

doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.10.014

## **Coprecipitation in the Barite Isostructural Family: 1. Binary Mixing Properties**

CHEN ZHU\*

Department of Geological Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-1405 USA

(Received January 13, 2003; accepted in revised form October 7, 2003)

**Abstract**—This study attempts to provide a theoretical evaluation of coprecipitation and fundamental data of binary mixing properties in the barite isostructural family. Mixing properties of binary solid solutions in the barite isostructural family were derived from evaluation of coprecipitation experiments and partitioning coefficients reported in the literature. The Margules parameters, *W*, for these binary systems correlate well through the relationship,

$$W - 0.003875(\Delta \bar{G}^{o}_{n,M^{2+}} - \Delta \bar{G}^{o}_{n,T^{2+}}) = 1262\Delta V + 30$$

where  $\Delta \bar{G}_{n}^{o}$  denotes the non-solvation contribution to the standard partial molal Gibbs free energy of formation for the major  $(M^{2+})$  and trace  $(Tr^{2+})$  aqueous ions, and  $\Delta V$  is the molar volume mismatch between the two substituting end-members

$$\left(\Delta V = \frac{(V_{TrSO_4}^o - V_{MSO_4}^o)^2}{V_{TrSO_4}^o}\right),\,$$

and  $V^o$  is the molar volume of end-member components. From this correlation, Margules parameters for the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO4 and SrSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO<sub>4</sub> binary systems, for which no experimental data are available, were estimated. *Tr* here stands for trace divalent metals and includes Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, and Ra<sup>2+</sup>. These estimated mixing properties allow predictions of miscibility gaps for the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO<sub>4</sub> and SrSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO<sub>4</sub> binaries, and partitioning coefficients for *Tr*<sup>2+</sup> between barite or celestine and aqueous solutions. *Copyright* © 2004 Elsevier Ltd

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Coprecipitation is typically defined as the simultaneous removal of both the tracer and carrier constituents from an aqueous solution without regard to the specific mechanisms involved. Coprecipitation is potentially important to many environmental issues, such as acid mine drainage, radionuclide immobilization in fouled waste repositories, metal contaminant transport at industrial and defense sites, metal concentrations in aquatic systems, and wastewater treatment technology. While mechanisms of coprecipitation may vary in each case and may include surface adsorption, ion-exchange, surface precipitation (e.g., Zhu, 2002), occlusion, and solid solutions, this paper deals with the formation of solid solutions. The environmental significance of coprecipitation (solid solutions) hinges on the solubility of a minor constituent in a solid solution that is greatly reduced in comparison to the solubility of its pure solid (Drever, 1988, 1992; McIntire, 1963; Morel, 1983; Sposito, 1984; Stumm and Morgan, 1981, 1996). Metal concentrations of environmental concern, such as Ra, Pb, Zn, Co, Ni, Cd, As, and Cr, are typically at or below parts per million, at which level contaminants are usually far below the solubility limits of the pure solids in which they are a major constituent, such as RaSO<sub>4</sub>, PbCO<sub>3</sub>, Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>, or Cr(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Hence, solubility limits of pure solids generally do not control the fate and transport of these contaminants. However, contaminants can be incorporated into precipitating common solids forming a solid solution in which they are only a trace or minor component (coprecipitation), and can be controlled at much lower concentrations than the solubility of pure solids.

Examples where coprecipitation reactions occur at or near the Earth surface abound, as solids precipitated in pristine and contaminated geological environments are seldom pure. For example, RaSO<sub>4</sub> co-precipitates with BaSO<sub>4</sub> (barite) in uranium mill tailings (Paige et al., 1993), in uranium mill waste waters (Sebesta et al., 1981), and with (Ba, Sr)SO<sub>4</sub> scale as Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM) during oil and gas production (Fisher, 1995; Gray, 1993; Smith, 1987; White, 1992). Uranium may coprecipitate with calcite during the weathering of uranium deposits (Leslie and Pearcy, 1993; Pearcy et al., 1995), and Zn, Cd, Co, Ba, and a host of radionuclides also coprecipitate with calcite (Curti, 1999; Reeder, 1996). Cm<sup>3+</sup> and Eu<sup>3+</sup> coprecipitate with powellite (CaMoO<sub>4</sub>) during the corrosion of high-level nuclear waste glass (Bosbach et al., 2002). A class of common and important coprecipitation reactions involves iron and manganese oxyhydroxides (Cornell and Schwertmann, 1996; Hem and Lind, 1991). Laboratory experiments show that Al (Shulze and Schwertmann, 1984; Wolska and Schwertmann, 1993), Cr (Manceau et al., 1992; Schwertmann et al., 1989), Mn, Ni, and Co (Cornell, 1991; Stiers and Schwertmann, 1985), UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> (Bruno et al., 1995; Duff et al., 2002), and Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn, and Cd (Gerth, 1990) can substitute for Fe<sup>3+</sup> in goethite, Cr<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> coprecipitate to form an amorphous solid solution (Amonette and Rai, 1990; Sass and Rai, 1987). Jarosite solid solutions are found to

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (chenzhu@indiana.edu).

precipitate in acid mine drainage environments (Alpers et al., 1989).

The mechanisms of coprecipitation are often a challenge to identify, and this subject is undergoing intense and active research (see e.g., Duff et al., 2002). For different solids or systems of interest, the mechanisms may be different. The present communication deals with the coprecipitation data of  $Ra^{2+}$ ,  $Ba^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$  sulfates and  $Ba^{2+}$  chromate, which all reports indicate are crystalline solid solutions. Based on these data, a correlation trend was delineated so that binary mixing properties, for which no experimental measurements are available, can be estimated. The term *coprecipitation* is used to describe the formation of these crystalline solid solutions, in which the tracer component substitutes for the carrier component, but also includes the corresponding dissolution reaction.

## 2. THERMODYNAMIC BASIS OF COPRECIPITATION

The precipitation of a binary solid solution phase,  $(M, Tr)\alpha$ , from an aqueous solution (coprecipitation) can be represented by the reactions,

$$\mathbf{M}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{\nu^+} + \alpha_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{\nu^-} = \mathbf{M}\alpha \tag{1}$$

$$M\alpha + Tr_{(aq)}^{\nu} = Tr\alpha + M_{(aq)}^{\nu}$$
(2)

where *M* (e.g.,  $Ba^{2+}$  in (Ba, Ra)SO<sub>4</sub>) represents the major or carrier metal with a valence of <sup>•+</sup> in the solid phase of concern and *Tr* (e.g.,  $Ra^{2+}$  in (Ba, Ra)SO<sub>4</sub>) represents the trace metal that substitutes for *M*. The symbol  $\alpha$  indicates the remaining composition of the solid phase, e.g.,  $SO_4^{2-}$ . The mass action equations that describe reactions (1) and (2) can be written as,

$$K_1 = \frac{a_{M\alpha}}{a_{\alpha^{\nu}} - a_{M^{\nu+}}} \tag{3}$$

$$K_2 = \frac{a_{Tr\alpha}a_{M^{\nu+}}}{a_{M\alpha}a_{Tr^{\nu+}}} \tag{4}$$

where K represents the equilibrium constant, and  $a_i$  the activity of the *i*th solid component and aqueous species.

The activity-composition relationship of the solid component is as follows,

$$a_{M\alpha} = X_{M\alpha} \lambda_{M\alpha} \tag{5}$$

$$a_{Tr\alpha} = X_{Tr\alpha} \lambda_{Tr\alpha} \tag{6}$$

where X and  $\lambda$  denote the mole fraction and rational activity coefficients for end-member components, respectively. The activity coefficients for the end-member components can be calculated using the regular and subregular (Margules) solution models (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987; Thompson, 1967). For a binary system consisting of M-Tr, the regular solution model equations give,

$$RT \ln \lambda_{M\alpha} = W(1 - X_{M\alpha})^2 \tag{7}$$

$$RT \ln \lambda_{Tr\alpha} = W(1 - X_{Tr\alpha})^2 \tag{8}$$

where *R* denotes the gas constant (1.987 cal/mol  $K^{-1}$ ); and *T* denotes the temperature in degrees Kelvin. *W* is the Margules parameter, which can be thought of as the energy necessary to

interchange one mole of the Tr $\alpha$  with one mole of M $\alpha$  in the mixture without changing composition (Anderson and Crerar, 1993). *W* is independent of composition, but is a function of *T* and pressure (*P*). The subregular solution model equations are,

$$RT \ln \lambda_{M\alpha} = (1 - X_{M\alpha})^2 [2W_{21} - W_{12}] X_{M\alpha} + W_{12} X_{Tr\alpha}$$
(9)

$$RT \ln \lambda_{Tr\alpha} = (1 - X_{Tr\alpha})^2 [2W_{12} - W_{21}] X_{Tr\alpha} + W_{21} X_{M\alpha} \quad (10)$$

where  $W_{12}$  and  $W_{21}$  represent Margules parameters in the subregular model. The regular solution model is a special case of the subregular solution model for  $W_{12} = W_{21}$ . A regular solution model obeying Eqn. (7) and (8) is the simplest form, which works for most binary non-electrolyte solutions (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987; Garrels and Christ, 1965). The subregular model, or asymmetrical regular solution model, can be viewed as two different regular solution models from the two dilute ends (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987).

The activity-composition relationship for a ternary system can be expressed as (Prigogine and Defay, 1954),

$$RT \ln \lambda_{1} = [W_{12}X_{2}^{2} + W_{13}X_{3}^{2} + (W_{12} - W_{23} + W_{13})X_{2}X_{3}]$$

$$RT \ln \lambda_{2} = [W_{12}X_{1}^{2} + W_{23}X_{3}^{2} + (W_{12} - W_{13} + W_{23})X_{1}X_{3}]$$

$$RT \ln \lambda_{3} = [W_{13}X_{1}^{2} + W_{23}X_{2}^{2} + (W_{13} - W_{12} + W_{23})X_{1}X_{2}]$$
(11)

where *W*, with the numerical subscripts, denotes binary interaction parameters in the respective binary systems (for example:  $1=RaSO_4$ ;  $2=BaSO_4$ ;  $3=SrSO_4$ , and  $W_{12}$  designates the binary  $RaSO_4$ -BaSO<sub>4</sub>).

Implicit in Eqn. (11) are the assumptions that the binary systems are regular (symmetrical) solutions and that ternary interactions are negligible. The latter assumption is somewhat customary for mineral solid solutions since ternary data are generally lacking (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987).

# 3. BINARY SOLID SOLUTIONS IN THE BARITE ISOSTRUCTURAL FAMILY

There are several isostructural minerals and crystalline solids in the barite group, including RaSO<sub>4</sub>, barite (BaSO<sub>4</sub>), celestine (SrSO<sub>4</sub>), hashemite (BaCrO<sub>4</sub>), and anglesite (PbSO<sub>4</sub>) (Gaines et al., 2000; Smyth and Bish, 1988). The structure has a space group Pnma. In the barite structure, the sulfate forms regular tetrahedra and the divalent metals are coordinated with 12 oxygens that belong to 7 different sulfate groups (Fig. 1). A complete solid solution series exists between barite and celestine, and barite and RaSO<sub>4</sub>, although natural barites seldom contain more than a few percent Sr or Pb (Doerner and Hoskins, 1925; Gaines et al., 2000; Hanor, 2000). Substitution of SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> into barite is also found (Gaines et al., 2000).

Although the focus of this study is on the mixing properties of solid solutions, standard state properties for end-member solid phases and aqueous species are involved in the derivation because excess properties are derived from the subtraction of standard state and ideal mixing properties from the measurable quantities. Thus, the solid solution properties are not isolated properties, but they should be internally consistent with the standard state properties for the end-members and aqueous



Fig. 1. The barite structure perspective view down the c axis. After Gaines et al. (2000).

species involved in the derivation. These properties are extracted from experimental data or are estimated when experimental data are not available.

Two types of end-members in the barite isostructural family solid solutions are considered. The first type includes divalent metal sulfates and chromates, for which their pure solids physically exist and have the barite crystalline structure. For these end-members, such as BaSO<sub>4</sub>, SrSO<sub>4</sub>, RaSO<sub>4</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>, and BaCrO<sub>4</sub>, the standard states are defined as unit activity for the pure end-member component at the temperature and pressure of interest (Raoultian standard state, Fig. 2a). These end-members can both be carriers and tracers. A second type of endmember is  $Tr\alpha$  for which pure solids do not exist or have a crystalline structure different from barite. This usually involves an incompatible  $Tr^{\nu+}$  with respect to  $M^{2+}$ . For example, CaSO<sub>4</sub> commonly substitutes into the barite and celestine structures, but pure CaSO4 has a different crystalline structure (anhydrite) from barite. A Henrian standard state (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987) is defined for these end-members, as unit activity for hypothetical pure end-members with barite structure extrapolated along the Henry's law slope from the infinite dilution region (Fig. 2b). With such definitions for  $Tr\alpha$  and  $M\alpha$ , the activity coefficients for both end-members are unity in the dilute region where Henry's law is obeyed (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987). The standard state for water is unit activity of pure

water. For aqueous species other than  $H_2O$ , the standard state is unit activity of the species in a hypothetical one molal ideal solution referenced to infinite dilution at the temperature and pressure of interest.

#### 3.1. The BaSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub> Binary

The coprecipitation of  $\mbox{Ra-BaSO}_4$  can be described by the reaction

$$BaSO_{4} + Ra_{(aq)}^{2+} = RaSO_{4} + Ba_{(aq)}^{2+}$$
(12)

The equilibrium constant, K, for the above reaction can be calculated from the relation

$$K = \exp(-\Delta G_R^o/RT) \tag{13}$$

where  $\Delta G_R^o$  stands for the standard state molal Gibbs free energy of reaction (12).

The standard state thermodynamic properties used to calculate *K* are tabulated in Table 1. A considerable range of  $\Delta G_f^{o}$  values for barite exists in the literature (Glushko et al., 1979; Helgeson et al., 1978; Wagman et al., 1982). The value of -325563 cal/mol is chosen from Helgeson et al. (1978) be-



Fig. 2. Schematic diagrams illustrate the two standard states for solid solution end-members.

Table 1. Standard state thermodynamic properties\* used in this study.

Species name	Formula	$\log K_{\rm sp}$	Space group <sup>f</sup>	$\Delta G_{\!f}^o$ cal mol $^{-1}$	$V^{o \text{ g}} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$r^{ m h}$ Å
barite	BaSO₄	$-9.99^{\rm a}$	Pnma	-325,563ª	52.10	
	RaSO	-10.38 <sup>b</sup>	Pnma	-326,290 <sup>b</sup>	55.35	
anglesite	PbSO <sub>4</sub>	$-7.97^{b}$	Pnma	$-194,510^{b}$	47.98	
celestine	SrSO <sub>4</sub>	$-6.63^{\circ}$	Pnma	$-320,700^{b}$	46.371	
hashemite	BaCrO₄	$-9.76^{\circ}$	Pnma		55.55	
$SO_4^{2-}$	•			$-177,930^{d}$		
$CrO_4^{2-}$				$-173,940^{d}$		
$Ra^{2+}$ (aq)				$-134,200^{d}$		1.70
$Ba^{2+}(aq)$				$-132,730^{d}$		1.61
$Pb^{2+}(aq)$				$-5,710^{d}$		1.49
$\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ (aq)				$-133,720^{d}$		1.44

\* at 25°C and 1 bar.

<sup>a</sup> Helgeson et al. (1978).

<sup>b</sup> Sverjensky and Molling (1992).

<sup>c</sup> Nordstrom et al. (1990).

<sup>d</sup> Shock and Helgeson (1988).

<sup>e</sup> Rai et al. (1988).

<sup>f</sup> Gaines et al. (2002).

<sup>g</sup> Molar volumes from Symth and Bish (1988), unless otherwise indicated. For hashemite from MinCryst on-line database.

<sup>h</sup> Shannon-Prewitt effective ionic radii in 12 coordnation.

cause it is consistent with solubility measurements of Blount (1978) as well as the  $\Delta G_f^o$  values for Ba<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions from Shock and Helgeson (1988), which we use in our aqueous speciation model (see Zhu, this issue). The  $\Delta G_f^o$  values for RaSO<sub>4</sub>(c) in the literature are all based on the same solubility measurements (cited by Langmuir and Riese, 1985). We chose the value of -326290 cal/mol selected by Sverjensky and Molling (1992), again for consistency with  $\Delta G_f^o$  for related aqueous ions. A *K* value of 2.56 for reaction (12) is calculated using these data.

The equilibrium constant, K, also can be defined as

$$K = \left(\frac{X_{\text{RaSO}_4} \lambda_{\text{RaSO}_4}}{X_{\text{BaSO}_4} \lambda_{\text{BaSO}_4}}\right) \left/ \left(\frac{m_{\text{Ra}^{2+}} \gamma_{\text{Ra}^{2+}}}{m_{\text{Ba}^{2+}} \gamma_{\text{Ba}^{2+}}}\right)$$
(14)

where  $X_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  are the mole fractions and rational activity coefficients for the components in the solid solution, respectively, and  $m_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  are the molality and activity coefficients of the aqueous ions Ra<sup>2+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup>, respectively. Rewriting Eqn. (14), we have,

$$K = K_{\rm D}(\lambda_{\rm RaSO_4}/\lambda_{\rm BaSO_4})/(\gamma_{\rm Ra^{2+}}/\gamma_{\rm Ba^{2+}})$$
(15)

where  $K_{\rm D}$  is the Henderson and Kracek (1927) partition coefficient

$$K_{\rm D} = \frac{(X_{\rm RaSO4}/X_{\rm BaSO4})}{(m_{\rm Ra^{2+}}/m_{\rm Ba^{2+}})}$$
(16)

Because  $Ra^{2+}$  usually has dilute concentrations in barite for the environmental problems of concern, Raoult's law is probably obeyed for the BaSO<sub>4</sub> component. Hence,  $\lambda_{BaSO4} \approx 1.0$ .

The activity coefficient for the trace component (the tracer),  $\lambda_{RaSO4}$ , is calculated in the following manner. Doerner and Hoskins (1925) conducted exchange reactions in very dilute solutions (HCl 0.0053 *N*), in which ionic complexation can be neglected and the ionic activity coefficient ratios ( $\gamma_{Ra}^{2+}/\gamma_{Ba}^{2+}$ )

are close to unity. They found a  $K_{\rm D} = 1.8$  (p.675). From the equation

$$K = K_{\rm D} \cdot \lambda_{\rm RaSO4} \tag{17}$$

we have calculated  $\lambda_{\text{RaSO4}} = 1.5$ . This value corresponds to a regular solution interaction parameter, *W*, of about 210 cal/mol (see Eqn. 8).

## 3.2. The SrSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub> and PbSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub> Binaries

Through derivations similar to those in Section 3.1, we calculated W from the partitioning coefficients for SrSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub> and PbSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub>. To ensure internal consistency of thermodynamic properties, the log K values for the exchange reactions

$$SrSO_4 + Ra_{(aq)}^{2+} = RaSO_4 + Sr_{(aq)}^{2+}$$
(18)

and

$$PbSO_4 + Ra_{(aq)}^{2+} = RaSO_4 + Pb_{(aq)}^{2+}$$
 (19)

were calculated from the standard state Gibbs free energy of formation listed in Table 1. Using the distribution coefficient values of 280 for  $SrSO_4$  and 11 for  $PbSO_4$  from the earlier work of Goldschmidt cited by Langmuir and Riese (1985), yields Margules parameters (*W*) of 1772 and 1869 cal/mol for the  $SrSO_4$ -RaSO<sub>4</sub> and  $PbSO_4$ -RaSO<sub>4</sub> binary, respectively.

#### 3.3. SrSO<sub>4</sub>-BaSO<sub>4</sub> Binary

Despite the large amount of work done on this binary, results of solubility measurements and derived mixing properties are conflicting and equivocal (see Glynn, 2000 for review). Felmy et al. (1993) fitted a *W* value of 478 cal/mol to their solubility data, while Galinier et al. (1989) derived a *W* value of 956 cal/mol. Glynn (1990) gave a value of 1386 cal/mol. The *W*  value for  $SrSO_4$ -BaSO\_4 is also derived in this study from the experimental distribution coefficient provided in McIntire (1963), using the standard state Gibbs free energy listed in Table 1 and the same assumption of unit activity coefficients for the carrier and approximate unit activity coefficient ratios for the aqueous metal ions. A *W* value for the SrSO<sub>4</sub>-BaSO<sub>4</sub> binary is 1413 cal/mol at 18°C. Becker et al. (2000) made calculations of mixing properties using molecular methods. Prieto et al. (1997) conducted experiments on SrSO<sub>4</sub>-BaSO<sub>4</sub>, and found compositional zoning.

## 3.4. PbSO<sub>4</sub>-BaSO<sub>4</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>-BaCrO<sub>4</sub>, and BaCrO<sub>4</sub>-RaCrO<sub>4</sub> Binary

A *W* value of 1600 cal/mol was estimated by Glynn (1990) for the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-PbSO<sub>4</sub> binary from reported compositional ranges of natural barite (20% Pb maximum reported and hence perceived miscibility gaps). However, McIntire (1963) gave a  $K_{\rm D}$  of 0.026, from which a *W* of -593 cal/mol is calculated using the solubility products,  $K_{\rm sp}$ , listed in Table 1. An experimental distribution coefficient of 1.1 was given by McIntire (1963) for the binary solid solution BaSO<sub>4</sub>-BaCrO<sub>4</sub> corresponding to the exchange reaction

$$BaSO_4 + CrO_4^{2-} = BaCrO_4 + SO_4^{2-}$$
(20)

Using the  $K_{sp}$  from Rai et al. (1988), a W of -370 cal/mol was calculated for the binary BaSO<sub>4</sub>-BaCrO<sub>4</sub> solution. Henderson and Kracek (1927) measured a  $K_D$  of 15.5 for fractional precipitation of Ba-RaCrO<sub>4</sub>. The constant  $K_D$  over a wide compositional range (Ba:Ra weight ratio from  $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-2}$  in barite) indicates that the solid solution is ideal (McIntire, 1963).

#### 4. A SEMI-EMPIRICAL CORRELATION FOR MARGULES PARAMETERS

In solid solutions with isovalent substitutions, deviation from ideal mixing comes from both the differences in ionic radii of the substituting ions and the differences in other intrinsic ionic characteristics such as eletronegativity, crystal field effects, electron configuration, and polarity of the ions (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987; Urusov, 1975). We can define the excess Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G^{\text{excess}}$  of a solid solution as being composed of two terms:

$$\Delta G^{\text{excess}} = \Delta G^{\text{excess}}_{\text{elastic}} + \Delta G^{\text{excess}}_{\text{ionic}}$$
(21)

For a symmetrical binary regular solution we have,

$$\Delta G^{\text{excess}} = W X_{M\alpha} X_{Tr\alpha} \tag{22}$$

where W denotes the interaction parameter and  $X_i$  denotes the mole fraction of the binary end-member components of the solid solution (M, Tr) $\alpha$ . It follows that,

$$W^{\text{excess}} = W^{\text{excess}}_{\text{elastic}} + W^{\text{excess}}_{\text{ionic}}$$
(23)

The first term is related to differences in the sizes of substituting ions, and the second term describes differences in ionic properties other than the size.

The effects of the differences in ionic radii have been described by the elastic theory (Greenwood, 1979). For a solid solution (M, Tr) $\alpha$ , the strain energy for one mole of a component Tr $\alpha$  into an infinite elastic continuum of component M $\alpha$ was described by (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987),

$$\omega_{Tr\alpha} = \frac{2}{3} \hat{\mu}_{M\alpha} C_{M\alpha} \frac{(V_{Tr\alpha}^o - V_{M\alpha}^o)^2}{V_{Tr\alpha}^o}$$
(24)

where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the sheer modulus of  $M\alpha$ , and  $C_{M\alpha}$  is defined as

$$C_{M\alpha} = \frac{3\Psi_{Tr\alpha}}{3\Psi_{Tr\alpha} + 4\hat{\mu}_{M\alpha}}$$
(25)

where  $\Psi$  denotes the effective bulk modulus of  $Tr\alpha$  in the solid solution and  $V^o$  stands for the molar volume of end-member components. Note, in the above equation, that the volume term is second order.

The role of ionic properties other than the size in the mixing properties of a solid solution is well illustrated by Davis and Navrotsky (1983) using the example of the NaCl-AgCl solid solution. Although the ionic sizes for the two cations are close (1.02 Å for Na<sup>+</sup> and 1.15 Å for Ag<sup>+</sup> from Shannon 1976), the bonding characteristics of the two ions are significantly different since Ag<sup>+</sup> is a transition metal and Na<sup>+</sup> is an alkali metal. A large positive heat of mixing is found for this solid solution.

In light of the above argument, a correlation that considers both size misfit and the bonding differences in substituting ions is presented here. The correlation is formulated as

$$W' = a\Delta V + b \tag{26}$$

where W' is the adjusted Margules parameter. The volume mismatch term,  $\Delta V$ , is defined by (Ganguly and Saxena, 1987; Greenwood, 1979)

$$\Delta V = \frac{(V_{Tr\alpha}^o - V_{M\alpha}^o)^2}{V_{Tr\alpha}^o}$$
(27)

W' is defined as

$$W' \equiv W - \beta (\Delta \bar{G}^o_{n,M^{\nu+}} - \Delta \bar{G}^o_{n,Tr^{\nu+}})$$
(28)

where  $\Delta \bar{G}_n^{o}$  denotes the non-solvation contribution to the standard partial molal Gibbs free energy of formation for the aqueous ions (Helgeson et al., 1981).  $\beta$  is an empirically derived parameter.

The adjustment of W to W' in Eqn. 28 is intended to account for the differences in bonding characteristics of substituting ions that are not reflected in the differences in ionic radii. This formulation follows the Sverjensky linear free energy correlations for isostructural crystalline solid families (Sverjensky and Molling, 1992).

The parameters a, b, and  $\beta$  for the binary solid solutions in the barite isostructural family were obtained from multiple linear regression analysis. The *W* values derived and discussed in the preceding section were used together with the  $\Delta \bar{G}_n^o$ values from Sverjensky and Molling (1992) or computed from Shock and Helgeson (1988) to calculate *W'* values. The molar volumes for the end-members are listed Table 1. Molar volumes of end-members, for which experimental data are lacking or which are hypothetical, are estimated from the correlation for the barite isostructural family derived in this study,



Fig. 3. (a) Correlations between the modified Margules parameter with volume mismatch for binaries in the barite isostructural family. The line is from multiple linear regression. The reported values for the  $BaSO_4$ -PbSO\_4 binary and some values for the  $BaSO_4$ -SrSO\_4 binary were excluded from the regression. See discussion in the text; (b) Residuals between the predicted and experimental/natural assemblage binary excess properties.

$$V^{o} = \left(\frac{0.6024}{4}\right) [(19.46616 - 4.19322r(XII)) \times ((1.40 + r(XII))^{3}] \quad (29)$$

where r(XII) is the Shannon-Prewitt effective ionic radius with 12-coordination (Shannon, 1976). The correlation for this relationship is excellent ( $R^2 = 1.0$ ). The differences between measured and calculated molar volumes are only 0.12, 0.05, 0.07 cm<sup>3</sup>/mol for barite, celestine, and anglesite, respectively. This regression scheme followed Zhang et al. (1991).

Multiple linear regression analysis following Eqn. (26) and (28) resulted in the following correlation

$$W - 0.003875(\pm 0.001219)(\Delta \bar{G}^o_{n,M^{2+}} - \Delta \bar{G}^o_{n,Tr^{2+}})$$
  
= 1262(±129)\Delta V + 30(±93) (30)

The correlation is excellent, with  $R^2 = 0.97$  (Fig. 3a) and the intercept is close to zero. If both the size and ionicity are adequately taken into account in the regression, the intercept should be zero.

Various forms of correlations have been explored to predict Margules parameters for binary solid solutions (Davis and Navrotsky, 1983). The introduction of the *W'* term appears to improve the correlation for those substituting ions with different characteristics. For example, a large correction is made for Pb<sup>2+</sup> (a transition metal) substitution of Ra<sup>2+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup>, both alkaline earth elements (~500 cal/mol for both). The  $\Delta \bar{G}_n^o$  term is negligible, as expected, for substitutions between alkaline earth metals. Blundy and Wood (1994) found excellent correlation for crystal-melt partitioning coefficients based on ionic radii and elastic properties of the crystalline sites. However, they noted exceptions for transition metals-alkaline earth substitutions, which, in light of what is found in this study, may be attributed to the lack of "ionicity" corrections.

The second order term for the volume mismatch used in this study also improves the correlation and apparently is more in accord with the elastic theory than the first order term or ionic radii-based terms used previously (see review by Davis and Navrotsky, 1983). However, the current formulation does not take into account Goldschmidt's "polarity rule" that it is easier for smaller ions to substitute for larger ions than the reverse.

The regression residuals between measured and predicted W values are within  $\pm 300$  cal/mol (Fig. 3b). This is a significant advancement over previous studies, which generally have an accuracy of about  $\pm 1500$  to 2500 cal/mol (e.g., Davis and Navrotsky, 1983). The correlation formula developed in this study (Eqn. 26 and 28) appears to work for halides and metal oxides with rocksalt type structures as well (Zhu, unpublished data).

Various schemes of regression were experimented with in this study. The high correlation described above was obtained by excluding the W values for (Ba,Pb)SO<sub>4</sub> binary and some estimates for the (Ba, Sr)SO<sub>4</sub> binary. For the former, there is a large disparity (2100 cal/mol) between the W value from Glynn (1990) and that calculated from McIntire (1963). The Glynn (1990) value of 1600 cal/mol was estimated from the perceived miscibility gap of 20% Pb in natural barite. Whether there is a complete solid solution in the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-PbSO<sub>4</sub> series remains controversial (see Hanor, 2000 for a discussion). Additionally, the value of -593 cal/mol is calculated from an experimental partitioning coefficient cited by McIntire (1963). W estimates from perceived miscibility gaps are very inaccurate (Glynn, 2000).

The mixing properties for the  $BaSO_4$ - $SrSO_4$  binary, a geologically and environmentally significant solid solution, are still controversial (see Glynn, 2000; Hanor, 2000, for detailed discussions of the occurrence and experimental data sets). It appears that the experimental data from Galinier et al. (1989) and Starke (1964), cited by Hanor, (2000) are consistent with the correlation among *W* values of other binaries (Fig. 3a). McIntire (1963) cited the experimental partitioning coefficient measured by Goldschmidt, in which  $Ba^{2+}$  is the tracer. It is difficult to argue for a subregular solid solution model based on that value alone. Felmy et al. (1993) conducted careful experiments. However, it is troubling that their derived value does not fit well in the correlation.

#### 5. APPLICATIONS

The high degree of correlation in Eqn. (30) permits prediction of the Margules parameter, W, for binary solid solutions, for which there are no experimental data. For estimating Wvalues, the molar volumes for fictitious  $TrSO_4$  end-members with barite structure were estimated from Eqn. (29). For small

Table 2. W values\* for binary solid solutions in the barite isostructural family.

Tracer	Carrier	W cal mol <sup>-1</sup>	Method	<i>W</i> ′
RaSO₄	BaSO₄	210 <sup>a</sup>	exptl	197
RaSO <sub>4</sub>	SrSO <sub>4</sub>	1772 <sup>ь</sup>	$K_{\rm D}$	1711
RaSO <sub>4</sub>	PbSO <sub>4</sub>	1869 <sup>b</sup>	$\overline{K_{\rm D}}$	1318
SrSO <sub>4</sub>	BaSO <sub>4</sub>	478°	exptl	526
SrSO <sub>4</sub>	BaSO <sub>4</sub>	956 <sup>d</sup>	exptl	1004
BaSO <sub>4</sub>	SrSO <sub>4</sub>	1444 <sup>e</sup>	exptl, $K_{\rm D}$	1396
SrSO <sub>4</sub>	BaSO <sub>4</sub>	$1092^{f}$	exptl $K_{\rm D}$	1140
PbSO <sub>4</sub>	$BaSO_4$	-593 <sup>e</sup>	exptl, $K_{\rm D}$	-56
PbSO <sub>4</sub>	$BaSO_4$	1600 <sup>g</sup>	mis gap	2138
BaCrO <sub>4</sub>	BaSO <sub>4</sub>	257 <sup>e</sup>	exptl, $K_{\rm D}$	228
RaCrO <sub>4</sub>	$BaCrO_4$	$0^{e}$	exptl	-13

\* at 25°C and 1 bar.

<sup>a</sup> Doerner and Hoskins (1925).

<sup>b</sup> Langmuir and Riese (1985).

<sup>c</sup> Felmy et al. (1993).

<sup>d</sup> Galinier et al. (1989).

<sup>e</sup> McIntire (1963).

<sup>f</sup> Starke (1964).

divalent ions, radii of 12 coordination (fictitious) were estimated from the linear extrapolation of radii of lower coordination numbers (from Shannon, 1976).  $\Delta \bar{G}_n^o$  values were taken from Sverjensky and Molling (1992). The *W* values were then calculated from Eqn. (30). Tables 3 and 4 list the *W* values for the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO<sub>4</sub> and SrSO<sub>4</sub>-*Tr*SO<sub>4</sub> binaries. *Tr* here includes Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and Pb<sup>2+</sup>. Figure 4 shows the activity-composition relationships in the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-SrSO<sub>4</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>-PbSO<sub>4</sub>, and SrSO<sub>4</sub>-PbSO<sub>4</sub> binaries. Eqn. (5), (6), (7), and (8) are used for the calculations.

The positive values of *W* indicate more energetic repulsion between *Tr* and Ba<sup>2+</sup> or *Tr* and Sr<sup>2+</sup>,  $\lambda_i > 1$ , and positive deviation from Raoult's Law. Large positive values of *W* can lead to immiscibility and exsolution. Negative *W* values (e.g., (Ba, Pb)SO<sub>4</sub> and (Sr, Pb)SO<sub>4</sub> binary) indicate an energy preference for the two end-members to associate in the solution, which can produce intermediate compounds (Anderson and

Table 3. Predicted W and  $K_{\rm D}$  values for the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-TrSO<sub>4</sub> binaries.

	$r_{M}^{2+}$	$V^{o}$	W		$\ln K_{\rm D}^*$	$\ln K_{\rm D}^*$
$M^{2+}$	(Å)	$\rm cm^3 \ mol^{-1}$	cal $mol^{-1}$	$\ln K_{\rm ex}$	$X_{Tr\alpha} = 0.01$	0.05
$Cu^{2+}$	1.21	38.54	5289	-33.44	-42.19	-41.47
$\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$	1.22	38.87	5062	-33.52	-41.90	-41.21
$Zn^{2+}$	1.2	38.21	5843	-32.97	-42.63	-41.84
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1.23	39.20	4782	-30.60	-38.51	-37.87
$Mn^{2+}$	1.25	39.87	4310	-27.11	-34.24	-33.66
Eu <sup>2+</sup>	1.42	45.64	1123	-7.19	-9.05	-8.90
$Cd^{2+}$	1.31	41.88	2619	-17.44	-21.77	-21.42
$Ca^{2+}$	1.34	42.90	2420	-16.17	-20.17	-19.85
$Sr^{2+}$	1.44	46.37	876	-7.73	-9.18	-9.06
$Pb^{2+}$	1.49	48.05	-78	-4.68	-4.55	-4.56
$Ra^{2+}$	1.7	55.36	285	0.89	0.42	0.46

\* Only applicable in the case that the aqueous  $Tr^{2+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  are dominant and their activity coefficient ratio close to unity.

Table 4. Predicted W and  $K_{\rm D}$  values for the SrSO<sub>4</sub>-TrSO<sub>4</sub> binaries.

	$r^{2+}$	$V^o$	W		$\ln K$ *	$\ln K *$
$M^{2+}$	(Å)	$cm^3 mol^{-1}$	cal $mol^{-1}$	$\ln K_{\rm ex}$	$X_{Tr\alpha} = 0.01$	0.05
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	1.11	35.27	3810	-28.83	-35.14	-34.62
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	1.16	36.90	2863	-28.55	-33.28	-32.90
$Cu^{2+}$	1.21	38.54	1323	-25.71	-27.90	-27.72
$Co^{2+}$	1.22	38.87	1253	-25.80	-27.87	-27.70
$Zn^{2+}$	1.2	38.21	1717	-25.24	-28.08	-27.85
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1.23	39.20	1128	-22.88	-24.74	-24.59
$Mn^{2+}$	1.25	39.87	959	-19.38	-20.97	-20.84
$Eu^{2+}$	1.42	45.64	30	0.54	0.49	0.49
$Cd^{2+}$	1.31	41.88	129	-9.71	-9.92	-9.91
$Ca^{2+}$	1.34	42.90	332	-8.44	-8.99	-8.95
$Pb^{2+}$	1.49	48.05	-386	3.05	3.69	3.64
$Ba^{2+}$	1.61	52.22	905	7.73	6.23	6.35
Ra <sup>2+</sup>	1.7	55.36	1931	8.62	5.43	5.69

\* Only applicable in the case that the aqueous  $Tr^{2+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  are dominant and their activity coefficient ratio close to unity.

Crerar, 1993). In this case, ln  $\lambda_i$  is negative and  $\lambda_i < 1$ , indicating a negative deviation from Raoult's Law (Swalin, 1972; see Fig. 4). The corrections for the "ionicity," (*W*'-*W*), are negligible with Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, and Eu<sup>2+</sup> for Ba<sup>2+</sup> or Sr<sup>2+</sup> substitutions, and about 400 cal/mol with Be<sup>2+</sup> and 250 cal/mol with Mg<sup>2+</sup> for Ba<sup>2+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> substitutions. However, for transition metals, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, and Pb<sup>2+</sup> for Ba<sup>2+</sup> or Sr<sup>2+</sup> substitutions, the corrections are significant and generally >500 cal/mol.

Miscibility gaps were calculated from these estimated W values, and are shown for some SrSO<sub>4</sub>- and BaSO<sub>4</sub>-TrSO<sub>4</sub> binaries in Figure 5. As a rule of thumb, a W value larger than ~1100 cal/mol results in a miscibility gap at 25°C (Glynn, 2000). From these miscibility gaps, the maximum amounts of  $Tr^{2+}$  that can be structurally incorporated into barite and celestine can be calculated.

However, the *W* values or miscibility gaps can only tell us compatibility between the tracer and carrier, or the deviation from ideality in the solid solution, but they alone cannot tell us how much a tracer will be incorporated into the carrier. The tendency for partitioning of a trace constituent into a solid or an aqueous solution can be shown in the Henderson and Kracek partition coefficients,  $K_D$ , as defined by Eqn. (16) for radium partitioning coefficients are influenced by three factors, defined by the three terms on the right hand side of the following equation (McIntire, 1963):

$$\ln K_{\rm D} = \ln \left( \frac{K_{\rm sp}^{M\alpha}}{K_{\rm sp}^{\rm Tr\alpha}} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{\gamma_{Tr^{\nu^+}}}{\gamma_{M^{\nu^+}}} \right) + \frac{W(1 - X_{Tr\alpha})^2}{RT} \quad (31)$$

where the first term on the right shows the "intrinsic" factor or the tendency of Tr $\alpha$  to form in the aqueous solution with respect to the host and is quantified by the ratios of the solubility products of the end-members. The second term shows the influences by the differential aqueous speciation or complexation of the tracer and carrier ions and ratios of activity coefficients. Note the link between the Henderson and Kracek partition coefficient and  $K_{\rm sp}$  in Eqn. (31), as defined in Eqn.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Glynn (1990).





Fig. 4. Calculated activity-composition relationships for binaries in the barite isostructural family.

(16), requires the concentrations of  $Tr^{\nu+}$  and  $M^{\nu+}$  to be the "free" aqueous ions, not the total molality of aqueous  $Tr^{\nu+}$  and  $M^{\nu+}$  ions when the ion association model is used. The third term in Eqn. (31) quantifies the effects of the non-ideality of the solid solution for incorporation of trace amounts of  $Tr^{\nu+}$  into  $M\alpha$ . Note  $\lambda_{M\alpha} \approx 1.0$  in solid solutions with dilute Tr $\alpha$ , partly because of our choices of standard states (see Fig. 2).

With the W values in hand, the partition coefficients between barite or celestine and the aqueous solution can be calculated from expressions similar to Eqns. (15) and (16) for cases in which the divalent aqueous ion is dominant and the activity ratios of the aqueous carrier and tracer ions are approximate to unity. The equilibrium constants for the exchange reactions for  $Tr^{2+}$  (Eqn. 2) were calculated from solubility products for  $MSO_4$  listed in Table 1 and  $TrSO_4$  end-members. The latter were calculated from the standard state Gibbs free energy of formation for the fictitious  $TrSO_4$  end-members predicted by Sverjensky and Molling (1992), standard molal Gibbs free energy of formation for aqueous  $Tr^{2+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  ions as listed in Sverjensky and Molling (1992), and for sulfate in Table 1. Table 3 and 4 list the  $K_{\rm D}$  values for a mole fraction of 1 and 5% substitution with the tracer. Note the  $K_{\rm D}$  values are a function of solid compositions.

The calculated partition coefficients show that  $TrSO_4$  with lower solubility than the hosts, are favorably partitioned into the solid with respect to the aqueous solution. Thus,  $Ra^{2+}$  is favorably partitioned into barite and celestine, and  $Ba^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ , and Eu<sup>2+</sup> are favorably partitioned into celestine with respect to aqueous solution. For soluble Tr $\alpha$ , little  $Tr^{2+}$  can be partitioned into M $\alpha$  even though  $Tr^{2+}$  and  $M^{2+}$  may be "compatible." The relative contributions from the first and second term in Eqn. (31) to  $K_{\rm D}$  are illustrated in Figure 6.

For the partitioning between barite and aqueous solutions, the sequence of partitioning into barite, from favorable to unfavorable, is  $Ra^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Eu^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ , and  $Be^{2+}$ . Gaines et al. (2000) summarized that up to 6% of CaSO<sub>4</sub> can enter into BaSO<sub>4</sub>, and a minor substitution of  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ , and  $Hg^{2+}$  occurs in natural barite. Due to the smaller size of  $Sr^{2+}$ , the formation of solid solutions with smaller divalent metals is more likely. The predicted sequence of partitioning into celestine, from favorable to unfavorable, is  $Ra^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Eu^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ , and  $Be^{2+}$ .

#### 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

"Minerals are invariably solid solutions" (Garrels and Christ, 1965). The incorporation of trace elements into major or common minerals has been studied extensively, primarily as a way of investigating temperatures and fluid compositions in sediment diagenesis, ore deposits, igneous and metamorphic rocks, and trace metal cycling in geological systems and processes. The interest in coprecipitation has been renewed in recent years



Fig. 5. Calculated miscibility gaps at  $25^{\circ}$ C. The intersects between the solid curve and *W* values (vertical axis) show the miscibility gaps (shaded area) for the respective metals labeled on right. For example, in the lower diagram, extending a line from the *W* value of 1931 cal/mol labeled Ra (radium) from right to the left covering the whole mole fraction of RaSO<sub>4</sub> from 0 to 1, and this line corresponds to a horizontal tie-line drawn at a fixed temperature in the conventional *T*—*X* binary phase diagram. The shaded segment of the line, about between 5 and 95% RaSO<sub>4</sub>, is the miscibility gap. In other words, at 25° C and 1 bar, celestine can incorporate up to 5% RaSO<sub>4</sub>, and RaSO<sub>4</sub> can incorporate up to 5% for the SrSO<sub>4</sub>.

because of the potential environmental significance of trace contaminants in solids. However, the prevailing approach to the coprecipitation problem is the use of partitioning coefficients, which are empirical and phenomenological parameters, seldom applicable outside in the range of conditions under which they are measured.

A comprehensive or integrated approach to this topic should include aqueous speciation and complexation, standard state and mixing thermodynamic properties of the solids and solid solutions, and precipitation and dissolution kinetics. However, excess thermodynamic properties for many important solid solutions are lacking. As shown for the barite isostructural family in this study, as well as reviews by Glynn (2000) and Hanor (2000), the available experimental data and analyses of natural minerals were obtained over a long period of time, span a wide range of qualities, and often conflict with each other. This study attempts to provide a theoretical evaluation of coprecipitation and fundamental data of binary mixing properties in the barite isostructural family. Although the approach present in this study is an improvement over the prevailing use of partitioning coefficients and the ideal solid solution assumption in environmental and geological studies, the results are



Fig. 6. Relative contributions to the partition of  $Tr^{2+}$  between barite or celestine and an aqueous solution. See Eqn. 31 for reference.  $K_{ex}$  stands for the equilibrium constant for (exchange) reaction (2) and is equal to  $\left(\frac{K_{sp}^{M\alpha}}{K^{T_{c}\alpha}}\right)$ .

preliminary. Careful experiments are needed to study these important solid solutions.

Acknowledgments—The author is grateful to Bill Murphy, Don Rimstidt, and two anonymous reviewers, whose review comments greatly helped to improve the paper.

Associate editor: J. D. Rimstidt

## REFERENCES

- Alpers C. N., Nordstrom D. K., and Ball J. W. (1989) Solubility of jarosite solid solutions precipitated from acid mine waters, Iron Mountain, California, USA. Sci. Géol. Bull. 42, 281–298.
- Amonette J. E. and Rai D. (1990) Identification of noncrystalline (Fe,Cr)(OH)<sub>3</sub> by infrared spectroscopy. *Clay Clays Min.* **38**, 129–136.
- Anderson G. M. and Crerar D. (1993) Thermodynamics of geochemistry—The equilibrium model. Oxford University Press.
- Becker U., Fernandez-Gonzalez A., Prieto M., Harrison R., and Putnis A. (2000) Direct calculation of thermodynamic properties of the barite/celestite solid solution from molecular principles. *Phys. Chem. Min.* 27, 291–300.
- Blount C. W. (1978) Barite solubilities and thermodynamic quantities up to 300°C and 1400 bars. Am. Mineral. 62, 942–957.
- Blundy J. and Wood B. J. (1994) Prediction of crystal-melt partitioning coefficients from elastic moduli. *Nature* 372, 452.
- Bosbach D., Rabung T., and Luckscheiter B. (2002)  $\text{Cm}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$  coprecipitation with powelite (CaMoO<sub>4</sub>) during HLW glass corrosion. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **6** (6), A93.
- Bruno J., De Pablo J., Duro L., and Figuerola E. (1995) Use of electrochemical iron generation for removing heavy metal from contaminated groundwater. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 59, 4113– 4123.

- Cornell R. M. (1991) Simultaneous incorporation of Mn, Ni and Co in the goethite (a-FeOOH) structure. *Clay Min.* 26, 427–430.
- Cornell R. M. and Schwertmann U. (1996) The Iron Oxides: structures, properties, reactions, occurrence and uses. VCH Inc.
- Curti E. (1999) Coprecipitation of radionuclides with calcite: estimation of partitioning coefficients based on a review of laboratory investigations and geochemical data. Appl. Geochem. 14, 433–445.
- Davis P. K. and Navrotsky A. (1983) Quantitative correlations of deviation from ideality in binary and pseudobinary solid solutions. J. Solid State Chem. 46, 1–22.
- Doerner H. A. and Hoskins W. M. (1925) Co-precipitation of radium and barium sulfates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 47, 662–675.
- Drever J. I. (1988) The Geochemistry of Natural Waters. Prentice-Hall.
- Drever J. I. (1992) The Geochemistry of Natural Waters. 2nd Ed. Prentice-Hall.
- Duff M. C., UJ U., and Hunter D. B. (2002) Uranium co-precipitation with iron oxide minerals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66** (20), 3533–3547.
- Felmy A. R., Rai D., and Moore D. A. (1993) The solubility of (Ba,Sr)SO<sub>4</sub> precipitates: Thermodynamic equilibrium and reaction path analysis. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **57**, 4345–4363.
- Fisher R. S. (1995) Naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) in produced water and scale from Texas oil, gas, and geothermal wells: geographic, geological, and geothermal controls. The University of Texas at Austin, pp. 43..
- Gaines R. V., Skinner H. C. W., Foord E. E., Mason B., Rosenzwei A., and King V. T. (2000) Dana's New Mineralogy. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Galinier C., Dandurand J. L., Souissi F., and Schott J. (1989) Sur le caracters non-ideal des solution solides (Ba,Sr)SO<sub>4</sub>: mise en evidence et determinination des parameters thermodynamiques par des essais de dissolution a 25°C. C. R. Acad Sci. Paris **308** (Serie II), 1363–1368.
- Ganguly J. and Saxena S. K. (1987) Mixtures and Mineral Reactions. Springer-Verlag.
- Garrels R. M. and Christ G. L. (1965) Solutions, Minerals, and Equilibria. Freeman, Copper & Co.
- Gerth J. (1990) Unit-cell dimensions of pure and trace metal-associated goethite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 54, 363–371.
- Glushko V. P., Medvedev V. A., Bergman G. A., Gurvich L. V., Yungman V. S., Alekseev V. I., Kolesov V. P., Vasil'ev B. P., Reznitskii L. A., Khodakovskii L., Vorob'ev A. F., Smirnova N. L., Gal'chenko G. L., Biryukov B. P. and Ioffe N. T. (1979) Thermal Constants of Compounds. *Acad. Sci., USSR* 9 (1).
- Glynn P. (1990) Modeling solid-solution reactions in low temperature aqueous systems. In *Chemical Modeling in Aqueous Systems II*, Vol. Am Chem. Soc. Symp. series 416 (ed. D. C. Melchoir and R. L. Bassett), pp. 74–86. American Chemical Society.
- Glynn P. (2000) Solid-solution solubilities and thermodynamics: Sulfates, carbonates, and halides. In *Reviews in Mineralogy* (ed. C. N. Alpers, J. L. Jambor, and D. K. Nordstrom), Vol. 40, pp. 481–512. Mineralogical Society of America.
- Gray P. (1993) NORM contamination in the petroleum industry. J. Petrol. Tech. 45, 12–16.
- Greenwood H. J. (1979) Some linear and non-linear problems in petrology. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 43, 1873–1886.
- Hanor J. S. (2000) Barite-celestine geochemistry and environments of formation. In *Reviews in Mineralogy* (ed. C. N. Alpers, J. L. Jambor, and D. K. Nordstrom), Vol. 40, Sulfate Minerals, pp. 193–276. Mineralogical Society of America.
- Helgeson H. C., Delany J. M., Nesbitt H. W., and Bird D. K. (1978) Summary and critique of the thermodynamic properties of rockforming minerals. Am. J. Sci. 278-A, 1–221.
- Helgeson H. C., Kirkham D. H., and Flowers G. C. (1981) Theoretical prediction of the thermodynamic behavior of aqueous electrolytes at high pressures and temperatures: IV. Calculations of activity coefficients, osmotic coefficients, and apparent molal and standard and relative partial molal properties to 600°C and 5 kb. *Am. J. Sci.* 281, 1249–1516.

- Hem J. D. and Lind C. J. (1991) Coprecipitation mechanisms and products in manganese oxidation in the presence of cadmium. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 55, 2435–3451.
- Henderson L. M. and Kracek F. C. (1927) The fractional precipitation of barium and radium chromates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 49, 739– 749.
- Langmuir D. and Riese A. C. (1985) The thermodynamic properties of radium. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **49**, 1593–1691.
- Leslie B. W. and Pearcy E. C. (1993) Uranium migration at the Pena Blanca natural analog site. *Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. Prog.* **25** (6), A184.
- Manceau A., Charlet L., Boisset M. C., Didier B., and Spadin L. (1992) Sorption and speciation of heavy metals on hydrous Fe and Mn oxides. From microscopic to macroscopic. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 7, 201– 223.
- McIntire W. L. (1963) Trace element partition coefficients—A review and application to geology. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 27, 1209– 1264.
- Morel F. M. M. (1983) Principles of Aquatic Chemistry. John Wiley & Sons.
- Paige C. R., Kornicker W. A., Hileman O. E. J., and Snordgrass W. J. (1993) Study of the dynamic equilibrium in the  $BaSO_4$  and  $PbSO_4$  aqueous solution systems using  ${}^{133}Ba^{2+}$  and  ${}^{210}Pb^{2+}$  as radiotracers. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **57**, 4434–4444.
- Pearcy E. C., Prikryl J. D., and Leslie B. W. (1995) Uranium transport through fractured silicic tuff and relative retention in areas with distinct fracture characteristics. *Appl. Geochem.* 10, 685–704.
- Prieto M., Fernandez-Gonzalez A., Putnis A., and Fernadex-Diaz L. (1997) Nucleation, growth, and zoning phenomena in crystallizing (Ba,Sr)CO<sub>3</sub>, Ba(SO<sub>4</sub>,CrO<sub>4</sub>), (Ba,Sr)SO<sub>4</sub>, and (Cd,Ca)CO<sub>3</sub> solid solutions from aqueous solutions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 61 (16), 3383–3397.
- Prigogine I. and Defay R. (1954) Chemical Thermodynamics. Longman.
- Rai D. and Zachara J. M. (1988) Chromium reactions in geologic materials. Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Electrical Power Research Institute, and others.
- Reeder R. J. (1996) Interaction of divalent cobalt, zinc, cadmium, and barium with the calcite surface during layer growth. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta* **60**, 1543–1552.
- Sass B. M. and Rai D. (1987) Solubility of amorphous chromium (III)-iron (III) hydroxide solid solutions. *Inorg. Chem.* 26, 2228–2232.
- Schwertmann U., Gasser U., and Sticher H. (1989) Chromium-for-iron substitution in synthetic goethites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 53, 1293–1297.
- Sebesta F., Benes P., Sedlack J., John J., and Sandrik R. (1981) Behavior of radium and barium in a system including uranium mine waste waters and adjacent surface waters. *Env. Sci. Tech.* 15, 71–75.
- Shannon R. D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Cryst.* A32, 751–767.
- Shock E. L. and Helgeson H. C. (1988) Calculation of the thermodynamic and transport properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures: Correlation algorithms for ionic species. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 52, 2009–2036.
- Shulze D. G. and Schwertmann U. (1984) The influence of aluminum on iron oxide: X. Properties of Al-substituted goethites. *Clay Min.* 19, 521–539.
- Smith A. L. (1987) Radioactive-scale formation. J. Petrol. Tech. 39, 697–706.
- Smyth J. R. and Bish A. L. (1988) Crystal structures and cation sites of the rock-forming minerals. Allen & Unwin.
- Sposito G. (1984) The Surface Chemistry of Soils. Oxford University Press.
- Starke R. (1964) Die strontiumgehalte der bryte. Freibersger Forschungsh C150.
- Stiers W. and Schwertmann U. (1985) Evidence for manganese substitution in synthetic goethite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 49, 1909– 1911.

- Stumm W. and Morgan J. (1981) Chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters. John Wiley & Sons.
- Stumm W. and Morgan J. J. (1996) Aquatic Chemistry: An introduction emphasizing chemical equilibria in natural waters. John Wiley & Sons.
- Sverjensky D. A. and Molling P. A. (1992) A linear free energy relationship for crystalline solids and aqueous ions. *Nature* 356, 231–234.
- Swalin R. A. (1972) Thermodynamics of Solids. John Wiley & Sons.
- Thompson J. B. (1967) Thermodynamic properties of simple solutions. In *Researches in Geochemistry* (ed. P. H. Abelsonn), pp. 340–361. John Wiley & Sons.
- Urusov V. S. (1975) Energetic theory of miscibility gaps in mineral solid solutions. *Frtschr. Min.* 52, 141–150.
- Wagman D. D., Evans W. H., Parker V. B., Schumm R. H., Halow I., Bailey S. M., Churney K. L. and Nuttal R. L. (1982) The NBS tables

of chemical thermodynamic properties: Selected values for inorganic and Cl and C2 substances in SI units. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **11** (2).

- White G. J. (1992) Naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) in oil and gas industry equipment and wastes—a literature review. Department of Energy, pp. 34.
- Wolska E. and Schwertmann U. (1993) The mechanism of solid solution formation between goethite and diaspore. *Neues Jahrb. Min. Monatsh.* 213–223.
- Zhang J., Ye D., and Prewitt C. T. (1991) Relationship between the unit-cell volumes and cation radii of isostructural compounds and the additivity of the molecular volumes of carbonates. *Am. Mineral.* **76**, 100–105.
- Zhu C. (2002) Estimation of surface precipitation constants from linear free energy correlation. *Chem. Geol.* **188**, 23–32.