (1993), Aravena and Robertson (1998), Black and Waring (1977), Böttcher et al. (1990), Burg and Heaton (1998), Cey et al. (1999), Durka et al. (1994), Fogg et al. (1998), Fryar et al. (2000), Feast et al. (1998), Fustec et al. (1991), Herbel and Spalding (1993), Hinkle et al. (2001), Karr et al. (2001), Koba et al. (1997), Komor and Anderson (1993), Kreitler and Browning (1983), Lindau et al. (1997), Mengis et al. (1999), Panno et al. (2001), Wilson et al. (1994) and Wassenaar (1995); landscape—Fustec et al. (1991), Karamanos and Rennie (1980), Karamanos et al. (1981), Garten (1993) and Brandes et al. (1996); light fraction-van Groenigen and van Kessel (2002); manure-Fogg et al. (1998), Fryar et al. (2000), Wassenaar (1995), Heaton (1986), Karr et al. (2001), Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel (1998) and Kreitler and Browning (1983); N<sub>2</sub>—Feast et al. (1998) and Handley and Scrimgeour (1997); N<sub>2</sub>O—Hübner (1986) and Mariotti et al. (1981); N<sub>2</sub>O—Kim and Craig (1993) and Macko and Ostrom (1994); NH<sub>3</sub>—Freyer (1978); rain (NH<sub>4</sub>)—Freyer (1978), Hübner (1986) and Shearer and Kohl (1992b); rain (NO<sub>3</sub>)—Freyer (1978), Hübner (1986), Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel (1998) and Shearer and Kohl (1992b); septic—Fogg et al. (1998) and Fryar et al. (2000); soil (NO<sub>3</sub>)—Black and Waring (1977), Farrell et al. (1996), Koba et al. (1997) and Kreitler and Browning (1983); soil (total N)— Black and Waring (1977), Broadbent et al. (1980), Cheng et al. (1964), Eshetu and Hogberg (2000), Fogg et al. (1998), Garten and van Miegroet (1994), Handley and Scrimgeour (1997), Handley et al. (1999a), Mariotti et al. (1980), Marriott et al. (1997), Shearer and Kohl (1992b), Shearer and Kohl (1986), Shearer et al. (1978) and Wassenaar (1995); surface water (NO<sub>3</sub>)—Brandes et al. (1996), Feast et al. (1998), Harrington et al. (1998) and Mengis et al. (1999); vegetation—Brandes et al. (1996), Eshetu and Hogberg (2000), Garten and van Miegroet (1994), Handley et al. (1999a), Shearer and Kohl (1992b, 1986) and van Groenigen and van Kessel (2002).

quantify the source of N in groundwater or streams was largely abandoned for many years.

Recently, the  $\delta^{15}$ N technique has been successfully applied to tracing N in landscapes, particularly for following potential sources of N to surface- and groundwater systems. The advancement of continuous flow stable isotope ratio mass spectrometers allows up to 150 samples per day to be processed at a precision of 0.2 $\delta$  units for N and at a limited cost. Because landscape studies often require a large number of samples to reasonably capture and quantify the high degree of variability and because the differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N between source and background may be very small, these advancements in sample preparation and increases in precision have been crucial in improving the feasibility of landscape scale <sup>15</sup>N studies.

Agricultural landscapes encompass multiple sources of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and it is important to isolate the source posing the greatest risk to water supplies. When a single strong source dominates, the  $\delta^{15}$ N method may determine the extent of N movement. For example, Karr et al. (2001) studied the effectiveness of riparian buffers in removing NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from landapplied swine waste. They found identical median  $\delta^{15}$ N of 15% for samples taken from swine waste lagoons, groundwater wells, and local streams. The stream values were highest (15.4%) adjacent to and downstream from the farm site, indicating that buffers were not protecting against NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching. The upstream and downstream differences were particularly pronounced when  $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$  measurements were taken the day of swine waste application: downstream  $\delta^{15}N$  was 1.5–10 times greater than upstream  $\delta^{15}N$ .

The  $\delta^{15}$ N technique has also been used to determine which of multiple potential N sources are contributing  $NO_3^-$  to surface and ground water. In some areas, a single source may dominate (Kreitler and Browning, 1983), but more frequently, several sources contribute (Iqbal et al., 1997; Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel, 1998; Wassenaar, 1995; Wilson et al., 1994). For example, Komor and Anderson (1993) found  $\delta^{15}N$  greater than 10% in the groundwater beneath certain feedlots, cultivated-irrigated fields where manure was applied, and septic systems in residential areas, indicating that animal and human waste was a dominant source of  $NO_3^-$  in the sandplain aquifers in central Minnesota. At all non-feedlot locations,  $\delta^{15}$ N of less than 2% provided evidence that inorganic fertilizers from cultivated areas were also contributing  $NO_3^-$  to the aquifers.

Many studies do not report the results of tests of significance difference, but a wide range of differences have been construed as evidence of N sources (Table 2). Although the upstream and downstream

Table 2

Compilation of  $\delta^{15}N$  differences between sources and sinks in landscape and watershed studies that report  $\delta^{15}N$  as a successful tracer of N

Source	Sink	Sink mean $\delta^{15}$ N	(‰)	Detected difference (%)	Reference	
		Without source	With source <sup>a</sup>			
Animal waste	Soil water	2.6	12.2	9.6 <sup>b</sup>	Fogg et al. (1998)	
Animal waste	Stream water	13.2	15.5 (15.4)	2.3°	Karr et al. (2001)	
Animal waste	Groundwater	3.1	21.3	18.2	Komor and Anderson (1993)	
Cultivated-irrigated	Groundwater	3.1	7.4	4.3	Komor and Anderson (1993)	
Cultivated-non-irrigated	Groundwater	3.1	3.4	0.3	Komor and Anderson (1993)	
Poultry manure	Soil	4.3	10.6 (8.3)	6.3	Wassenaar (1995)	
Poultry manure	Soil water	10.8	15.2 (8.3)	4.4	Wassenaar (1995)	
Poultry manure	Groundwater	<6	12 (8.3)	>6	Wassenaar (1995)	
Residential with septic	Groundwater	3.1	6.0	2.9	Komor and Anderson (1993)	
Septic	Groundwater	4.6	9.9	5.3 <sup>d</sup>	Aravena et al. (1993)	
Septic	Soil water	2.6	8.8	6.2 <sup>b</sup>	Fogg et al. (1998)	

<sup>a</sup> Source value, when reported, appears in parentheses.

<sup>b</sup> Significantly different with 95% confidence.

<sup>c</sup> Not significantly different with 95% confidence. Compares upstream values to stream values adjacent to fields with applied swine waste.

<sup>d</sup> Significantly different—confidence level not reported.

 $\delta^{15}$ N were not significantly different, Karr et al. (2001) still suggested swine waste as the primary N source in a North Carolina Catchment. Several studies examining multiple N sources do not even report background values, but instead distinguish among possible N sources for an area by comparing the range of  $\delta^{15}$ N present in the sinks to previously reported source values (Wilson et al., 1994). Many reportedly successful studies have relied on measures of central tendency to detect differences induced by N sources because individual measurements can be highly variable. Lindau et al. (1997) found that although the mean  $\delta^{15}$ N for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> differed by 10%, the individual values overlapped, Edwards (1973) observed that the innate variability of the  $\delta^{15}N$  of fertilizer being applied was of the same order of magnitude as the mean difference between the  $\delta^{15}N$ of the fertilizer and the  $\delta^{15}$ N of the soil-derived NO<sub>3</sub>, and Fogg et al. (1998) observed ranges of  $\geq 10\%$ within the animal sources, depending on the site. Variability within and among sites suggests that comparing  $\delta^{15}$ N to previously reported source values may be misleading. To properly interpret  $\delta^{15}$ N results, variability must be recognized and accounted for.

## 2.1. Sources of $\delta^{15}N$ variability and precautions

When NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> moves from terrestrial to aquatic systems, the N-cycle may increase the  $\delta^{15}$ N of N pools through nitrification, denitrification, ammonia volatilization and/or leaching of depleted NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Handley and Raven, 1992). Denitrification and volatilization have particularly strong isotope effects, leading to large  $\delta^{15}$ N differences. When the <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>14</sup>N isotopes undergo a series of fractionations, differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N among the various source N pools may disappear, limiting the usefulness of  $\delta^{15}$ N measurements (Böttcher et al., 1990; Lindau et al., 1997; Panno et al., 2001; Robinson, 2001; Wilson et al., 1994).

The type of fractionation occurring and the potential rate of the fractionating process under a given set of environmental conditions remain important. For example, Aravena et al. (1993) and Burg and Heaton (1998) suggested that limiting soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or containing animal waste minimizes volatilization losses, resulting in lower  $\delta^{15}$ N than that normally

associated with animal waste subjected to extensive volatilization. When all factors influencing fractionation are not considered, it may be difficult to interpret the results correctly. Harrington et al. (1998) found  $\delta^{15}$ N in streams that might suggest animal sources (7.1‰), but were unwilling to conclusively attribute these to the nearby dairy farm because they could not be certain of the extent of volatilization.

Temporal variability of N-cycle processes may also be a factor. Panno et al. (2001) observed an increase in groundwater  $\delta^{15}$ N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from spring (4.69‰) through summer (6.21‰), fall (6.34‰), and winter (9.32‰) due to differing denitrification rates. Increasing the temporal frequency of sampling and incorporating seasonal land use data could minimize ambiguities due to temporal variability (Iqbal et al., 1997; Komor and Anderson, 1993).

The spatial variability of  $\delta^{15}$ N for the various N sources should also be considered. Observed increases in  $\delta^{15}$ N with soil profile and groundwater well depth have been attributed primarily to increased denitrification with depth. In soils, biodegradation, humification, and increased adsorption of  $NO_3^-$  by soil with depth may also alter the isotopic signature. Black and Waring (1977) observed soil  $\delta^{15}$ N ranging from 5.5% near the surface to 10.6% at depths of 5 m. Similarly, in groundwater, Cey et al. (1999) observed a range for  $NO_3^-$  from 4.8% near the surface to 24.8% for the deepest sampling well and Böttcher et al. (1990) observed a range of nearly 80% between shallow and deep sampling wells. Although high  $\delta^{15}N$  for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> should make it easy to trace, the quantities of  $NO_3^-$  are often too small due to denitrification losses (Fustec et al., 1991; Farrell et al., 1996).

Spatial variability of organic N mineralization may contribute to different  $\delta^{15}$ N for surface mineral soil N across study sites (Garten and van Miegroet, 1994). Plant  $\delta^{15}$ N reflects the tremendous variability of the available soil N (Sutherland et al., 1991, 1993; Garten, 1993), although other factors such as stress, genotype and mycorrhizal associations also influence plant  $\delta^{15}$ N (Handley et al., 1999b; Handley and Scrimgeour, 1997; van Groenigen and van Kessel, 2002).

There are no clear or easy rules regarding the minimum difference required between the source and background  $\delta^{15}N$  to trace N in a landscape. When there is only one source of N, and that source has stable and unique  $\delta^{15}N$ , the minimum difference in

 $\delta^{15}$ N between the source and background can be small. For example, atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> is the only N source for N<sub>2</sub> fixation, and has known, stable  $\delta^{15}$ N (typically assumed to be 0). Minimal isotopic fractionation occurs during N2 fixation by legume symbioses (Table 1), so differences of only a few  $\delta^{15}$ N units could be sufficient to determine the relative contribution of N<sub>2</sub> fixation, assuming the  $\delta^{15}$ N of an adjacent non-N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant accurately reflects the  $\delta^{15}$ N of the soil available N pool. If there are several N processes that can change the  $\delta^{15}$ N of the N source or the N sink, a difference of only a few  $\delta^{15}$ N units between the source and sink will not suffice. Although a survey of studies that used the  $\delta^{15}$ N method across landscapes shows a mean difference of 5.9% (Table 2), variability in the type and number of fractionating processes dictates that no single number can be meaningfully applied in all cases without corresponding statistical analyses.

## 3. Landscape patterns of $\delta^{15}N$

Broadbent et al. (1980) found soil  $\delta^{15}$ N spatial variability of the same magnitude as the difference between soil and atmospheric N, whereas Fogg et al. (1998) found negligible spatial variability in flat, uniform soils. Where large variations in  $\delta^{15}$ N are present, they may be related to landscape-scale variations in topography within a given site (Karamanos et al., 1981). Soil  $\delta^{15}$ N and plant  $\delta^{15}$ N can have depression-centered patterns, suggesting that even very minor differences in drainage can dramatically influence not only soil profile characteristics, but also soil organic matter and N-cycle processes (Karamanos and Rennie, 1980).

By examining several studies, Shearer and Kohl (1986) observed that the  $\delta^{15}$ N of soils on lower slopes and in riparian zones tends to be greater than on upper slopes and ridges (Fig. 1). In forest soils in Ohio, Morris and Boerner (1998) observed that topography was the best predictor of mineralization and nitrification differences, because it can control soil moisture, microclimate, nutrient levels and soil formation. Several studies have successfully illustrated the landscape pattern of N-mineralization using  $\delta^{15}$ N. Mineralization tends to be higher (30% higher as reported by Garten (1993)) in or adjacent to depressions where soil water converges than on the drier upper slopes (Pennock et al., 1992; Stevenson et al., 1995). This pattern contributes to the greater levels of soil inorganic N in depressions and valley bottoms (Stevenson et al., 1995).

Topography also indirectly influences denitrification (van Kessel et al., 1993; Corre et al., 1996; Velthof et al., 2000): it occurs where water table fluctuations result in anaerobic microsites within the soil (Fryar et al., 2000), within the hyporheic zone of streams (Hinkle et al., 2001), in the organic-rich soils of the riparian zone (Cey et al., 1999), or within the stream or groundwater (Aravena and Robertson, 1998; Iqbal et al., 1997; Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel, 1998; Komor and Anderson, 1993; Mengis et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 1994). Thus, denitrification displays a distinct landscape-scale pattern, with maximum values in the depressions (up to  $0.48 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$  as reported in Sutherland et al. (1993)) and minimum values on the knolls (Groffman and Tiedje, 1989; Pennock et al., 1992; van Kessel et al., 1993). Sutherland et al. (1993) observed this pattern in landscapes with gradients as gentle as 1°. Clay et al. (1997) attributed a net N loss of up to  $95 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  in the depressions to denitrification, suggesting that denitrification reduces the amount of  $NO_3^-$  available for leaching, consistent with lower median NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in depressions (Farrell et al., 1996). Denitrification enriches the unreacted  $NO_3^-$  in <sup>15</sup>N, which may also partially explain the higher foliar  $\delta^{15}$ N in depressions. Failing to account for denitrification results in underestimation of gross nitrification (Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel, 1998).

In riparian landscapes, several N-cycle processes may occur over a very short distance, giving rise to a complex spatial pattern for  $\delta^{15}$ N. For example, Brandes et al. (1996) observed rapid changes in isotopic enrichment and N concentrations between the riparian zone and the stream channel. At the boundary between the uplands and the riparian zone, very low NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations and high <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> suggested partial nitrification followed by nearly complete denitrification. Preferential removal of N by plants may also have been a factor. Gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> losses were considered unlikely due to the low pH (<6.0) of the groundwater. Within the riparian zone, the release of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> by organic matter mineralization enhanced the rapid spatial transition from a NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>



Fig. 2. A conceptual overview of landscape influence of  $\delta^{15}$ N of organic and inorganic N.

 $(\delta^{15}N = 6.25 \pm 0.9\%)$  dominated system upland to an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ( $\delta^{15}N = 9.17 \pm 1.0\%$ ) dominated system in the riparian zone.

A conceptual model depicting changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N of the various N sources and sinks along a landscape can be constructed (Fig. 2). The two main processes that can lead to major N losses along a landscape are denitrification and the volatilization of NH<sub>3</sub> (Table 1), which both show a high <sup>15</sup>N enrichment factor. The long-term effects of other processes, such as leaching and runoff, on the signature of the residual N are unknown. If  $NO_3^-$  and soluble C are present, higher soil moisture content in the lower landscape positions increases denitrification activity (Fig. 2), increasing the  $\delta^{15}$ N of plant and soil organic matter-N (Sutherland et al., 1993; Fig. 2). Ammonia volatilization occurs mainly following the application of urea fertilizers and manure but remains largely independent of topographic influences with the exception of soil pH. In some landscapes, topographic controls on soil moisture affect depth to CaCO<sub>3</sub> contributing to higher pH in the upper landscape positions (Pennock et al., 1987, 1992). Because high soil pH increases

volatilization (Tisdale et al., 1993), upper landscape positions may be more susceptible to N losses.

The N that enters the system by dry deposition has  $\delta^{15}$ N of approximately 0%, whereas wet deposition is depleted in <sup>15</sup>N (Fig. 1, rain), but in general the inputs would be too small to significantly alter soil- $\delta^{15}$ N across landscapes with high N fertilizer input. N<sub>2</sub> fixation by legume symbioses also lacks a clear landscape pattern because of its minimal isotopic effect and its  $\delta^{15}$ N close to 0%. The amount of fixed N, however, varies across the landscape, controlled by the amount of available soil N (Androsoff et al., 1995; Stevenson et al., 1995). Once fixed, the N can be denitrified or lost by volatilization thereby changing the isotopic composition of the available soil N pool.

## 4. <sup>15</sup>N enriched method

The material applied in the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method has a <sup>15</sup>N concentration significantly different from unlabeled N in the system being examined. The <sup>15</sup>N enrichment or depletion of an N pool by adding

isotopically labeled material will reflect where and to what extent the enriched material has been incorporated (Hauck and Bremner, 1976). This allows determination of the rate of N cycling in the various N pools in the ecosystem, determination of the total applied N losses from the ecosystem, and refinement of ecosystem-scale models of N cycling (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1994). This technique has been used extensively for a number of years, and has been accepted by the scientific community at large as the most reliable way to follow the flow and fate of N in systems. Researchers are increasingly using the <sup>15</sup>Nenriched technique at the large plot or small catchment scale (1-10 ha, Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1994). The cost of applying <sup>15</sup>N-enriched materials is a significant disadvantage, particularly at landscape scales. Depending on the compound, the current price (2002) of 99.9 atom%<sup>15</sup>N is >\$200US per g of  $^{15}$ N.

## 4.1. Using <sup>15</sup>N enriched tracers in landscapes

The relatively narrow range of  $\delta^{15}N$  for most natural materials (Fig. 1) allows application of slightly <sup>15</sup>N-enriched tracers with atom $\%^{15}$ N excess of 0.04– 0.4 to be used as tracers in ecosystems (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1994). Landscape-scale research using such slightly enriched tracers can improve the potential for isolating the various N-cycle processes and sinks within a given ecosystem, and allows for more precise determination of N turnover rates (Mulholland et al., 2000; Tank et al., 2000). Repeated watershed studies from ecosystems throughout North America used <sup>15</sup>Nenriched tracers  $({}^{15}NH_4^+)$  in streams to examine N dynamics (Peterson et al., 2001). Overall, the stream bottom took up 70-80% of the  ${}^{15}NH_4^+$ , and 20-30% was removed by nitrification. While nitrification added  $NO_3^-$  to the stream, biological assimilation and denitrification removed  $NO_3^-$  from the stream, contributing to a dynamic balance among these processes. This study also demonstrated the importance of small streams for limiting  $NO_3^-$  input in large watersheds because their surface-to-volume ratio contributes to rapid N cycling (Peterson et al., 2001).

When potential sources of N are labeled with <sup>15</sup>N, their flow and fate in the landscape can be traced. For example, Di et al. (1999) examined relative leaching losses using <sup>15</sup>N-labeled dairy-shed effluent and  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer. The autumn-applied N had higher potential

for leaching from both sources than N applied in spring, but the dairy-shed effluent had lower leaching losses in the year following application. Between 4.5 and 8.1% of the <sup>15</sup>N-labeled mineral N from the effluent was lost, in contrast to 15.1-18.8% of the fertilizer N. The difference was accounted for by the stimulated microbial activities and increased immobilization associated with the application of dairy-shed effluent.

# 5. Relative advantages of the $\delta^{15}$ N and enriched <sup>15</sup>N methods

The  $\delta^{15}$ N and <sup>15</sup>N-enriched methods both require a significant difference between the atom%<sup>15</sup>N of the source being examined and the background <sup>15</sup>N (Table 3). If the N sources are not sufficiently distinct, the occurrence of isotopic fractionation could limit the inferences drawn (Shearer and Kohl, 1986). Hence, both methods require knowledge of the atom%<sup>15</sup>N of the N pools in the ecosystem being studied (Robinson, 2001), including a characterization of spatial variability of the <sup>15</sup>N background (Chalk and Ladha, 1999). In particular, the  $\delta^{15}$ N method requires an understanding of landscape-induced variability in  $\delta^{15}$ N caused by isotopic fractionation during the N-cycle (Fig. 2).

The two methods differ in the amount of disturbance to the ecosystem and the type of information collected (Table 3). With the  $\delta^{15}N$ method, the function of ecosystems can be examined without adding an external source of N (Garten and van Miegroet, 1994; Shearer and Kohl, 1992b). Adding N, whether labeled or at the natural abundance level (manure or fertilizer), will influence the N-cycle processes, limiting the validity of conclusions regarding the flow of N. This may be of little concern in agro-ecosystems with routine additions of fertilizer N, but could have a confounding effect in previously undisturbed ecosystems. When using the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method in undisturbed ecosystems, it may be preferable to apply a minimal amount of a highly <sup>15</sup>N-enriched compound (i.e. easily detectable), but the cost of a highly enriched source may be restrictive (Table 3).

It has been argued that the use of  $\delta^{15}$ N as a tracer requires great caution (Handley and Scrimgeour,

Comparison of <sup>15</sup>N enriched and  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance techniques for landscape-scale studies. Adapted and modified from Robinson (2001)

	<sup>15</sup> N enriched	$\delta^{15}$ N natural abundance
Range of <sup>15</sup> N abundance	Greater than natural abundance range	Within natural abundance range
System perturbation	Minimal if typical N applications can	Minimal to zero if only examining
	be enriched	existing N pools
	High if labeled N (i.e. manure,	High if unlabeled N (i.e. manure,
	fertilizer) applied to a system that	fertilizer) applied to a system that
	does not ordinarily have added N	does not ordinarily have added N
Cost of tracer	High, particularly at the landscape and watershed scales	Zero
Ease of detection	Excellent	Poor to good
Duration of study	<1 h to $>1$ year	$>1$ h to $\gg 1$ year
Scale of study	Pot to landscape	Pot to landscape
Conditions required	<sup>15</sup> N range of enriched N tracer	Significant differences in $\delta^{15}$ N
-	greater than natural range	among all sources and sinks
		(mean in Table $2 = 5.9\%$ )
Isotopic information required	<sup>15</sup> N of tracer before addition to	$\delta^{15}$ N of all potential N sources
	the system	•
	Amount of tracer added	<sup>15</sup> N of the system before and
		after any N addition
	<sup>15</sup> N of the system before and	N fluxes among pools if more
	after tracer addition	than two sources are involved
		Landscape influences on fractionation
		and system values (must account for
		influences on $\delta^{15}$ N background range)
Other information required	Ecology, biology and history of system	Ecology, biology and history of system
	being studied	being studied
Interpretive model	Mixing	Mixing
Information obtained	Amounts and rates of mixing of	Amounts and rates of mixing of
	enriched N in potential pools	source N in potential pools
	Quantitative	Semi-quantitative to qualitative
Applications	Testing hypotheses	Pattern analysis
**		Generating hypotheses

1997; Robinson, 2001). Nitrogen input by N<sub>2</sub> fixation across a landscape was used to emphasize this point because the source of fixed N is atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>, which has a very stable isotopic signature (Mariotti, 1983). Assuming that only biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation changes the isotopic signature of an N<sub>2</sub>-fixing legume compared to an adjacent non-N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant, estimating N input by N<sub>2</sub> fixation across a landscape should be well-suited to quantification by  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N. Handley and Scrimgeour (1997) cited, inter alia, the absence of a significant correlation between concurrent estimates of N input by N<sub>2</sub> fixation across landscapes by both the <sup>15</sup>N enriched and the  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N approach (Androsoff et al., 1995; Stevenson et al., 1995) as evidence that the  $\delta$ <sup>15</sup>N Boddey et al. (2000) strongly disagreed with Handley and Scrimgeour's (1997) argument, countering that high variability of the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing process across the landscape caused the lack of a significant correlation between the <sup>15</sup>N enriched and the  $\delta^{15}$ N approach. Indeed, in a subsequent landscape study the spatial variation in N input by biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation was found to be too large to allow a comparison to be made between the <sup>15</sup>N enriched and the  $\delta^{15}$ N approaches (Walley et al., 2001). However, the results by Walley et al. (2001) and Sutherland et al. (1991) also implied that the shortrange variability in the  $\delta^{15}$ N of plant available soil N across the landscape was high, the causes of which remain unknown. Such unpredictable short-range variability in the  $\delta^{15}$ N of available soil N lends support to Handley and Scrimgeour's (1997) argument of the limited suitability of the  $\delta^{15}$ N approach for tracing N at the landscape scale.

When an artificially enriched source is used as a tracer, the occurrence of fractionating processes and the innate variability of  $\delta^{15}N$  do not affect study outcome (Broadbent et al., 1980). In <sup>15</sup>N-enriched studies, the variability inherent to soil  $\delta^{15}$ N is only a problem when the applied tracers are highly diluted (Cheng et al., 1964) and the difference between the atom%<sup>15</sup>N of the source and sinks becomes small. Typically, when using <sup>15</sup>N-enriched material as a tracer, the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the source or the <sup>15</sup>N depletion of the product will be well outside the experimental variability in  $\delta^{15}N$  of the N in the background. Thus, <sup>15</sup>N enriched tracer methods are better suited to studies examining the fate and transformations of N and tracing the path of N from source to sink, whereas the  $\delta^{15}N$  method may be limited to analyzing patterns and generating hypotheses (Table 3; Handley and Scrimgeour, 1997; Hauck and Bremner, 1976).

#### 6. Conclusions

Several studies have reported success in using  $\delta^{15}$ N at the landscape scale to determine the primary sources of N in a given system and to examine N cycling under different conditions. The average difference between source and background  $\delta^{15}N$ was 5.9%. Although it may be tempting to use this mean difference of approximately  $6\delta$  units as a measure of success, each study will have its own statistical measure of difference. As with <sup>15</sup>Nenriched studies, the amount of N applied, its  $\delta^{15}$ N, and the size and turnover rates of the various N pools will ultimately determine what constitutes a meaningful separation. Fractionation can alter the  $\delta^{15}$ N of the source, thereby increasing variability and limiting the interpretability of the  $\delta^{15}$ N. The amount of fractionation can be affected by temporal or spatial variability of N-cycle processes across the landscape. Even when the spatial variability can be accounted for (i.e. by landscape patterns), it has been argued that the inherent short-range variability of  $\delta^{15}N$  limits the usefulness of natural abundance techniques to qualitative or semi-quantitative interpretations. To overcome these limitations, some researchers have successfully applied artificially enriched tracers at the large plot or small catchment scale (Nadelhoffer and Fry, 1994). The <sup>15</sup>N levels of labeled tracers may be predetermined to ensure detection within the various N pools, allowing for more precise quantification of the rates and types of processes occurring.

Given the increasing levels of N in surface- and groundwater systems, identification of the primary sources of N and modification of management practices are important. The  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $^{15}N$ -enriched approaches may both contribute to this endeavor. The  $\delta^{15}$ N technique can work well in undisturbed ecosystems where applying N tracers, enriched or non-enriched, may influence the normal functioning of the system. Furthermore, the lower cost of  $\delta^{15}N$ studies can provide an excellent first approximation of N sources and cycling at a given site. In agroecosystems where the occurrence of  $NO_3^-$  movement has already been established, it may be most beneficial to use a combination of  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $^{15}N$ enriched approaches to provide a complete, accurate picture of the flow and fate of N sources.

Overall, we concur with Robinson (2001) who stated in a recent review that the  $\delta^{15}N$  approach should be used for semi-quantitative studies of  $\delta^{15}$ N pattern analysis and for generating new hypotheses about N cycling, whereas the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method can also be used to quantitatively test hypotheses. Both methods require an understanding of the variability of  $\delta^{15}$ N across a landscape, whether innate or due to fractionating processes; when the majority of the variability in  $\delta^{15}$ N can be explained, the  $\delta^{15}$ N method provides a less invasive, more affordable way to identify sources of N. In all situations where variability in  $\delta^{15}$ N cannot be thoroughly accounted for and where differences between the  $\delta^{15}N$  of the source and sink are too small, the <sup>15</sup>N-enriched method is recommended.

#### Acknowledgments

Comments from D. Kohl, G. Shearer, D. Robinson and L. Handley greatly improved this manuscript. A.B.H received scholarship funds from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

#### References

- Androsoff, G.L., van Kessel, C., Pennock, D.J., 1995. Landscapescale estimates of dinitrogen fixation by *Pisum sativum* by nitrogen-15 natural abundance and enriched isotope dilution. Biol. Fertil. Soils 20, 33–40.
- Aravena, R., Robertson, W.D., 1998. Use of multiple isotope tracers to evaluate denitrification in ground water: study of nitrite from a large-flux septic system plume. Ground Water 36, 975–982.
- Aravena, R., Evans, M.L., Cherry, J.A., 1993. Stable isotopes of oxygen and nitrogen in source identification of nitrate from septic systems. Ground Water 31, 180–186.
- Bergersen, G.J., Turner, G.L., 1983. An evaluation of <sup>15</sup>N methods for estimating nitrogen-fixation in a subterranean cloverperennial ryegrass sward. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 34, 391–401.
- Black, A.S., Waring, S.A., 1977. The natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in the soil–water system of a small catchment area. Aust. J. Soil Res. 15, 51–57.
- Boddey, R.M., Peoples, M.B., Palmer, B., Dart, P.J., 2000. Use of the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 57, 235–270.
- Böttcher, J., Strebel, O., Voerkelius, S., Schmidt, H.-L., 1990. Using isotope fractionation of nitrate-nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer. J. Hydrol. 114, 413–424.
- Brandes, J.A., McClain, M.E., Pimentel, T.P., 1996. <sup>15</sup>N evidence for the origin and cycling of inorganic nitrogen in a small Amazonian catchment. Biogeochemistry 34, 45–56.
- Broadbent, F.E., Rauschkolb, R.S., Lewis, K.A., Chang, G.Y., 1980. Spatial variability of nitrogen-15 and total nitrogen in some virgin and cultivated soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44, 524–527.
- Burg, A., Heaton, T.H.E., 1998. The relationship between the nitrate concentration and hydrology of a small chalk spring; Israel.. J. Hydrol. 204, 68–82.
- Cey, E.E., Rudolph, D.L., Aravena, R., Parkin, G., 1999. Role of the riparian zone in controlling the distribution and fate of agricultural nitrogen near a small stream in southern Ontario. J. Cont. Hydrol. 37, 45–67.
- Chalk, P.M., Ladha, J.K., 1999. Estimation of legume symbiotic dependence: an evaluation of techniques based on <sup>15</sup>N dilution. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 1901–1917.
- Cheng, H.H., Bremner, J.M., Edwards, A.P., 1964. Variations in nitrogen-15 abundance in soils. Science 146, 1574–1575.
- Clay, D.E., Chang, J., Clay, S.A., Ellsbury, M., Carlson, C.G., Malo, D.D., Woodson, D., DeSutter, T., 1997. Field scale variability of nitrogen and δ<sup>15</sup>N in soil and plants. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 28, 1513–1527.
- Corre, M.D., van Kessel, C., Pennock, D.J., 1996. Landscape and seasonal patterns of nitrous oxide emissions in a semiarid region. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 60, 1806–1815.

- Delwiche, C.C., Steyn, P.L., 1970. Nitrogen isotope fractionation in soils and microbial reactions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4, 929–935.
- Di, H.J., Cameron, K.C., Moore, S., Smith, N.P., 1999. Contribution to nitrogen leaching and pasture uptake by autumn-applied dairy effluent and ammonium fertilizer labeled with <sup>15</sup>N isotope. Plant Soil 210, 189–198.
- Domenach, A.M., Corman, A., 1984. Dinitrogen fixation by field grown soybeans; statistical analysis of variations in  $\delta^{15}$ N and proposed sampling procedures. Plant Soil 78, 301–313.
- Durka, W., Schulze, E.-D., Gebauer, G., Voerkellus, S., 1994. Effects of forest decline on uptake and leaching of deposited nitrate determined from <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O measurements. Nature 372, 765–767.
- Edwards, A.P., 1973. Isotopic tracer techniques for identification of sources of nitrate pollution. J. Environ. Qual. 2, 382–387.
- Eshetu, Z., Hogberg, P., 2000. Effects of land use on <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance of soils in Ethiopian highlands. Plant Soil 222, 109–117.
- FAO, United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization, 1999. FAOSTAT, http://apps.fao.org/page/form?collection = Fertilizers&Domain.
- Farrell, R.E., Sandercock, P.J., Pennock, D.J., van Kessel, C., 1996. Landscape-scale variations in leached nitrate: relationship to denitrification and natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 60, 1410–1415.
- Feast, N.A., Hiscock, K.M., Dennis, P.F., Andrews, J.N., 1998. Nitrogen isotope hydrochemistry and denitrification within the Chalk aquifer system of north Norfolk, UK. J. Hydrol. 211, 233–252.
- Fogg, G.E., Rolston, D.E., Decker, D.L., Louie, D.T., Grismer, M.E., 1998. Spatial variation in nitrogen isotope values beneath nitrate contamination sources. Ground Water 36, 418–426.
- Freyer, H.D., 1978. Seasonal trends of NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nitrogen isotope composition in rain collected at Julich, Germany. Tellus 30, 83–92.
- Freyer, H.D., Aly, A.I.M., 1974. Nitrogen-15 variations in fertilizer nitrogen. J. Environ. Qual. 3, 405–406.
- Fry, A., 1970. Heavy atom isotope effects in organic reaction mechanism studies. In: Collins, C.J., Bowman, N.S. (Eds.), Effects in Chemical Reactions, Van Nostrand Reingold, New York, pp. 365–414.
- Fryar, A.E., Macko, S.A., III, W.F.M., Romanak, K.D., Bennett, P.C., 2000. Nitrate reduction during ground-water recharge, Southern High Plains, Texas. J. Cont. Hydrol. 40, 335–363.
- Fustec, E., Mariotti, A., Grillo, X., Sajus, J., 1991. Nitrate removal by denitrification in alluvial ground water: role of a former channel. J. Hydrol. 123, 337–354.
- Garten, C.T. Jr., 1993. Variation in foliar <sup>15</sup>N abundance and the availability of soil nitrogen on Walker Branch watershed. Ecology 74, 2098–2113.
- Garten, C.T. Jr., van Miegroet, H., 1994. Relationships between soil nitrogen dynamics and natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance in plant foliage from Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 1636–1645.
- van Groenigen, J.W., van Kessel, C., 2002. Salinity-induced patterns of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N in plant tissue and soil organic matter fractions. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66, 489–498.

- Groffman, P.M., Tiedje, J.M., 1989. Denitrification in north temperate forest soils: spatial and temporal patterns at the landscape and seasonal scales. Soil Biol. Biochem. 21, 613–620.
- Handley, L.L., Raven, J.A., 1992. The use of natural abundance of nitrogen isotopes in plant physiology and ecology. Plant, Cell, Environ. 15, 965–985.
- Handley, L.L., Scrimgeour, C.M., 1997. Terrestrial plant ecology and <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance: the present limits to interpretation for uncultivated systems with original data from a Scottish old field. Adv. Ecol. Res. 27, 133–212.
- Handley, L.L., Austin, A.T., Robinson, D., Scrimgeour, C.M., Raven, J.A., Heaton, T.H.E., Schmidt, S., Stewart, G.R., 1999a. The <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance (delta N-15) of ecosystem samples reflects measures of water availability. Aust. J. Plant Phys. 26, 185–199.
- Handley, L.L., Azcon, R., Lozano, J.M.R., Scrimgeour, C.M., 1999b. Plant delta N-15 associated with arbuscular mycorrhization, drought and nitrogen deficiency. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 13, 1320–1324.
- Harrington, R.R., Kennedy, B.P., Chamberlain, C.P., Blum, J.D., Folt, C.L., 1998. <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in agricultural catchments: field patterns and applications to tracking Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Chem. Geol. 147, 281–294.
- Hauck, R.D., Bremner, J.M., 1976. Use of tracers for soil and fertilizer nitrogen research. Adv. Agric. 28, 219–266.
- Hauck, R.D., Bartholomew, W.V., Bremner, J.M., Broadbent, F.E., Cheng, H.H., Edwards, A.P., Keeney, D.R., Legg, J.O., Olsen, S.R., Porter, L.K., Kohl, D.H., Shearer, G.B., Commoner, B., 1972. Use of variations in natural nitrogen isotope abundance for environmental studies: a questionable approach. Science 177, 453–456.
- Heaton, T.H.E., 1986. Isotopic studies of nitrogen pollution in the hydrosphere and atmosphere: a review. Chem. Geol. 59, 87–102.
- Herbel, M.J., Spalding, R.F., 1993. Vadose zone fertilizer-derived nitrate and <sup>15</sup>N extracts. Ground Water 31, 376–382.
- Hermes, J., Weiss, P., Cleland, W.W., 1985. The use of nitrogen-15 and deuterium isotope effects to determine the chemical mechanism of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase. Biochemistry 24, 2959–2967.
- Hinkle, S.R., Duff, J.H., Triska, F.J., Laenen, A., Gates, E.B., Bencala, K.E., Wentz, D.A., Silva, S.R., 2001. Linking hyporheic flow and nitrogen cycling near the Willamette River—a large river in Oregon, USA. J. Hydrol. 244, 157–180.
- Hoering, T.C., Ford, H.T., 1960. The isotope effect in the fixation of nitrogen by *Azotobacter*. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 376–378.
- Hübner, H., 1986. Isotope effects of nitrogen in the soil and biosphere. In: Fritz, P., Fontes, J.Ch. (Eds.), Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 361–425.
- Iqbal, M.Z., Krothe, N.C., Spalding, R.F., 1997. Nitrogen isotope indicators of seasonal source variability to groundwater. Environ. Geol. 32, 210–218.
- Junk, G., Svec, H.V., 1958. The absolute abundance of the nitrogen isotopes in the atmosphere and compressed gas from various sources. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 14, 234–243.
- Kamprath, E.J., 2000. Soil fertility and plant nutrition. In: Sumner, M.E., (Ed.), Handbook of Soil Science, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. D1–D186.

- Karamanos, R.E., Rennie, D.A., 1978. Nitrogen isotope fractionation during ammonium exchange-reactions with soil clay. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58, 53–60.
- Karamanos, R.E., Rennie, D.A., 1980. Changes in natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance associated with pedogenic processes in soil. II. Changes on different slope positions. Can. J. Soil Sci. 60, 365–372.
- Karamanos, R.E., Voroney, R.P., Rennie, D.A., 1981. Variation in natural N-15 abundance of central Saskatchewan soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45, 826–828.
- Karr, J.D., Showers, W.J., Gilliam, J.W., Andres, A.S., 2001. Tracing nitrate transport and environmental impact from intensive swine farming using delta nitrogen-15. J. Environ. Qual. 30, 1163–1175.
- Kellman, L., Hillaire-Marcel, C., 1998. Nitrate cycling in streams: using natural abundances. Biogeochemistry 43, 273–292.
- van Kessel, C., Pennock, D.J., Farrell, R.E., 1993. Seasonal variations in denitrification and nitrous oxide evolution at the landscape scale. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57, 988–995.
- Kim, K.R., Craig, H., 1993. Nitrogen-15 and oxygen-18 characteristics of nitrous oxide: a global perspective. Science 262, 1855–1857.
- Koba, K., Tokuchi, N., Wada, E., Nakajima, T., Iwatsubo, G., 1997. Intermittent denitrification: the application of a <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance method to a forested ecosystem. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 61, 5043–5050.
- Kohl, D.H., Shearer, G., 1980. Isotopic fractionation associated with symbiotic  $N_2$  fixation and uptake of  $NO_3^-$  by plants. Plant Physiol. 66, 51–56.
- Kohl, D.H., Shearer, G.B., Commoner, B., 1971. Fertilizer nitrogen: contribution to nitrate in surface water in a corn belt watershed. Science 174, 1331–1334.
- Komor, S.C., Anderson, H.W. Jr., 1993. Nitrogen isotopes as indicators of nitrate sources in Minnesota sand-plain aquifers. Ground Water 31, 260–270.
- Kreitler, C.W., 1975. Determining the source of nitrate in groundwater by nitrogen isotope studies. Univ. Texas, Austin, Texas, Bur. Econ. Geol. Rep. Invest. 83, 57.
- Kreitler, C.W., Browning, L.A., 1983. Nitrogen-isotope analysis of groundwater nitrate in carbonate aquifers: natural sources versus human pollution. J. Hydrol. 61, 285–301.
- Ladd, J.N., Jackson, R.B., 1982. Biochemistry of ammonification. In: Stevenson, F.J., (Ed.), Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils, Agronomy Monograph No. 22, pp. 173–228.
- Ledgard, S.F., Woo, K.C., Bergersen, F.J., 1985. Isotopic fractionation during reduction of nitrate and nitrite by extracts of spinach leaves. Aust. J. Plant Phys. 12, 631–640.
- Lindau, C.W., Delaune, R.D., Alford, D.P., 1997. Monitoring nitrogen pollution from sugarcane runoff using <sup>15</sup>N analysis. Water, Air, Soil Polut. 89, 389–399.
- Macko, S.A., Ostrom, N.E., 1994. Pollution studies using stable isotopes. In: Lajtha, K., Michener, R.H. (Eds.), Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 45–62.
- Macko, S.A., Fogel, M.L., Hare, P.E., Hoering, T.C., 1987. Isotopic fractionation of nitrogen and carbon in the synthesis of amino acids by microorganisms. Chem. Geol. 65, 79–92.

- Mariotti, A., 1982. Apports de la géochimie isotopique a la connaissance du cycle de l'asote. Mémoires des sciences de la terre. Université P. et M. Curie Paris, No. 82-13.
- Mariotti, A., 1983. Atmospheric nitrogen is a reliable standard for natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance measurements. Nature 303, 685–687.
- Mariotti, A., Mariotti, F., Champigny, M.L., Amanger, N., Moyse, A., 1980. Nitrogen isotope fractionation associated with nitrate reductase activity and uptake of nitrate by Pearl millet. Plant Physiol. 69, 880–884.
- Mariotti, A., Germon, J.C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., Tardieux, P., 1981. Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil 62, 413–430.
- Mariotti, A., Germon, J.C., Leclerc, A., Catroux, G., Letoile, R., 1982. Experimental determination of kinetic isotope fractionation of nitrogen isotopes during denitrification. In: Schmidt, H.L., Forstel, H., Keinoingen, H. (Eds.), Stable Isotopes, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 459–464.
- Mariotti, A., Landreau, A., Simon, B., 1988. <sup>15</sup>N isotope biogeochemistry and natural denitrification process in groundwater: application to the chalk aquifer of northern France. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 52, 1869–1878.
- Marriott, C.A., Hudson, G., Hamilton, D., Neilson, R., Boag, B., Handley, L.L., Wishart, J., Scrimgeour, C.M., Robinson, D., 1997. Spatial variability of soil total C and N and their stable isotopes in an upland Scottish grassland. Plant Soil 196, 151–162.
- Medina, R., Schmidt, H.L., 1982. Nitrogen isotope ratio variations in biological material, indicator for metabolic considerations? .
  In: Schmidt, H.L., Forstel, H., Keinoingen, H. (Eds.), Stable Isotopes, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 465–473.
- Mengel, K., Kirkby, E.A., 1982. Principles of Plant Nutrition, International Potash Institute, Bern.
- Mengis, M., Schiff, S.L., Harris, M., English, M.C., Aravena, R., Elgood, R.J., MacLean, A., 1999. Multiple geochemical and isotopic approaches for assessing ground water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> elimination in a riparian zone. Ground Water 37, 448–457.
- Minagawa, M., Wada, E., 1986. Nitrogen isotope ratios of red tide organisms in the east China sea: a characterization of biological nitrogen fixation. Marine Chem. 19, 245–259.
- Morris, S.J., Boerner, R.E.J., 1998. Landscape patterns of nitrogen mineralization and nitrification in southern Ohio hardwood forests. Landscape Ecol. 13, 215–224.
- Mosier, A.R., Bleken, M.A., Chaiwanakupt, P., Ellis, E.C., Freney, J.R., Howarth, R.B., Matson, P.A., Minami, K., Naylor, R., Weeks, K.N., Zhu, Z., 2001. Policy implications of humusaccelerated nitrogen cycling. Biogeochemistry 52, 281–320.
- Mulholland, P.J., Tank, J.L., Sanzone, D.M., Wollheim, W.M., Peterson, B.J., Webster, J.R., Meyer, J.L., 2000. Nitrogen cycling in a forest stream determined by a <sup>15</sup>N tracer addition. Ecol. Mono. 70, 471–493.
- Nadelhoffer, K.J., Fry, B., 1994. Nitrogen isotope studies in forest ecosystems. In: Lajtha, K., Mitchener, R.H. (Eds.), Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 22–44.

- Panno, S.V., Hackley, K.C., Hwang, H.H., Kelly, W.R., 2001. Determination of the sources of nitrate contamination in karst springs using isotopic and chemical indicators. Chem. Geol. 179, 113–128.
- Pennock, D.J., Zebarth, B.J., de Jong, E., 1987. Landform classification and soil distribution in hummocky terrain, Saskatchewan, Canada. Geoderma 40, 297–315.
- Pennock, J.R., Sharp, J.H., Ludham, D.J., Velinsky, D.J., Fogel, M.L., 1988. Isotope fractionation of nitrogen during the uptake of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by *Skeletonema costatum*. EOS 69, 1098.
- Pennock, D.J., van Kessel, C., Farrell, R.E., Sutherland, R.A., 1992. Landscape-scale variations in denitrification. Soil Sci. Soc Am. J. 56, 770–776.
- Peterson, B.J., Wollheim, W.M., Mulholland, P.J., Webster, J.R., Meyer, J.L., Tank, J.L., Marti, E., Bowden, W.B., Valett, H.M., Hershey, A.E., McDowell, W.H., Dodds, W.K., Hamilton, S.K., Gregory, S., Morall, D.D., 2001. Control of nitrogen export from watersheds by headwater streams. Science 292, 86–90.
- Pierzynski, G.M., Sims, J.T., Vance, G.F., 1994. Soils and Environmental Quality, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, p. 313.
- Robinson, D., 2001. δ<sup>15</sup>N as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. Trends Ecol. Evol. 16, 153–162.
- Schmidt, E.L., 1982. Nitrification in soil. In: Stevenson, F.J., (Ed.), Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils, Agronomy Monograph No. 22, pp. 253–288.
- Shearer, G., Kohl, D.H., 1986. N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in field settings: estimations based on natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance. Aust. J. Plant Phys. 13, 699–756.
- Shearer, G., Kohl, D.H., 1992a. Natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N: fractional contribution of two sources to a common sink and use of isotope discrimination. In: Knowles, R., Blackburn, T.H. (Eds.), Nitrogen Isotope Techniques, Academic Press, New York, pp. 89–125.
- Shearer, G., Kohl, D.H., 1992b. Information derived from variation in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in complex biological systems. In: Buncel, E., Saunders, J.W.H. (Eds.), Isotopes in Organic Chemistry, Elsevier, New York, pp. 133–186.
- Shearer, G., Kohl, D.H., Chien, S.-H., 1978. The nitrogen-15 abundance in a wide variety of soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42, 899–902.
- Shearer, G., Kohl, D.H., Virginia, R.A., Bryan, B.A., Skeeters, B.A., Nilsen, J.L., Sharifi, M.R., Rundel, P.W., 1983. Estimates of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation from variation in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in Sonoran desert ecosystems. Oecologia 56, 365–373.
- Shi, S.L., Xing, G.X., Zhou, K.Y., Cao, Y.C., Yang, W.X., 1992. Natural nitrogen-15 abundance of ammonium nitrogen and fixed ammonium in soils. Pedosphere 2, 265–272.
- Smith, R.L., Howes, B.L., Duff, J.H., 1991. Denitrification in nitratecontaminated groundwater: occurrence in steep vertical geochemical gradients. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 55, 1815–1825.
- Steele, K.W., Daniel, R.M., 1978. Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes by animals, a further complication to the use of variations in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N for tracer studies. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 90, 7–9.
- Steele, K.W., Bonish, B.M., Daniel, R.M., O'Hara, G.W., 1983. Effect of rhizobial strains and host plant on nitrogen isotopic fractionation in legumes. Plant Physiol. 72, 1001–1004.

- Stevenson, F.C., Knight, J.D., van Kessel, C., 1995. Dinitrogen fixation in pea: controls at the landscape- and micro-scale. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 59, 1603–1611.
- Sutherland, R.A., van Kessel, C., Pennock, D.J., 1991. Spatial variability of nitrogen-15 natural abundance. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 55, 1339–1347.
- Sutherland, R.A., van Kessel, C., Farrell, R.E., Pennock, D.J., 1993. Landscape-scale variations in plant and soil nitrogen-15 natural abundance. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57, 169–178.
- Tank, J.L., Meyer, J.L., Sanzone, D.M., Mulholand, P.J., Webster, J.R., Peterson, B.J., Wolheim, W.M., Leonard, N.E., 2000. Analysis of nitrogen cycling in a forest stream during autumn using a N-15 tracer addition. Limnol. Oceanogr. 45, 1013–1029.
- Tisdale, S.L., Nelson, W.L., Beaton, J.D., Havlin, J.L., 1993. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, p. 634.
- Velthof, G.L., van Groenigen, J.W., Gebauer, G., Pietrzak, S., Jarvis, S.C., Pinto, M., Corre, W., Oenema, O., 2000. Temporal stability of spatial patterns of nitrous oxide fluxes from sloping grassland. J. Environ. Qual. 29, 1397–1407.
- Wada, E., Hattori, A., 1978. Nitrogen isotope effects in the assimilation of inorganic nitrogenous compounds by marine diatoms, Geomicrobiol. J. 1, 85–101.
- Walley, F., Fu, G., van Groenigen, J.W., van Kessel, C., 2001. Short-term spatial variability of nitrogen fixation by field-grown chickpea. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 65, 1717–1722.

- Wassenaar, L.I., 1995. Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford Aquifer using the isotopes of <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Appl. Geochem. 10, 391–405.
- Wellman, R.P., Cook, F.D., Krouse, H.R., 1968. Nitrogen-15: microbial alteration of abundance. Science 161, 269–270.
- Wilson, G.B., Andrews, J.N., Bath, A.H., 1994. The nitrogen isotope composition of groundwater nitrates from the East Midlands Triassic Sandstone aquifer, England. J. Hydrol. 157, 35–46.
- Yamazaki, T., Yoshida, N., Wada, E., Matsuo, S., 1987. N<sub>2</sub>O reduction by *Azotobacter vinelandii* with emphasis on kinetic nitrogen isotope effects. Plant Cell Physiol. 28, 263–271.
- Yoneyama, T., Ladha, J.K., Watanabe, I., 1987. Nodule bacteroids and *Anabaena*: natural <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in the legume-*Rhizobium* and *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiotic systems. J. Plant Phys. 127, 251–259.
- Yoneyama, T., Omata, T., Nakata, S., Yazaki, J., 1991. Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes during the uptake and assimilation of ammonia by plant. Plant Cell Physiol. 27, 791–799.
- Yoshida, N., 1988. <sup>15</sup>N depleted N<sub>2</sub>O as a product of nitrification. Nature 335, 528–529.
- Yoshida, N., Morimoto, H., Harane, M., Koike, I., Matsuo, S., Wada, E., Saino, T., Hottori, A., 1989. Nitrification rates and <sup>15</sup>N abundances of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the western North Pacific. Nature 342, 895–897.