2004

Open-System Magma Chamber Evolution: an Energy-constrained Geochemical Model Incorporating the Effects of Concurrent Eruption, Recharge, Variable Assimilation and Fractional Crystallization (EC-E'RA χ FC)

FRANK J. SPERA^{1*} AND WENDY A. BOHRSON²

¹INSTITUTE FOR CRUSTAL STUDIES AND DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA

²DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES, CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ELLENSBURG, WA 98926, USA

RECEIVED NOVEMBER 7, 2003; ACCEPTED AUGUST 6, 2004 ADVANCE ACCESS PUBLICATION OCTOBER 14, 2004

Significant petrogenetic processes governing the geochemical evolution of magma bodies include magma **R**echarge (including formation of 'quenched inclusions' or enclaves), heating and concomitant partial melting of country rock with possible 'contamination' of the evolving magma body (Assimilation), and formation and separation of cumulates by <u>F</u>ractional <u>C</u>rystallization (RAFC). Although the importance of modeling such open-system magma chambers subject to energy conservation has been demonstrated, the effects of concurrent removal of magma by eruption and/or variable assimilation (involving imperfect extraction of anatectic melt from wall rock) have not been considered. In this study, we extend the EC-RAFC model to include the effects of <u>E</u>ruption and variable amounts of assimilation, <u>Ax</u>. This model, called EC-E'RA χ FC, tracks the compositions (trace elements and isotopes), temperatures, and masses of magma body liquid (melt), eruptive magma, cumulates and enclaves within a composite magmatic system undergoing simultaneous eruption, recharge, assimilation and fractional crystallization. The model is formulated as a set of 4 + t + i + s coupled nonlinear differential equations, where the number of trace elements, radiogenic and stable isotope ratios modeled are t, i and s, respectively. Solution of the EC- $E'RA\chi FC$ equations provides values for the average temperature of wall rock (T_a) , mass of melt within the magma body (M_m) , masses of cumulates (\mathbf{M}_{ct}) , enclaves (\mathbf{M}_{en}) and wall rock (\mathbf{M}_{a}^{o}) and the masses of anatectic melt generated (\mathbf{M}_{a}^{*}) and assimilated $(\mathbf{\chi}\mathbf{M}_{a}^{*})$. In addition, t trace element concentrations and i + s isotopic ratios in melt and eruptive magma (C_m , ε_m , δ_m), cumulates (C_{ct} , ε_m , δ_m),

enclaves ($\mathbf{C}_{en}, \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{r}^{o}, \mathbf{\delta}_{r}^{o}$) and anatectic melt ($\mathbf{C}_{a}, \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{a}^{o}, \mathbf{\delta}_{a}^{o}$) as a function of magma temperature (T_m) are also computed. Input parameters include the (user-defined) equilibration temperature (T_{ea}) , a factor describing the efficiency of addition of anatectic melt (χ) from country rock to host magma, the initial temperature and composition of pristine host melt $(T_m^o, C_m^o, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_m^o, \boldsymbol{\delta}_m^o)$, recharge melt $(T_r^o, C_r^o, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_r^o)$ δ_{r}^{μ} and wall rock $(T_{a}^{\nu}, C_{a}^{\nu}, \varepsilon_{a}^{\nu}, \delta_{a}^{\nu})$, distribution coefficients $(D_{m}, U_{a}^{\nu}, \varepsilon_{a}^{\nu}, \delta_{a}^{\nu})$ D_r , D_a) and their temperature dependences (ΔH_m , ΔH_r , ΔH_a), latent heats of transition (melting or crystallization) for wall rock (Δh_a) , pristine magma (Δh_m) and recharge magma (Δh_r) as well as the isobaric specific heat capacity of assimilant ($C_{p,a}$), pristine $(\mathbf{C}_{b,m})$ and recharge $(\mathbf{C}_{b,r})$ melts. The magma recharge mass and eruptive magma mass functions, $M_r(T_m)$ and $M_e(T_m)$, respectively, are specified a priori. $M_r(T_m)$ and $M_e(T_m)$ are modeled as either continuous or episodic (step-like) processes. Melt productivity functions, which prescribe the relationship between melt mass fraction and temperature, are defined for end-member bulk compositions characterizing the local geologic site. EC-E'RAXFC has potential for addressing fundamental questions in igneous petrology such as: What are intrusive to extrusive ratios (I/E) for particular magmatic systems, and how does this factor relate to rates of crustal growth? How does I/E vary temporally at single, long-lived magmatic centers? What system characteristics are most profoundly influenced by eruption? What is the quantitative relationship between recharge and assimilation? In cases where the extraction efficiency can be shown to be less than unity, what geologic criteria are important and

Journal of Petrology vol. 45 issue 12 © Oxford University Press 2004; all rights reserved

^{*}Corresponding author: Department of Geological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9630, USA. Telephone: 805-893-4880. Fax: 805-893-2314. E-mail: spera@geol.ucsb.edu

can these criteria be linked to field observations? A critical aspect of the energy-constrained approach is that it requires integration of field, geochronological, petrologic, and geochemical data, and, thus, the EC-ERAFC 'systems' approach provides a means for answering broad questions while unifying observations from a number of disciplines relevant to the study of igneous rocks.

KEY WORDS: assimilation; energy conservation; eruption; open system; recharge

INTRODUCTION

It is generally understood that magma bodies evolve as open systems exchanging material and heat with their surroundings under far-from-equilibrium conditions. This interaction gives rise to the formation of what nowadays are recognized as self-organized dissipative structures (Nicolis & Prigogine, 1977) at a variety of spatiotemporal scales. In magmatic systems, these structures may include, for example, rhythmically layered cumulates, compositionally zoned melt bodies, epithermal ore deposits formed by reaction between magmatichydrothermal fluids and country rock, as well as complex compositional zoning profiles within single crystals (for detailed arguments spanning a range of spatial and temporal scales see, e.g. Korzhinskii, 1970; Taylor, 1974; McBirney & Noyes, 1979; Rose & Burt, 1979; Smith, 1979; McBirney, 1980; Brimhall & Crerar, 1987; Feldstein et al., 1994; Halden, 1996; L'Heureux & Fowler, 1996; Tepley et al., 2000). In magmatic environments, the largest source of entropy production is the transport of heat between magma and its surroundings; entropy generation by magma mixing and irreversibility associated with growth and nucleation kinetics are additional, but generally smaller, sources. The mechanisms of heat transport include heat conduction into wall rock, heat transport by hydrothermal convection and the advection of heat associated with both recharge of fresh magma into an existing magma body and eruption of magma from that body. The mechanisms of mixing include advective mixing driven by thermal and compositional buoyancy of melt, multi-phase convection (Bergantz & Ni, 1999), and, to a lesser degree, simple molecular diffusion (Trial & Spera, 1990).

Although the study of heat transfer in magmatic systems has a venerable history (e.g. Ingersoll *et al.*, 1954; Shaw, 1965; Jaeger, 1968; Spera, 1979; Carrigan, 1988; Huppert & Sparks, 1988; Bergantz, 1989; Marsh, 1989), the explicit connection between heat transfer and the trace element and isotopic evolution of magma in open systems has been investigated less thoroughly despite the obvious coupling. As noted by Taylor (1980; Taylor & Sheppard, 1986; see also DePaolo, 1981), it was

N. L. Bowen (Bowen, 1928) who pointed out that assimilation is not a simple two-component mixing process. Instead, at a minimum, it represents a three end-member problem involving the coupled energetics (both sensible and latent) among magma, country rock assimilant and cumulates. If one allows for magma replenishment (addition) and magma removal (eruption), it is evident that there are many degrees of freedom available to magma undergoing open-system evolution. The geochemical path followed by an open system is dictated by the efficacy and coupling among the processes of eruption, recharge, mixing, assimilation and crystallization, and is therefore highly contingent on inherent vagaries particular to a given magmatic system, no two of which are alike in most respects.

Although geochemists and petrologists have long appreciated, in principle, the concept of open-system behavior, the translation of the concept into a practical geochemical model applicable to natural systems has proved challenging. A prominent contribution to this subject is the collective works of M. J. O'Hara and co-workers over the past guarter-century (e.g. O'Hara, 1977, 1980; O'Hara & Mathews, 1981; O'Hara & Fry, 1996; O'Hara & Herzberg, 2002). O'Hara and collaborators have consistently argued that many magmas on Earth exhibit characteristics suggesting they are not primary (e.g. O'Hara, 1980, 2000); among the most important of these characteristics is evidence of lowpressure crystallization, implying residence in shallowlevel magma reservoirs where processes such as magma mixing, contamination and periodic eruption can potentially modify mantle 'signatures'. O'Hara has also examined the question of how basalts (and other magmas) acquire their chemical signatures by investigating the consequences of magma migration through the crust. At the heart of this is the 'space problem' (e.g. O'Hara 1998). O'Hara (1998) noted that prior to 1950, the 'space problem' was connected to the study of assimilation, contamination and hybridization of evolving calcalkaline plutons, the large volumes of which made the 'space problem' a visible issue. Field evidence, primarily from the margins of plutons, provided support for the importance of assimilation and hybridization in the petrogenesis of magmas. As basalts became increasingly important in understanding magma petrogenesis and deciphering sub-solidus convective mixing in the mantle, the 'space problem' and the relevance of assimilation became issues of secondary importance. However, as O'Hara particularly noted in his 1998 contribution on the thermal and geochemical consequences of large-scale assimilation in ocean island development, magmas emplaced at crustal levels must make space for themselves, and one possible mechanism is assimilation of crustal material as the magma body migrates. Thermal considerations also provide support for crustal assimilation

(O'Hara, 1998). Through numerous quantitative models and associated analysis, O'Hara and colleagues have provided a framework in which the impact of Eruption, Recharge, Assimilation and Fractional Crystallization (ERAFC) processes on major and trace element and isotopic characteristics of magmas can be evaluated.

A critical step in further quantifying the types of models that O'Hara and colleagues have generated is development of a model for open-system magmatic behavior using coupled mass, momentum, species and energy conservation. Although, in principle, this seems straightforward, complicating matters quickly arise when conservation principles are applied to natural systems. For example, rarely is anything known regarding the three-dimensional form of a magma body. Without such knowledge, rates of heat transport are impossible to quantify, and this uncertainty makes it impossible to estimate rates of assimilation, magma mixing and fractional crystallization for specific magmatic systems. Similarly, phase equilibria models including minor and trace element partitioning and activity-composition relations for many important phases are still lacking. Incomplete information on the transport properties of magmatic materials such as thermal conductivity and the kinetics of transport phenomena introduces additional uncertainty into the modeling of magmatic systems. Unknown thermophysical property variations in host rock environments, such as the three-dimensional structure of the permeability, which can influence the rate of heat transfer between magma and country rock, serve to further obfuscate attempts to model particular natural systems.

In light of these difficulties, is there any hope for developing a model for the trace element and isotopic evolution of natural (open) magmatic systems? We believe the answer is yes. The phenomena of eruption, recharge and the mixing of magmas, assimilation, and fractional crystallization (i.e. ERAFC processes) are intimately linked through energy conservation, independent of the complicating details of momentum transport. This linkage can be exploited to develop a thermodynamic model for the open-system geochemical evolution of magma undergoing ERAFC processes. This model can then serve as a preliminary 'reference state' from which to evaluate the full complexity of geochemical evolution. Although this approach cannot provide absolute temporal information and is not a model for the dynamical evolution of magma, it does provide a relative chronology and material inventory for the succession of melt and solid compositions produced during ERAFC evolution and can be applied to natural systems. Significantly, model predictions can be compared with observed compositions and masses or relative masses of melts and crystalline products from particular natural systems. Indeed, when combined with trace and isotope material balance expressions, energy

conservation leads to a self-consistent algorithm for determination of the geochemical path followed by magma along the path to thermal equilibrium from its initial farfrom-equilibrium state. In the EC-ERAFC model, the path refers to the progression of wall-rock temperatures $(T_{\rm a})$, trace element concentrations and isotope ratios in anatectic melt, host melt, eruptive magma and crystallized solids as a function of the melt temperature, $T_{\rm m}$. The solid products of ERAFC evolution include cumulate rocks produced by fractional crystallization as well as solids produced by 'instantaneous' chilling of a portion of recharge melt. The latter solids, which are nonequilibrium with respect to the magma body, are identified with the class of inclusions ubiquitous in plutons and lavas denoted by the term 'enclave' in the classical petrologic literature (Best & Christiansen, 2001).

The purpose of this study is to present a description of the open-system model. Details of earlier EC-AFC and EC-RAFC models forming the backbone of EC- $E'RA\chi FC$ have been presented elsewhere (Bohrson & Spera, 2001, 2003; Spera & Bohrson, 2001, 2002). In the current work, attention is focused on the novel elements introduced by allowance for eruption (E') and for variable addition of anatectic melt into the host magma body (A χ). In the EC-AFC model, all melt generated in country rock is added to the evolving magma body. In the present model, that condition is relaxed. EC-E'RAXFC is valid for arbitrary eruptive mass, \overline{M}_{e}^{o} (the non-dimensional eruptive mass), in the limit of no addition of anatectic melt into the magma body, $\chi = 0$ (i.e. no contamination) and $\overline{M}_{\rm r}^{\rm o}=0$ (no recharge). It also is valid in the limit of $\overline{M}_{e}^{0} = 0$ (no eruption) with arbitrary recharge mass, \overline{M}_{r}^{o} , for all χ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. It should be noted that χ is the mass fraction of anatectic liquid generated in the country rock that is added to and mixes with pre-existing host magma. For arbitrary M_r^{o} and χ , the EC-E'RA χ FC presented in this study is limited to $\overline{M}_{\rm e}^{\rm o} < 0.4$, approximately. The superscript on the E' is included to remind the reader that the current model is not valid for scenarios in which \overline{M}_{e}^{o} exceeds approximately 0.4. A summary of all the variables in the model is provided in Table 1.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OF EC-E'RAχFC MODEL: A SYSTEMS APPROACH

Figure 1 illustrates a crustal-scale view of magma transport, thereby providing a context for the ERAFC magma evolution model. Not included in the illustration are the regions where magma is generated, perhaps by isentropic pressure-release melting (Verhoogen, 1954; Asimow, 2000), by volatile-induced solidus depression (Bailey, 1970), or by segregation from residual source material

Table 1: Nomenclature

Symbol	Definition	Units	Symbol	Definition	Units	
T _m ^o	Initial host melt temperature	к	$\Delta h_{\rm m}$	Specific crystallization	J/kg	
7°r	Initial recharge melt temperature	К		enthalpy of host magma		
T _{l,m}	Pristine host melt liquidus temperature	К	$\Delta h_{\rm r}$	specific crystallization	J/kg	
T _{eq}	Equilibration temperature	К		enthalpy of recharge melt		
T _m	Host melt temperature	К	M _m	Mass of melt in magma body	kg	
Ta	Temperature of country rock	К	M_{a}^*	Mass of anatectic melt	kg	
T _{I,a}	Wall-rock liquidus temperature	К	<i>M</i> ^o _a	Mass of country rock	kg	
7°a	Initial country rock temperature	К		involved in RAFC event		
T _{l,r}	Recharge melt liquidus temperature	К	ΔH_{a}	Enthalpy of anatectic melt/	J/mol	
<i>T</i> _{r,<i>i</i>}	Temperature mid-point of <i>i</i> th	К		anatectic melt partition		
	recharge episode			coefficient		
$T_{\mathrm{e},i}$	Temperature mid-point	К	$\Delta H_{\rm m}$	Enthalpy of cumulate/host	J/mol	
	of <i>i</i> th eruptive episode			melt partition coefficient		
$\Delta h_{\rm a}$	Enthalpy of fusion of assimilant	J/kg	$\Delta H_{\rm r}$	Enthalpy of enclave/recharge	J/mol	
$\Delta h_{\rm m}$	Enthalpy of crystallization of	J/kg		melt partition coefficient		
	host magma		χ	Melt extraction efficiency		
$\Delta h_{\rm r}$	Enthalpy of crystallization	J/kg	Ca	concentration of trace	ppm	
	of recharge magma			element in country rock*		
C _{p,m}	Magma isobaric specific	J/kg K	Cr	Concentration of trace	ppm	
	heat capacity			element in recharge melt		
C _{p,a}	Assimilant isobaric specific	J/kg K	Cm	Concentration of trace	ppm	
	heat capacity			element in host melt		
C _{p,r}	Recharge melt specific heat	J/kg K	C _{en}	Concentration of trace	ppm	
	capacity			element in enclave		
$M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$	Mass of recharge magma	kg	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}}_{a}$	Average concentration of	ppm	
$M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$	Mass of eruptive magma	kg		anatectic melt along path ${\it T}_a^o \rightarrow {\it T}_m$		
М ^о r	Total mass of recharge magma	kg	$C_{\rm ct}$	Instantaneous concentration	ppm	
	added during ERAFC event			of trace element in cumulate		
<i>M</i> ^o _e	Total mass of eruptive magma	kg	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}}_{ ext{ct}}$	average concentration of	ppm	
	added during ERAFC event			cumulates along path $\mathcal{T}^o_m \to \mathcal{T}_m$		
Mo	Mass of initial magma	kg	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}}_{en}$	Average concentration of	ppm	
M _{ct}	Mass of cumulates	kg		enclaves along path ${\it T}_m^o \rightarrow {\it T}_m$		
<i>M</i> _{en}	Mass of enclaves	kg	D _m	Bulk distribution coefficient		
M _s	Total mass of solids	kg		between cumulate and melt		
	(cumulates plus enclaves)		Da	Bulk distribution coefficient		
$\Delta M_{r,i}$	ith increment of recharge	kg		between wall rock and anatectic melt		
	mass addition		Dr	Bulk distribution coefficient		
N _r	Number of episodes of		~	between enclave and recharge melt		
	recharge addition		$s \equiv \frac{C_{\rm a}^{\circ}}{C^{\circ}}$	Ratio of initial concentration		
mi	Parameter in recharge addition	K^{-1}	° _m	of trace element in country		
	mass function		20	rock and pristine magma		
d _i	Parameter in recharge		$t \equiv \frac{C_{\rm r}^{\rm o}}{C^{\rm o}}$	Ratio of initial concentration of		
	addition mass function		Um	trace element in recharge melt		
h _{lib}	Total heat liberated by magma	J		and pristine magma		
h _{abs}	total heat absorbed by	J	ε _m	Isotopic ratio in host melt		
	country rock		ε _r	Isotopic ratio in recharge melt		
Δh_{a}	Specific fusion enthalpy	J/kg	3	Isotopic ratio in assimilant		
	of wall rock		δ_{a}	Oxygen isotopic composition of assimilant		

Table 1: continued

Symbol	Definition	Units
δ	Oxygen isotopic composition	
	of host magma	
δ _r	Oxygen isotopic composition	
	of recharge melt	
$f_{\rm m}(T)$	Melt productivity of pristine	
	initial host melt composition	
$f_{\rm a}(T)$	Melt productivity of	
	wall-rock composition	
$f_{\rm r}(T)$	Melt productivity of	
	recharge melt composition	
$\overline{M}_{en} \equiv \frac{M_{en}}{M}$	Non-dimensional mass	
IVI _o	of enclaves	
$\overline{M}_{\rm ct} \equiv \frac{M_{\rm ct}}{M}$	Non-dimensional mass	
<i>IVI</i> o	of cumulates	
$\overline{M}_{s} \equiv \frac{M_{s}}{M}$	Non-dimensional mass of	
IVI ₀	all solids (cumulates plus enclaves)	
$\overline{M}_{a}^{o} \equiv \frac{M_{a}^{o}}{M}$	Non-dimensional mass	
	of assimilant	
$\overline{T}_{eq} \equiv \frac{I_{eq}}{T_{eq}}$	Non-dimensional equilibration	
7 l,m	temperature	
$\overline{T}_{a} \equiv \frac{I_{a}}{\overline{T_{a}}}$	Non-dimensional assimilant	
/ I,m	temperature	
$\overline{T}_{a} \equiv \frac{I_{a}}{T_{a}}$	Non-dimensional magma	
, i,m	temperature	
$\overline{T}_{m} \equiv \frac{I_{m}}{T_{i}}$	Non-dimensional melt	
* I,m	fraction in magma body	
$\overline{M}_{\rm m} \equiv \frac{M_{\rm m}}{M}$	Non-dimensional concentration	
2	of trace element in anatectic melt	
$\overline{C}_{a} \equiv \frac{C_{a}}{C^{o}}$	Non-dimensional concentration	
C _a	of trace element in recharge magma	
$\overline{C}_{r} \equiv \frac{C_{r}}{C^{o}}$	Non-dimensional concentration	
°,	of trace element in melt	

*In text and equations, initial values of trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios are denoted by superscript $^{\circ}$ (e.g. C_{a}° is the initial concentration of trace element in wall rock).

by percolation. Once segregated from residuum, melt rises as a result of the collective action of favorable pressure and buoyancy forces. The form (e.g. isolated pods or plexus of propagating cracks) and transport rate of magma through the lithosphere depends on a complex interplay of factors including the distribution of magma pressure and buoyancy forces, the magnitude, orientation and spatial variation of the principal stresses, and the thermophysical properties of both magma and country rock (e.g. see Petford & Koenders, 1988; Hart, 1993; Rubin, 1995). The loss of heat from the magma body



Fig. 1. Schematic depiction of magmatic transport phenomena after Hill *et al.* (2002). Early stages of melt generation and segregation are not portrayed. Magma ascends from source region via crack network or as discrete pod-shaped bodies. Magma bodies develop in regions where upward ascent of magma is impeded, such as at the Moho or the brittle–ductile transition, as a result of density contrast or rheological gradients, respectively. Rates of heat transfer between magma and country rock are modulated by the thermophysical properties of country rock, the presence or absence of hydrothermal systems and the character of magma body–country rock contact area. Deeper bodies emplaced in low-porosity crust may lose heat mainly by conduction whereas in shallower environments hydrothermal convection may be more significant. A magma storage body is one component of the composite $L'RA\chiFC$ system portrayed in detail in Fig. 2.

depends upon the local temperature and thermophysical properties of the country rock as well as the relative importance of porous medium thermal–salinity convection compared with heat conduction (e.g. Norton & Cathles, 1979; Norton & Taylor, 1979; Taylor, 1986; Carrigan, 1988; Cathles *et al.*, 1997; Schoofs & Spera, 2003). At shallow depths where porosity–permeability relations are favorable, large-scale hydrothermal circulation systems may develop and allow relatively efficient transfer of magmatic heat into country rock. In this case, although a large volume of country rock is heated, the fraction of wall rock undergoing partial melting may be restricted because of the relatively rapid transport of heat away from the magma body. In contrast, at greater depths where fluids may be absent or the permeability very low, heat conduction dominates heat loss. Although a smaller mass of country rock is heated, the local rise in temperature may lead to significant country rock anatexis. Germane to ERAFC evolution is that magma may sometimes be 'stored' in finite-volume bodies at depth. Two illustrative storage depths, drawn from a large number of possibilities, are illustrated in Fig. 1. One is the Moho, where a sharp contrast in density between mantle and lower crust exists, and another is the brittle-ductile rheological transition, where country rock thermophysical properties and the state of stress might impede upward magma transport. Once a substantial volume of magma is stored within the crust, the EC-E'RAXFC model may be applied.

In EC-E'RA χ FC, a composite system is envisioned that is isolated adiabatically from its environment (Fig. 2). The composite system comprises four sub-systems with boundaries that may be open, closed or semi-permeable with respect to mass, and adiabatic or diathermal with respect to energy. In general, a stipulation regarding both heat and matter exchange between each sub-system is required to define an EC-E'RA χ FC evolution. The four sub-systems in the ERAFC model include country rock, magma body, recharge reservoir and an effusive (eruptive) volume. Wall rock (synonymous with country rock) is separated from the magma body by diathermal, semipermeable boundaries. That is, heat can freely pass across the boundary that is permeable to fraction χ of anatectic melt generated in the country rock by partial fusion. The mass of country rock involved in E'RAyFC evolution is governed by an integral energy balance that enforces energy conservation among all four sub-systems along the path towards thermal equilibration. The magma body consists of host melt, cumulates and enclaves. Two additional sub-systems include a reservoir of recharge melt of arbitrary mass, specific enthalpy and composition, and an eruptive or extrusive reservoir formed by partial eruption of the magma body. During eruptive episodes, the boundary between the magma body and the eruptive reservoir is perfectly open with respect to both heat and material transport. During episodes of recharge, the boundary between the recharge reservoir and the magma body is also open with respect to matter and heat. Boundaries between the recharge and eruptive reservoirs and the country rock are closed and adiabatic.

In the most general formulation of EC-E'RA χ FC, each of the four sub-systems can be viewed as individual composite systems. In principle, this allows one to model effects such as compositionally zoned magma bodies, recharge magma of varying specific enthalpy and composition, the imperfect extraction of anatectic melts and eruption of magma with a different solid to

melt ratio compared with the magma body at the time of eruption. The EC-E'RA χ FC versions presented here and in previous work (EC-AFC, EC-RAFC) apply to homogeneous (non-zoned) magma bodies replenished by recharge magma of fixed composition and temperature (specific enthalpy). Eruptive magma is at the same temperature as host magma, and its composition reflects that of host melt and average cumulates at $T_{\rm m}$. Crystallinity is approximated from that of pristine magma at $T_{\rm m}$. In EC-E'RA χ FC, the anatectic melt extraction factor, χ , is set by the investigator in the range $0 \le \chi \le 1$. For $\chi = 1$, all anatectic melt generated by partial melting of wall rock is added to and homogenized within the magma body. Alternatively, for $\chi = 0$, none of the wall-rock anatectic melt is allowed to enter the magma body, although the energy needed for its generation is accounted for (Petford & Gallagher, 2001; Petford, 2003). The earlier EC-AFC and EC-RAFC models (Bohrson & Spera, 2001, 2003; Spera & Bohrson, 2001, 2002) implicitly set $\chi = 1$, and are, therefore, 'maximal contamination' RAFC scenarios. In EC-E'RAXFC, magma removed during eruption is a mixture of crystals and melt present in the same ratio as that in the magma body during the eruption, ignoring the effects of recharge and assimilation. That is, no fractionation between solids and melt is permitted during eruption and the contribution to the ratio of crystals and melts owing to recharge and assimilation is ignored. The latter constraint is not strictly correct but is a good approximation provided $M_{\rm e}$ is less than 0.4. This limit was empirically determined by comparing the solid to melt ratio within the magma body between cases with $\chi = 1$ and finite \overline{M}_r^o and with zero \overline{M}_e^o and $\chi = 0$.

To uniquely define an E'RAXFC event, an integral energy balance is invoked to provide a connection between the mass and thermal properties of all subsystems, given a set of initial conditions and a chosen equilibration temperature (T_{eq}) . The integral equation allows determination of the mass of wall rock, M_a^o , which thermally equilibrates with the magma body sub-system during the ERAFC event. Once M_a^o has been determined, path-dependent parameters, such as trace element and isotope characteristics of melt and solids (cumulates and enclaves) are determined by solution of a set of differential equations. These differential equations express conservation of energy, mass, species and isotope balance as a function of melt temperature for $T_{\rm m} \subset [T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}, T_{\rm eq}]$. There can be a positive or negative correlation between the extent of anatexis and the addition of recharge magma depending upon the initial temperature and thermodynamic properties of recharge melt relative to $T_{\rm m}$ at the time of replenishment. The removal of heat as a result of eruption always decreases the heat available for partial melting of country rock.



Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of E'RA χ FC evolution. (a) The initial state for E'RA χ FC evolution. A batch of magma of initial mass $M_{\rm o}$ and temperature $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ is emplaced into country rock of temperature $T_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$. (b) Early stage of E'RA χ FC evolution. Recharge melt is being added to magma body at prescribed rate, $M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$ with formation of enclaves and mixing of recharge and pristine melts. Removal by eruption of homogenized magma takes place according to the prescription, $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$. Heating of country rock generates anatectic melt, a fraction (χ) of which contaminates evolving magma. Cumulate rocks form by fractional crystallization. (c) Further E'RA χ FC evolution takes place as the temperature of melt ($T_{\rm m}$) progresses along the trajectory $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \to T_{\rm eq}$. (d) Final state with thermal condition $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm a} = T_{\rm eq}$. Magma body consists of cumulates, enclaves and homogeneous melt of mass $M_{\rm ct}$, $M_{\rm en}$ and $M_{\rm m}$, respectively. The total mass of recharge is $\overline{M}_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ and the total mass of eruptive magma is $\overline{M}_{\rm e}^{\rm o}$. The trace element and isotopic compositions of all materials are defined along the path to equilibration.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EC-E'RAχFC MODEL

The thermodynamic description of the composite system during E'RA χ FC evolution (Fig. 2) illustrates the progression of states along the geochemical EC-E'RA χ FC path. The initial state (Fig. 2a) is a composite system composed of four sub-systems: country rock of mass M_a^o at mean initial temperature T_a^o , a pristine batch of chemically homogeneous isothermal melt of mass M_o and temperature $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, a compositionally distinct (but homogeneous) recharge melt reservoir of mass $M_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ and temperature $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ and a 'virtual' eruptive reservoir (initially empty). The trace element and isotopic compositions of country rock, pristine magma, and recharge magma are specified. The country rock–magma body boundary is diathermal and semi-permeable, allowing exchange of enthalpy and mass fraction χ of anatectic melt generated by partial melting in the country rock. Recharge melt is added during the E'RA χ FC event according to an *ab initio* (user-defined) prescription $M_{\rm r} = M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$, where $T_{\rm m}$ is the temperature of host melt. Eruptive magma is similarly removed during E'RAXFC according to an ab initio (userdefined) prescription $M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$. Figure 2b, c and d shows successive steps along the evolutionary path as $T_{\rm m}$ varies from $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, the initial temperature, to the final or equilibration temperature, T_{eq} , specified by the user (Fig. 2d). Although time is not considered in the thermodynamic model (indeed, that is precisely why the model is of general utility), $T_{\rm m}$ serves as the progress variable in the differential equations defining the geochemical path (see Edwards & Russell, 1998; Hawkesworth et al., 2000). $T_{\rm m}$ monotonically falls from the initial value, $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, to the final or equilibration temperature, T_{eq} , and points in the same direction as the 'arrow of time'. At T_{eq} (Fig. 2d) the composite system has reached thermal equilibrium and entropy production associated with heat transfer vanishes within the composite system. The profoundness of the thermal interaction between wall rock and the magma body is measured by the value chosen for T_{eq} .

The thermal consequences of addition of recharge melt to the magma body depend on the initial temperature (T_r^{o}) and the melt fraction-temperature relationship [herein termed the melt productivity, $f_r(T_m)$] of recharge melt as well as the host melt temperature $T_{\rm m}$ at the time of recharge addition. The initial temperature of recharge melt, $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, is set equal to its liquidus temperature, $T_{\rm r,l}$. If $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ is less than $T_{\rm m}$, thermal energy required to warm recharge melt comes from sensible heat stored in the host melt and latent heat associated with in situ cumulate formation; once local thermal equilibrium is reached, the remaining recharge melt homogenizes with host magma. In contrast, if T_r^o exceeds T_m , the fraction $1 - f_r(T_m)$ of recharge melt 'instantaneously' solidifies upon injection into cooler host magma. The solid 'quench' products of this thermal interaction are termed enclaves in the E'RA χ FC formulation. Such enclaves are commonly found in granitic (sensu lato) plutons and their volcanic equivalents and are generally interpreted as the chilled remnants of mafic magma injected into cooler magma (see, e.g. Furman & Spera, 1985; Didier & Barbarin, 1991; Wiebe & Snyder, 1993; Wiebe, 1994; Wiebe & Adams, 1997; Snyder & Tait, 1998; Waight et al., 2001, and references therein).

During the course of E'RA χ FC evolution (Fig. 2b and c), magma is removed by eruption from the magma body sub-system according to the *a priori* prescription $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$. Magma removed by eruption is in thermal equilibrium with host melt and consists of a mixture of melt and solids in the same proportion as would be present in the pristine magma body at $T_{\rm m}$; this is an approximation of the melt– crystal state of a body that has undergone assimilation and recharge. EC-E'RA χ FC evolution is complete (Fig. 2d) when the temperature of the wall-rock restite (that part of country rock that remains solid) is equal to the melt temperature, $T_{\rm m}$, which, in turn, is equal to $T_{\rm eq}$. That is, at the completion of the E'RA χ FC event, $T_{\rm a} = T_{\rm eq}$. In cases where all the country rock involved in the ERAFC interaction melts, the equilibration condition is simply $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm eq}$. When $T_{\rm eq}$ is reached, the sub-systems include: (1) mass $M_{\rm m}$ of host melt of homogeneous composition at temperature $T_{\rm eq}$; (2) mass $M_{\rm ct}$ of cumulates of variable (but known) composition formed by fractional crystallization; (3) mass $M_{\rm en}$ of enclaves also of variable (but known) composition formed by closed-system fractional crystallization of recharge melt; (4) mass $M_{\rm a}^{\rm o} - \chi M_{a}^{*}$ of residual wall rock (restite) plus anatectic melt trapped in the country rock reservoir; (5) mass $M_{\rm e}^{\rm o}$ of eruptive magma of known composition and temperature.

In the EC-E'RA χ FC model, host melt and wall rock have unique trace element ($C_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $C_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$) and isotopic ($\varepsilon_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $\varepsilon_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, $\delta_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, where $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$ is radiogenic and $\delta_{\rm m}$ is oxygen) initial compositions. Recharge melt, with distinct trace element ($C_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$) and isotopic ($\varepsilon_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, $\delta_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$) compositions at initial temperature ($T_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$), is added to host magma according to userdefined recharge mass function $M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$. The recharge mass added to the magma body during E'RA χ FC evolution is $M_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, where $M_{\rm r}^{\rm o} = M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm eq})$. The extrusive mass is likewise specified a priori by definition of the eruptive mass function, $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$, and its composition is that of the host magma (melt plus average crystals) at the time of eruption.

Results from both experimental phase equilibria and thermodynamic modeling (e.g. MELTS, Ghiorso, 1997) are used to constrain the thermodynamics of melting. These constraints include melt productivity functions for wall rock, pristine magma and recharge magma and the phase assemblages as a function of temperature for estimation of bulk partition coefficients. The solution of the conservation equations provides the mass of heated wall rock (M_a^o) , the amount of anatectic melt (M_a^*) generated in wall rock and added to host magma (χM_a^*) , the mass of melt in the chamber (M_m) , and the mass of cumulates $(M_{\rm ct})$ and enclaves $(M_{\rm en})$ as a function of $T_{\rm m}$ along the path $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \to T_{\rm eq}$. In addition to masses, trace element concentrations and radiogenic and oxygen isotope ratios in melt, cumulates and enclaves at each temperature along the path $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \to T_{\rm eq}$ are determined.

In the following sections, mathematical details of the EC-E'RA χ FC algorithm are presented. The first section details the parameterization of the mass eruptive function, $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$. The non-linear melt productivity functions $f_{\rm a}(T)$, $f_{\rm m}(T)$ and $f_{\rm r}(T)$ and the mass recharge function $M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$ have been presented elsewhere (Spera & Bohrson, 2002) and are used but not derived here. The derivation of the EC-E'RA χ FC algorithm is given in two parts. The first is an integral energy conservation statement that provides a set of ordered pairs ($T_{\rm eq}$, $M_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$) for particular thermodynamic properties, initial conditions,

mass eruption history, $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$, and total recharge mass, $M_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$. A particular choice of $T_{\rm eq}$ is made based upon geologic knowledge of the magmatic system under study. Once $T_{\rm eq}$ has been chosen, the second part of the calculation gives the solution to the path-dependent differential equations defining the chemical and thermal evolution of the melt, wall rock, cumulates and enclaves along the E'RA χ FC temperature trajectory $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \rightarrow T_{\rm eq}$.

In (2), the factors $m_{e,i}$ and g_i control the width and slope of the eruption mass function, $\mathcal{T}_{e,i}$ is the mid-point of the temperature interval during which the *i*th eruptive pulse occurs, and $\Delta M_{e,i}$ is the mass of the *i*th pulse. By use of (2), specification of eruptive episodes of arbitrary mass at specific temperatures in the interval $\mathcal{T}_m^o \to \mathcal{T}_{eq}$ is accomplished. An illustrative example is given in Fig. 3 for an E'RA χ FC event made up of three eruptive pulses.

Differentiation of (2) gives a differential equation for the variation of the eruptive mass with melt temperature $T_{\rm m}$:

$$\frac{d\overline{M}_{e}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} \frac{\exp[m_{i}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{m} - T_{e,i})]T_{l,m}g_{i}m_{e,i}\Delta\overline{M}_{e,i}\{1 + \exp[m_{e,i}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{m} - T_{e,i})]\}^{(g_{i}-1)}}{\{1 + \exp[m_{e,i}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{m} - T_{e,i})]\}^{2g_{i}}}.$$
(3)

Mathematical details of the E'RAXFC algorithm

Magma eruptive function

In EC-E'RA χ FC, magma is removed by eruption from the magma body during the approach to thermal equilibrium. In all cases, erupted material includes melt and crystals in the proportion they would exist within the pristine magma reservoir at temperature $T_{\rm m}$. Two forms are useful as 'end-member' models for the eruption of magma during RA χ FC evolution. The simplest eruptive function is the linear one,

$$\overline{M}_{\rm e}(\overline{T}_{\rm m}) = \overline{M}_{\rm e}^{\rm o} (T_{\rm l,m}\overline{T}_{\rm m} - T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) / (T_{\rm eq} - T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}) \quad (1)$$

for which magma is removed as a linear function of $T_{\rm m}$. A more realistic mass eruption model is the multiple pulse or episodic model, which is modeled as follows. At some set of predetermined small temperature intervals (the *i*th temperature interval having midpoint $T_{\rm e,i}$), the *i*th pulse of eruptive magma of mass $\Delta M_{\rm e,i}$ is removed from the host magma body. There may be an arbitrary number ($N_{\rm e}$) of eruptive episodes; the current code allows for up to 20 such episodes in any single E'RA χ FC Equation (3) is needed for computation of the $E'RA\chi FC$ path.

Integral enthalpy balance

The integral enthalpy balance provides a fundamental constraint on the geochemical path followed by subsystems during E'RA χ FC evolution. The balance defines a relation among four quantities characterizing E'RA χ FC evolution: the mass of country rock, M_a^o , the total mass of recharge added, M_r^{o} , the eruptive mass function, $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$, and the equilibration temperature, T_{eq} . The integral enthalpy balance incorporates heating and partial melting of country rock, addition of recharge melt of arbitrary composition and temperature, eruption of magma, magma cooling, and heat exchange and solidification associated with enclaves and cumulates. The required thermodynamic parameters include the melt productivity functions for country rock, pristine host magma and recharge magma $(f_a, f_m \text{ and } f_r, \text{ respectively}),$ enthalpy of crystallization of host and recharge magmas $(\Delta h_{\rm m} \text{ and } \Delta h_{\rm r})$, the fusion enthalpy of country rock $(\Delta h_{\rm a})$, and the average isobaric specific heat capacity of all compositions ($C_{p,a}$, $C_{p,m}$ and $C_{p,r}$). The expression derived in the Appendix is

$$\overline{M}_{a}^{o} = \{C_{p,m}(T_{m}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}C_{p,r}(T_{r}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \Delta h_{m}[1 - f_{m}(\overline{T}_{eq})] + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}\Delta h_{r}[1 - f_{r}(\overline{T}_{eq})] + [A] + [B]\}/[C_{p,a}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq} - T_{a}^{o}) + \Delta h_{a}f_{a}(\overline{T}_{eq})]$$
(4)

simulation. The logistic form gives the cumulative mass of eruptive magma for multiple eruption pulses:

$$\overline{M}_{e}(\overline{T}_{m}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} \frac{\Delta \overline{M}_{e,i}}{\{1 + \exp[m_{e,i}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{m} - T_{e,i})]\}^{g_{i}}}.$$
(2)

where [A] and [B], non-zero only if $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$ is non-zero, are defined as

$$[A] = -C_{p,m} \int_{\mathcal{T}_{m}^{o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{eq}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{e}(\mathcal{T}_{m}) d\mathcal{T}_{m}$$
(5a)



Fig. 3. Example of episodic eruption from a magma body. $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$ is the non-dimensional cumulative mass of eruptive magma as a function of host melt temperature, $T_{\rm m}$. $T_{\rm m}$ falls from the initial value, $T_{\rm r}^{\circ}$, to the equilibration value, $T_{\rm eq}$. The function $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$ is defined by specifying the number of eruptive episodes $\langle N_{\rm c} \rangle$. This example is for a three-pulse E'RA χ FC event ($N_{\rm e}=3$). Four parameters are needed to define each eruptive pulse [see equation (2) in text]. For the example shown, they are [$\Delta M_{\rm e,b}$ $T_{\rm e,b}$ $m_{\rm e,b}$ $g_{\rm c}$]: (0.2, 1150°C, 0.12, 2), (0.5, 1050°C, 0.15, 2), (0.3, 1000°C, 0.15, 1-5) with $T_{\rm m}^{\circ} = 1200°$ C and $T_{\rm eq} = 950°$ C. The sum of the three pulses is $M_{\rm e}^{\circ} = 1\cdot 0$.

and

$$[\mathbf{B}] = -h_{\mathrm{m}} \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\circ}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{eq}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} \overline{M}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{m}}.$$
(5b)

If both $f_m(T_m)$ and $M_e(T_m)$ are linear in T_m , then integral [A] and [B] are evaluated analytically. In this case, equation (4) becomes

composition is solved. The output of this computation includes the temperature trajectory of the country rock restite (T_a) , the mass of partially molten assimilant produced (M_a^*) , the mass of partially molten assimilant incorporated in host melt (χM_a^*), the mass of homogenized melt $(M_{\rm m})$ within the magma body, the mass of cumulates formed by fractional crystallization (M_{ct}) , the mass of enclaves formed by 'quenching' a portion of recharge magma (M_{en}) , the mass of erupted magma M_e as well as the concentration of trace elements in both host melt and erupted melt (C_m) , and solids [cumulates (C_{ct}) and possible enclaves (C_{en})]. In addition, the isotopic compositions (radiogenic, $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$ and oxygen, $\delta_{\rm m}$) in melt and crystalline solids are also determined. The independent variable is the melt temperature $T_{\rm m}$, and the calculation ends when $T_{\rm eq}$, set *a priori*, is reached. We emphasize that $T_{\rm eq}$ must be specified in order to compute the path in temperaturecomposition space because M_a^o , the mass of country rock involved in E'RA χ FC, is a function of T_{eq} given in equation (4).

The model consists of 4 + t + i + s differential equations where t is the number of trace elements, i the number of radiogenic isotopic ratios and s the number of stable isotopes considered in the calculation. There are no formal limitations on t, s or i except the patience of the geochemist in dealing with the tyranny of numbers. Pressure is accommodated in EC-E'RAXFC approximately by adjustment of liquidi and the solidus temperatures $(T_{1,a}, T_{1,m}, T_{1,r}, and T_s)$ and melt properties, when such variations can be deduced and are critical to the analysis.

The first two differential equations are known *a priori* and are the differential forms for the mass recharge function and the mass eruptive function. The form of the recharge function has been given by Spera & Bohrson (2002) and is not repeated here. The eruptive mass function is either linear [equation (1)] or logistic [equation (2)]. The third differential equation expresses

$$\overline{M}_{a}^{o} = \{C_{p,m}(T_{m}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}C_{p,r}(T_{r}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \Delta h_{m}[1 - f_{m}(\overline{T}_{eq})] + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}\Delta h_{r}[1 - f_{r}(\overline{T}_{eq})]
+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_{e}^{o}(T_{eq} - T_{m}^{o})[C_{p,m} + h_{m}/(T_{l,m} - T_{s})]\}/[C_{p,a}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq} - T_{a}^{o}) + \Delta h_{a}f_{a}(\overline{T}_{eq})].$$
(6)

In the more general case when $f_{\rm m}$, $M_{\rm e}$ or both are nonlinear (logistic) functions (Spera & Bohrson, 2002), the integrals [A] and [B] are integrated numerically. Once $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$, $\overline{M}_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, melt productivity functions and thermodynamic properties of all materials are specified, a unique relationship exists between $T_{\rm eq}$ and $M_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$.

Calculation of thermal and geochemical paths

To compute the thermal, trace element and isotopic paths of anatectic melt, cumulates, enclaves and homogenized host melt within all sub-systems, a set of coupled ordinary nonlinear differential equations expressing conservation of enthalpy, mass, species and isotopic conservation of energy along the path as country rock heats up, partially melts and thermally equilibrates with host melt that simultaneously undergoes recharge and eruption. Energy conservation leads to a differential expression for the country rock temperature, T_a , as a function of melt temperature, T_m . Anatectic melt of mass χM_a^* generated by country rock partial fusion is assumed to rapidly mix with host melt. The mass of anatectic melt remaining trapped in country rock is $(1 - \chi)M_a^*$. Along the equilibration path, restite {the sum of crystalline country rock of mass $[1 - f_a(T_a)]M_a^\circ$, and trapped anatectic melt of mass $(1 - \chi)M_a^*$ } is not immediately brought into thermal equilibrium with magma. In contrast, assimilated anatectic melt of mass χM_a^* attains local thermal equilibrium with host melt at each point along the equilibration path $T_m^o \rightarrow T_{eq}$. Incomplete extraction of anatectic melt from country

conservation of energy that incorporates all of the above features gives the derivative of the country rock temperature T_a with respect to T_m along the EC-E'RA χ FC path to thermal equilibrium at T_{eq} :

$$\frac{d\overline{T}_{a}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} = \left(\frac{-1}{\overline{M}_{a}^{0}}\right) \left(\frac{T_{l,m}C_{p,m} + \Delta h_{m}f'_{m}(\overline{T}_{m}) + \chi\overline{M}_{a}^{0}C_{p,a}T_{l,m}f_{a}(\overline{T}_{a}) + [T_{l,m}C_{p,r} + \Delta h_{r}f'_{r}(T_{m})]\overline{M}_{r}(\overline{T}_{m})}{T_{l,m}C_{p,a}[1 - \chi f_{a}(\overline{T}_{a})] + [\Delta h_{a} + \chi C_{p,a}T_{l,m}(\overline{T}_{m} - \overline{T}_{a})]f'_{a}(\overline{T}_{a})} + \frac{\{T_{l,m}C_{p,r}(\overline{T}_{r}^{0} - \overline{T}_{m}) + [1 - f_{r}(\overline{T}_{m})]\Delta h_{r}\}\frac{d\overline{M}_{r}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} - [T_{l,m}C_{p,m} + \Delta h_{m}f'_{m}(\overline{T}_{m})]\overline{M}_{c}(T_{m})}{T_{l,m}C_{p,a}[1 - \chi f_{a}(\overline{T}_{a})] + [\Delta h_{a} + \chi C_{p,a}T_{l,m}(\overline{T}_{m} - \overline{T}_{a})]f'_{a}(\overline{T}_{a})}\right)$$
(7)

rock is allowed for by specification of the extraction efficiency factor, χ . In EC-E'RA χ FC, χ is a parameter of the simulation ($0 \le \chi \le 1$) set *a priori* by the investigator based on available geological evidence. In cases where $T_{\rm eq}$ is less than $T_{\rm l,a}$, the thermal equilibrium condition is $T_{\rm a} = T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm eq}$. When $T_{\rm eq} > T_{\rm l,a}$, the equilibrium condition is $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm eq}$, as no crystalline restite remains (i.e. $M_{\rm a}^{\rm o} = M_{\rm a}^{\rm a}$).

All recharge melt initially enters the magma body at temperature $T_{l,r} = T_r^o$, but is thermally equilibrated at the local T_m before mixing with host magma. The process of thermal equilibration depends on the melt temperature, T_m , at the time of replenishment. If $T_r^o > T_m$, then because recharge magma is assumed to be initially intruded at its liquidus temperature $(T_{l,r})$, a fraction of the recharge melt crystallizes to form enclaves. The fraction of recharge melt that solidifies is $1 - f_r(T_m)$ and the resulting increment of mass of enclaves is $dM_{en} = [1 - f_r(T_m)]dM_r$. Enclaves are not in isotopic or trace element where f'_a and f'_m represent derivatives of the melt productivity functions with respect to T_a and T_m , respectively, and \overline{M}_a^o is computed from equation (4). A derivation of (7) is presented in the Appendix.

The fourth constraint on the geochemical path is conservation of mass and explicitly provides an expression for the variation of the mass of liquid (melt) within the magma body as a function of $T_{\rm m}$. The derivative of the mass of melt $(M_{\rm m})$ in the host magma body with respect to magma temperature $T_{\rm m}$ is expressed in terms of the melt productivity functions and their derivatives and the mass recharge function and its derivative with respect to $T_{\rm m}$. The mass of melt in the magma body along the path is related to the amount of melt initially present $(M_{\rm o})$, the amount added by assimilation of anatectic melt $(\chi M_{\rm a}^*)$ and by recharge $(M_{\rm r})$, but allowing for enclave formation), the amount removed by cumulate formation $(M_{\rm cl})$ and, finally, the melt removed by eruption $(M_{\rm e})$. The expression has the differential form

$$\frac{d\overline{M}_{m}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} = \overline{M}_{a}^{o} \chi f'_{a}(\overline{T}_{a}) \frac{d\overline{T}_{a}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} + f'_{m}(\overline{T}_{m}) + f'_{r}(\overline{T}_{m}) \overline{M}_{r}(\overline{T}_{m}) + f_{r}(\overline{T}_{m}) \frac{d\overline{M}_{r}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} - f'_{m}(\overline{T}_{m}) \overline{M}_{e}(\overline{T}_{m}) - f_{m}(\overline{T}_{m}) \frac{d\overline{M}_{e}}{d\overline{T}_{m}}$$
(8)

equilibrium with host melt; they are distinct from cumulates formed by fractional crystallization of host melt. That fraction of recharge melt that does not 'quench' is assumed to mix with host magma (at $T_{\rm m}$) and deliver its excess heat, which then becomes available for anatexis of country rock. In this way, there is an intimate connection between recharge and host rock heating and possible contamination, depending on the value of χ . If, on the other hand, $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o} < T_{\rm m}$ at the time of intrusion, heat is extracted from host magma and added to the recharge melt. This can give rise to a 'wave' of cumulate formation and puts limits on the extent of anatexis. Finally, the heat removed associated with the eruption of magma must be accounted for. The differential equation expressing where primes on f_a , f_m and f_r denote temperature derivatives.

Conservation of species provides the basis for determining trace element abundance in melt as a function of $T_{\rm m}$. Initial trace element concentrations in country rock, host melt and recharge melt are $C_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, $C_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ and $C_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, respectively. We assume that partial melting of country rock is described by fractional melting so that the concentration of a trace element in the anatectic melt is given by

$$C_{\rm a} = \frac{C_{\rm a}^{\rm o}}{D_{\rm a}} [1 - f_{\rm a}(\overline{T}_{\rm a})]^{[(1 - D_{\rm a})/D_{\rm a}]}$$
(9)

where $D_{\rm a}$ is a function of temperature [see Spera & Bohrson (2001, appendix I)]. Additionally, a distinct bulk melt-solid partition coefficient $D_{\rm m}$, also dependent upon temperature, is defined to account for fractionation of the trace element between cumulate and melt. In the enclaves, the distribution of trace element takes place by closed-system fractional crystallization of the recharge melt. Chilling of the recharge melt by the cooler host magma precludes significant chemical mixing. The trace element bulk distribution coefficient that describes the fractionation of a trace element between recharge melt and its associated solid enclave is $D_{\rm r}$, which may also be temperature dependent. With these expressions, the species balance expression for the variations of the concentration of a trace element in the host melt within the well-mixed magma body as a function of $T_{\rm m}$ is

Finally, the differential equation expressing the oxygen isotope balance in host melt is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\delta_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} = \frac{1}{\overline{M}_{\mathrm{m}}} \left\{ (\delta_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{o}} - \delta_{\mathrm{m}}) k_{\mathrm{oxy}}^{\mathrm{a}} \overline{M}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{o}} \chi f'_{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} + (\delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{o}} - \delta_{\mathrm{m}}) k_{\mathrm{oxy}}^{\mathrm{r}} f_{\mathrm{r}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}) \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{M}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} \right\}.$$
(12)

Temperature-dependent oxygen fractionation is neglected in (12). This effect is small in magmatic systems (1 or 2‰). In cases where magma and country rock have nearly the same oxygen isotopic ratio, temperature effects may be important, and (12) should be modified to include temperature-dependent oxygen isotope fractionation. The ratios k_{oxy}^{a} and k_{oxy}^{r} represent respectively, the mass fraction ratios of oxygen in assimilant to melt and

$$\frac{d\overline{C}_{m}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} = \frac{1}{\overline{M}_{m}} \left\{ \overline{M}_{a}^{o} \chi(s\overline{C}_{a} - \overline{C}_{m}) f'_{a}(\overline{T}_{a}) \frac{d\overline{T}_{a}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} + \overline{C}_{m}(D_{m} - 1) [f'_{m}(\overline{T}_{m}) + \overline{M}_{r}(\overline{T}_{m}) f'_{r}(\overline{T}_{m})] + t [f_{r}(\overline{T}_{m})^{D_{r}-1} - \overline{C}_{m}] f_{r}(\overline{T}_{m}) \frac{d\overline{M}_{r}}{d\overline{T}_{m}} - [(D_{m} - 1)\overline{C}_{m}f_{m}(T_{m})]\overline{M}_{e}(T_{m}) \right\}.$$
(10)

The species balance equation accounts for the formation of enclaves and cumulates, the introduction of anatectic melt derived by fractional fusion of country rock (assimilant), and both recharge and eruption of magma. The composition of the recharge magma is an initial condition whereas the composition of melt removed during eruption is identical to melt within the host magma body at the moment of eruption.

For an isotopic ratio in the host melt $\epsilon_{\rm m},$ the differential equation is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\overline{\mathbf{\epsilon}_{m}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}} = \frac{1}{\overline{M}_{m}} \left\{ s \frac{\overline{C}_{a}}{\overline{C}_{m}} (\mathbf{\epsilon}_{a}^{o} - \mathbf{\epsilon}_{m}) \overline{M}_{a}^{o} \chi f'_{a} (\overline{T}_{a}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{a}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{m}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{t}{\overline{C}_{m}} [(f_{r} (\overline{T}_{m})]^{D_{r}-1} (\mathbf{\epsilon}_{r}^{o} - \mathbf{\epsilon}_{m}) f_{r} (\overline{T}_{m}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{M}_{r}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{m}} \right\}$$

$$(11)$$

where $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{a}^{o}$, $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{r}^{o}$ and $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{m}$ represent the isotopic ratio [e.g. $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{m} = ({}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr})_{melt}$] of anatectic melt (identical to country rock because we assume there is no fractionation of isotopes during partial melting), recharge melt and host melt at T_{m} , respectively. It should be noted that the epsilons refer to isotope ratios rather than the conventional definitions. Radiogenic in-growth and temperature-dependent isotopic fractionation are neglected in (11). Isotopic equilibrium is assumed to prevail between cumulates and melt; enclaves, on the other hand, are assumed to be in isotopic composition $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{2}^{o}$.

recharge melt to host melt before ERAFC processes. The mass fraction of oxygen in most natural compositions is about 47% and varies relatively little.

In addition to the primary variables computed by solution of the differential equations, other quantities may be calculated. For example, in a natural system, compositional data are sometimes available for the crystalline products (cumulates and enclaves), anatectic melt and eruptive products. The EC-E'RAXFC solution links all parts of the composite system to one another. When modeling natural systems, it is important to consider the composition of cumulates, enclaves, and anatectic melt as well as the composition of the evolving host melt so as to obtain as robust a solution as possible. The mass, trace element and isotopic compositions (path average and instantaneous) of all solids (cumulates and enclaves) and of anatectic melt along the thermal equilibration path $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \rightarrow T_{\rm eq}$ are part of the E'RA χ FC solution. Expressions for these quantities are given in the Appendix.

The set of 4 + t + i + s coupled ordinary differential equations representing E'RAXFC evolution is posed as an initial value problem with $T_{\rm m}$ as the independent variable. This set of differential equations is subject to the following initial conditions: at $T = T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $T_{\rm a} = T_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, $T_{\rm r} = T_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$, $M_{\rm m} = M_{\rm o}$, $C_{\rm m} = C_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $C_a = C_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, and $C_{\rm r} = C_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ for t trace element species, $\varepsilon_{\rm m} = \varepsilon_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $\varepsilon_{\rm a} = \varepsilon_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, and $\varepsilon_{\rm r} = \varepsilon_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ for *i* isotope species and $\delta_{\rm m} = \delta_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$, $\delta_{\rm a} = \delta_{\rm a}^{\rm o}$, and $\delta_{\rm r} = \delta_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ for oxygen. Once cast into dimensionless form, the system of equations are numerically solved by a fourth-order Runge–Kutta method. The input and output are presented in a code programmed in Visual Basic. A copy of the EC-E'RA χ FC code is available at http://magma.geol.ucsb.edu/ and on the *Journal of Petrology* web site at http://www.petrology. oupjournals.org.

APPLICATIONS OF EC-E'RAχFC

The utility, generality and robustness of the EC-E'RA χ FC model is best discovered by systematic application to a wide range of magmatic systems. This work has just begun [e.g. see Fowler *et al.* (2004) for application to a portion of the British Tertiary Igneous Province]. Here we provide a few examples to illustrate application of EC-E'RA χ FC to situations where extraction of wallrock partial melt may be variably efficient and where eruption and recharge interact non-linearly to alter the geochemical path of the sequence of melts along the approach to thermal equilibrium. These examples are not intended to be exhaustive, only illustrative.

Geologic evidence suggests that in some cases not all of the wall-rock melt generated during an ERAFC interaction is assimilated into the host magma body (e.g. Grove & Kinzler, 1986; see also James, 1981). For example, migmatites probably represent crustal sections that have undergone partial melting, but where melt has not been fully extracted (Johannes & Gupta, 1982). It is therefore important to examine the consequences of imperfect extraction (and hence incomplete addition) of anatectic melt to an evolving magma body. There is also abundant evidence that suggests recharge and eruption may be linked in open systems (Sparks & Sigurdsson, 1977; Blake, 1981). The ability to accommodate distinct $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$ vs $M_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})$ paths in EC-E'RA χ FC allows us to investigate the chemical consequences of the relationships between these two processes. Below, we begin by illustrating selected geochemical traits of a lower-crustal magma body undergoing E'RA χ FC; we follow this with specific comparisons of systems that are variably affected by assimilation, recharge and eruption.

EC-E'RAXFC models of intrusion of mafic magma into lower crust of maficintermediate composition

Figure 4a–d illustrates selected geochemical characteristics of a basaltic magma intruded into lower crust of mafic– intermediate composition initially at 600°C. The geochemical paths revealed in the figure simulate evolution from 1320°C ($T_{\rm eq}^{\rm o} = T_{\rm l,m}$) to an equilibration temperature of 1090°C ($T_{\rm eq}$). Four cases are shown: AFC where $\chi = 1$; A χ FC where $\chi = 0.5$; E'RAFC where $M_{\rm e}$ and $M_{\rm r}$ each are 0·3, are continuous, and $\chi = 1$; E'RA χ FC where $M_{\rm e}$ and $M_{\rm r}$ each are 0·3 and are continuous, and $\chi = 0.5$. Modeling parameters are summarized in Table 2.

Figure 4a shows the [Sr] vs ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr trajectory for the four cases. The flat trends near $T = T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ for the two AFC cases reflect heating up of wall rock to its solidus. Over approximately the same temperature range, the decrease in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr for the two cases involving recharge and eruption reflects addition of recharge magma that has a less radiogenic Sr isotope value. As $T_{\rm m}$ continues to fall in all four cases, the degree of heterogeneity in Sr isotope values over a small range of [Sr] is a consequence of wallrock fractional melting of an element that is incompatible (wall-rock $D_{Sr} = 0.05$); the initial, low-degree melting of the wall rock releases high concentrations of Sr into the host magma, yielding distinct changes in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr as well as an increase in [Sr] in the host magma (despite Sr being compatible in magma; $D_{\rm Sr} = 1.5$). The flattening of all trends as $T_{\rm m}$ becomes close to $T_{\rm eq}$ is also an outcome of fractional melting of an incompatible element; most of the Sr has been stripped from the wall rock, so while mass exchange is still continuing (i.e. assimilation is continuing), little Sr is being added from the wall rock to the host magma. Thus, little change in the isotope ratio occurs, although for the cases involving recharge, there is a slight decrease in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr because of the addition of the less radiogenic recharge magma. An interesting observation regarding all of the [Sr] vs ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr trends is the large degree of isotopic heterogeneity evident over a fairly restricted, relatively elevated range of Sr. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr varies from ~ 0.705 to ~ 0.7105 over a range of ~ 550 to 700 ppm Sr. In the absence of consideration of the influence that shallow-level processes might have on such trends, this type of compositional variation might incorrectly be attributed to mantle heterogeneity or the mixing of two isotopically distinct primary liquids.

The marked differences in Sr paths between cases where $\chi = 1.0$ and $\chi = 0.5$ illustrate the sensitivity of geochemical parameters to the efficiency of extraction of wall-rock partial melt. For these cases, full extraction yields 87 Sr/ 86 Sr of ~0.7105, whereas 50% extraction yields lower ratios near 0.7082.

More subtle distinctions in isotopic ratios are evident through comparison of the AFC and E'RAFC cases. In the cases illustrated here, compared with the AFC cases, continuous eruption and recharge $(M_{\rm r} = M_{\rm e} = 0.3)$ yield slightly more radiogenic ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values. Compared with the AFC cases, the slightly more crustal signatures associated with the E'RAFC cases are at least partly a consequence of their higher M_a^* (at T_{eq} : AFC, $\chi = 1$, $M_{\rm a}^* = 0.59$; E'RAFC, $\chi = 1, M_{\rm a}^* = 0.67$; and A χ FC, $\chi =$ 0.5, $M_{\rm a}^* = 0.295$; E'RA χ FC, $\chi = 0.5$, $M_{\rm a}^* = 0.335$), which reflect the additional energy provided by recharge magma. In these cases, the net effect of recharge and eruption is to increase the amount of energy available for heating and melting of wall rock. Although the total masses of material continuously added by recharge and subtracted by eruption are equal (0.3), the recharge magma has



Fig. 4. (a) Sr (ppm) vs ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, (b) Nd (ppm) vs ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd, (c) ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr vs δ^{18} O, and (d) Th (ppm) vs Ni (ppm) for case where mafic magma intrudes into lower crust of mafic–intermediate composition. Two EC-A χ FC models are illustrated, one with $\chi = 1.0$ and one with $\chi = 0.5$. E/RA χ FC models reflect continuous recharge and eruption ($M_e = M_r = 0.3$), and results for both $\chi = 1.0$ and $\chi = 0.5$ are shown. Recharge magma is modeled as more mafic than pristine magma. Models run from T_m^o to T_{eq} , and each symbol represents a fall of approximately 7°C. Additional model parameters are listed in Table 2.

 $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o} = T_{\rm l,r} = 1320^{\circ}$ C, whereas magma is removed at each $T_{\rm m}$ as the simulation runs from $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \to T_{\rm eq}$. Thus, for these cases, the amount of energy added by recharge exceeds the amount removed by eruption.

The trajectories for Nd are similar in form to those for Sr. The AFC trends show an initial flat trend, followed at lower $T_{\rm m}$ by marked changes in ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd over a relatively restricted range of [Nd]. The trajectories terminate with relatively flat trends as Nd decreases. The E'RA χ FC are similar, although recharge at relatively high $T_{\rm m}$ yields an increase in ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd as a result of the radiogenic nature of recharge magma. As $T_{\rm m}$ approaches $T_{\rm eq}$, [Nd] decreases despite it being incompatible in all sub-systems ($D_{\rm Nd} = 0.25$, Table 2). These decreases are a consequence of the 'dilution effect' that occurs when most of an incompatible element has been stripped from the wall rock by fractional melting. At lower $T_{\rm m}$, although assimilant is still being added, there is so little Nd being contributed to the host melt that its concentration in the host is actually diluted. By comparison of the $\chi = 1.0$ vs $\chi = 0.5$ cases, it is evident that the degree of dilution is less in the cases where incomplete extraction occurs.

Table 2: EC-E'RAXFC parameters for intrusion of mafic magma into lower crust of mafic-intermediate composition

Thermal parameters			
Pristine magma liquidus temperature, T _{I,m}	1320° C	Crystallization enthalpy, Δh_{m} (J/kg)	396000
Pristine magma initial temperature, $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$	1320° C	Isobaric specific heat of magma, $C_{\rm p,m}$ (J/kg K)	1484
Recharge magma liquidus temperature, T _{I,m}	1320° C	Crystallization enthalpy, Δh_r (J/kg)	396000
Recharge magma initial temperature, $T^{ m o}_{ m m}$	1320° C	Isobaric specific heat of magma, $C_{p,m}$ (J/kg K)	1484
Assimilant liquidus temperature, $T_{I,a}$	1100° C	Fusion enthalpy, Δh_a (J/kg)	354000
Assimilant initial temperature, T_a^o	600° C	Isobaric specific heat of assimilant, $C_{\rm p,a}$ (J/kg K)	1388
Solidus temperature, T_s	950° C		
Equilibration temperature, T_{eq}	1090° C		

 $\mathsf{T}_m-\mathsf{M}^o_r-\mathsf{M}^o_e$ for episodic recharge cases

	Recharge before eruption	Eruption before recharge
Recharge ($M_r^o = 0.3$)	1225°C	1180°C
Eruption ($M_e^o = 0.3$)	1180°C	1225°C

Recharge and eruption occur in single pulse at temperatures indicated.

Compositional parameters

	Sr	Nd	Th	Ni	δ ¹⁸ 0
Pristine magma initial concentration (ppm), C_{α}^{0}	700	30	1.3	100	
Pristine magma isotope ratio, ε_m	0.7050	0.5129			6.2
Pristine magma trace element distribution coefficient, $D_{\rm m}$	1.5	0.25	0.1	2	
Recharge magma initial concentration (ppm), $C_{\rm r}^{ m o}$	1000	20	1	300	
Recharge magma isotope ratio, ϵ_r	0.7028	0.5132			7.0
Recharge magma trace element distribution coefficient, $D_{\rm r}$	1.5	0.25	0.1	2	
Assimilant initial concentration (ppm), C _a	230	12.7	1.06	135	
Assimilant isotope ratio, ϵ_a	0.7200	0.5120			12.0
Assimilant trace element distribution coefficient, $D_{\rm a}$	0.05	0.25	0.1	2	

Non-linear parameterization of $f_a(T)$, $f_m(T)$, and $f_r(T)$ used.

Figure 4c illustrates Sr isotope–O isotope trajectories for the four cases. For the cases modeled, at higher $T_{\rm m}$, Sr isotope signatures vary markedly whereas the variations in δ^{18} O are relatively restricted. At lower $T_{\rm m}$, distinct changes in δ^{18} O are accompanied by modest variations in 87 Sr/ 86 Sr. An instructive observation regarding these trends is the concavity of the curves. Based on previous AFC models, such concavity has been linked to 'source' contamination rather than 'crustal' contamination (e.g. James, 1981; Taylor & Sheppard, 1986). This figure therefore underscores the complex coupling among energy, mass, and species, and also emphasizes the importance of accommodating the compositional changes experienced by wall rock as partial melting occurs. EC-E'RAXFC results such as these further emphasize the necessity of assessing shallow-level processes prior to discussing mantle characteristics.

Figure 4d provides an example of E'RA χ FC trends in element–element space. In this instance, the behavior of an incompatible element (Th) is compared with that of a compatible element (Ni). For the AFC cases, at higher T_m , [Th] initially increases, consistent with its incompatible behavior in all sub-systems. [Ni] decreases because of its compatible nature. However, at higher T_m , [Th] decreases whereas [Ni] increases. The reversals in the trends of these elemental concentrations are tied to melting in the wall rock. Th, being incompatible, is stripped from the wall rock, and at lower T_m , little is being added (i.e. dilution effect). In contrast, for fractional melting of a compatible element, higher degrees of fractional melting



Fig. 5. (a) Sr (ppm) vs 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and (b) 87 Sr/ 86 Sr vs δ^{18} O model results for continuous recharge and eruption. Four cases are shown: E'RA χ FC where $M_e = 0.3$ and $M_r = 0.3$; E'A χ FC where $M_e = 0.3$ and $M_r = 0.0$; RA χ FC where $M_e = 0.0$ and $M_r = 0.3$; A χ FC where $M_e = 0.0$ and $M_r = 0.0$. Models run from T_m^o to T_{eq} , and each symbol represents a fall of approximately 7°C. Additional model parameters are listed in Table 2.

yield higher concentrations of the element. Thus, [Ni] increases. The effects of recharge are seen in the E'RAFC cases, where Ni initially increases because of its higher concentration in the recharge magma. A critical aspect of note for these trends is that EC-E'RA χ FC does not necessarily yield monotonic trends in element–element space; thus, the magma parcel that has experienced the most E'RAFC is not necessarily the one with the highest Th or the lowest Ni. Such results emphasize the need to use a multifaceted approach when attempting to identify open-system magmas.

Chemical consequences of continuous eruption and recharge

There is abundant evidence to suggest that the dynamics of recharge and eruption may be linked (e.g. Sparks & Sigurdsson, 1977; Blake, 1981). To highlight the compositional consequences of this coupling, Fig. 5 summarizes cases in which combinations of recharge and eruptive masses are varied. Four cases are illustrated: E'RA χ FC where $M_e = 0.3$ and $M_r = 0.3$; E'A χ FC where $M_e = 0.3$ and $M_r = 0.3$; E'A χ FC where $M_e = 0.3$ and $M_r = 0.3$; A χ FC where $M_e = 0.0$ (no eruption) and $M_r = 0.3$; A χ FC where $M_e = 0.0$ and $M_r = 0.0$. For all cases, recharge and eruptive masses are linear functions of temperature (i.e. continuous recharge and/or eruption) and $\chi = 1.0$. All other parameters are the same as those listed in Table 2.

At $T_{\rm eq}$, Sr isotopes (Fig. 5a) vary, although the four cases cluster into two groups—the two characterized by eruption (${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr} \approx 0.7108$), and the two lacking eruption (${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr} \approx 0.7102$). Sr concentrations at $T_{\rm eq}$

are similar among the four cases. Examination of Fig. 5b, 87 Sr/ 86 Sr vs δ^{18} O, shows the same groupings, with the cases involving eruption having slightly more crust-like O and Sr isotopes. These results are indicative of the complex consequences of the energetic and mass coupling of the sub-systems. A predictable consequence of an eruption is that less energy is available for transfer to country rock. Indeed, for the E'A γ FC case, the normalized mass of wall rock melted and assimilated into the host chamber (χM_a^*) is the smallest, whereas that for the RA χ FC case is the largest $(\chi M_a^*: E'A\chi FC = 0.49, RA\chi FC = 0.77,$ $E'RA\chi FC = 0.67$, and $A\chi FC = 0.59$). The relatively strong signature of crustal contamination in the E'AXFC case is a function of the coupling between M_a^* and M_m , which is also predictably the smallest of the four cases $(M_{\rm m}: E'A\chi FC = 0.58, RA\chi FC = 0.94, E'RA\chi FC =$ 0.80, and A χ FC = 0.72). Thus, at T_{eq} , although the mass of melt assimilated is the smallest, the total mass of melt is also the smallest. Together with the other parameters of the simulation, these system characteristics yield the most contaminated signatures. A key point to appreciate is that because of the coupling, predictions about how open systems will behave as they evolve are not straightforward. Rules of thumb that have guided assessment of the importance of open-system processes (such as a correlation between geochemical signature and amount of contamination) therefore need to be abandoned because of the inherent non-linearity implied when the energetics of melting is coupled to trace element conservation linked through the processes of partial melting, recharge, incomplete contamination (χ < 1) and eruption.



Fig. 6. Sr (ppm) vs ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr model results for two cases of episodic recharge and eruption where the magma temperature at which the pulse occurs varies ($M_{\rm r} = 0.3$ at 1225°C and $M_{\rm e} = 0.3$ at 1180°C; $M_{\rm r} = 0.3$ at 1180°C and $M_{\rm e} = 0.3$ at 1225°C). Also shown for comparison are a case of continuous recharge and eruption ($M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm r} = 0.3$) and a case where no recharge or eruption occurs ($M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm r} = 0.0$). To emphasize the effects of episodic eruption and recharge, for all cases, χ was set at zero. Models run from $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ to $T_{\rm eq}$, and each symbol represents a fall of approximately 7°C. Additional model parameters are listed in Table 2.

Chemical consequences of episodic eruption and recharge

How sensitive is magma composition to the timing of recharge and eruption? Figure 6 summarizes results of simulations involving episodic recharge and eruption $(M_{\rm r} = 0.3 \text{ at } 1225^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } M_{\rm e} = 0.3 \text{ at } 1180^{\circ}\text{C};$ $M_{\rm r} = 0.3$ at 1180°C and $M_{\rm e} = 0.3$ at 1225°C). The first case, in which recharge occurs at a higher $T_{\rm m}$ than eruption, is probably geologically more likely, but both cases are shown for purposes of illustration. Two additional cases are also portrayed for comparison: continuous $M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm r} = 0.3$ (continuous recharge and eruption), and $M_{\rm e} = M_{\rm r} = 0.0$ (no recharge or eruption). To better discuss the effects of episodic recharge and eruption, for these cases, χ was set equal to zero. This means that the evolving magma body is not contaminated by introduction of anatectic melts. That is, partial melts necessarily generated in the country rock remain there throughout the E'RFC evolution. Input parameters for these models are listed in Table 2; where appropriate, parameters are the same as those for the cases shown in Figs 4 and 5.

The model in which eruption occurs at a higher $T_{\rm m}$ than recharge yields the most extreme ${}^{87}{\rm Sr}/{}^{86}{\rm Sr}$ (i.e. that most like recharge magma). This is because at relatively high $T_{\rm m}$, 30% of the original normalized mass of the

magma body is erupted ($M_e = 0.3$), and thus, the mass of Sr in the chamber is reduced. When recharge occurs at a lower T_m , its Sr, which is less radiogenic than that in the pristine magma, has a proportionally greater effect on the host magma, thereby yielding a less radiogenic ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr as $T_m \rightarrow T_{eq}$.

For the case in which recharge occurs at a higher $T_{\rm m}$ than eruption, at the $T_{\rm m}$ of the recharge event, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the host magma decreases because recharge magma has a less radiogenic signature than pristine magma. Because the mass of the magma chamber at this temperature is larger than that for the previous case, the lever effect from recharge is not as large, and therefore the Sr isotope signature is higher. For the parameters of these cases, continuous recharge and eruption yield ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr between those of the two episodic cases.

Systems that undergo episodic recharge and eruption can develop distinctly different geochemical characteristics; thus, the geochemical evolution (i.e. $T_{\rm m}$ -geochemical path) of these systems may be very different. Because $T_{\rm m}$ is the E'RA χ FC progress variable, it can be considered as a proxy for time, and thus, in natural systems in which there is geologic evidence for episodic recharge and eruption, it may be possible to hypothesize about the relative timing and magnitude of such events.

Finally, the evolution of systems characterized by episodes of recharge and eruption (\pm assimilation) may be distinct from those that undergo continuous recharge and eruption (\pm assimilation). Distinguishing between these scenarios geochemically relies not only upon high-quality geochemical data, but also on the ability to place samples in an evolutionary framework. For these reasons, the collection of relative and absolute geochronological information on igneous systems is of paramount importance.

SUMMARY

EC-E'RAXFC is a thermodynamic model that enforces energy conservation and total mass, trace element and isotope material balance in the composite system composed of magma body, country rock, replenishment reservoir and extrusive (eruptive) reservoir. EC-E'RAXFC represents an extension of the earlier EC-AFC and EC-RAFC models and includes the effects of: (1) episodic or continuous magma removal by eruption; (2) variable addition of anatectic melt generated by wallrock partial fusion. Results provide information on the trace element and isotopic composition of host melt, eruptive magma and crystalline products (cumulates and non-equilibrium enclaves) and the average wall-rock temperature along the equilibration path. Input parameters are based on knowledge of the magmatic system of interest as well as relevant thermodynamic properties such as the isobaric heat capacity, enthalpies of transition

and bulk partition coefficients for trace elements. In this study we have not focused attention on the predicted compositions of cumulates and enclaves, although the EC-E'RA χ FC model makes predictions that can be tested, provided samples are available.

Several forward models provide insight into the theoretical behavior of E'RAYFC magmatic systems. The geochemical consequences of imperfect extraction of wall-rock melt into the host magma body can be profound. A fruitful area of research involves linking geochemical signatures of melt and associated solids with field evidence of imperfect extraction from wall rock. In addition, theoretical work that characterizes how melt migrates may reveal systematics regarding how transport processes work in different magmatic environments. Simulations that illustrate the effects of different recharge-eruption-temperature paths provide convincing evidence that isotope and trace element signatures can be dramatically affected by E'RAXFC processes. Hence, it is clear that the crustal-level geochemical evolution of a magma body must be evaluated from a mass, species and energy context before assignment of mantle characteristics can reliably be made. In addition, we emphasize that documenting magma chamber processes is inextricably linked to placing samples in evolutionary context. To develop meaningful models of how magmas evolve, collection of high-quality geochemical and geochronological data is required.

E'RA χ FC represents the culmination of a number of years of model development. Based on modeling results from several natural systems (Bohrson & Spera, 2001, 2003), the approach holds promise for enhancing our understanding of the behavior of open-system magmatic processes. In particular, the most detailed application to date, by Fowler *et al.* (2004) on rocks of the British Tertiary Igneous Complex, illustrates the potential the model has for fingerprinting mantle vs crustal processes. Further exploration of issues such as this, and application of E'RA χ FC to other natural datasets, will continue to build on contributions that M. J. O'Hara has made to understanding open-system magma chambers over the last 25 years.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Support from the National Science Foundation (Sonia Esperanca) to W.A.B. (NSF EAR-0073883) and F.J.S. (NSF EAR 0073932) is gratefully acknowledged. F.J.S. acknowledges additional support from the US Department of Energy Geosciences Program (Nick Woodward) DE-FG03-01ER15210. Without the tireless efforts of programmer Mr. Guy Brown, EC-ERAFC would not be the sleek product we perceive it to be. The incisive reviews by Drs George Bergantz, Mark Ghiorso, Calvin Miller, and editor Marjorie Wilson greatly improved

the form and content of this work and are gratefully acknowledged.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data for this paper are available at *Journal* of *Petrology* online.

REFERENCES

- Asimow, P. D. (2000). Melting the mantle. In: Sigurdsson, H. (ed.) Encyclopedia of Volcanoes. New York: Academic Press, pp. 55–68.
- Bailey, D. K. (1970). Volatile flux, heat-focusing and the generation of magma. In: Newall, G. & Rast, N. (eds) *Mechanisms of Igneous Intrusion*. Liverpool: Gallery Press, pp. 177–186.
- Bergantz, G. W. (1989). Underplating and partial melting implications for melt generation and extraction. *Science* 245, 1093–1095.
- Bergantz, G. W. & Ni, J. (1999). A numerical study of sedimentation by dripping instabilities in viscous fluids. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 25(2), 307–320.
- Best, M. G. & Christiansen, E. H. (2001). *Igneous Petrology*. Oxford: Blackwell Science, 458 pp.
- Blake, S. (1981). Volcanism and the dynamics of open magma chambers. *Nature* 289, 783–785.
- Bohrson, W. A. & Spera, F. J. (2001). Energy-constrained open-system magmatic processes II: Application of energy-constrained assimilation–fractional crystallization (EC-AFC) model to magmatic systems. *Journal of Petrology* 42, 1019–1041.
- Bohrson, W. A. & Spera, F. J. (2003). Energy-constrained open-system magmatic processes IV: Geochemical, thermal and mass consequences of energy-constrained recharge, assimilation and fractional crystallization (EC-RAFC). *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 4(2), 2002, doi: 10.1029/2002GC000316.
- Bowen, N. L. (1928). The Evolution of the Igneous Rocks. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 334 pp.
- Brimhall, G. & Crerar, D. (1987). Ore fluids: magmatic to supergene. In: Carmichael, I. & Eugster, H. (eds) *Thermodynamic Modeling of Geologic Materials: Minerals, Fluids and Melts. Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy* 17, 235–321.
- Carrigan, C. R. (1988). Biot number and thermos bottle effect: implications for magma chamber convection. *Geology* 16, 771–774.
- Cathles, L. M., Erendi, A. H. & Barre, T. (1997). How long can a hydrothermal system be sustained by a single intrusive event? *Economic Geology* 92, 766–771.
- DePaolo, D. J. (1981). Trace element and isotopic effects of combined wallrock assimilation and fractional crystallization. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 53, 189–202.
- Didier, J. & Barbarin, B. (1991). Enclaves and Granite Petrology. New York: Elsevier, 367 pp.
- Edwards, B. R. & Russell, C. K. (1998). Time scales of magmatic processes: new insights from dynamic models for magmatic assimilation. *Geology* 26, 1103–1106.
- Feldstein, S., Halliday, A., Davies, G. & Hall, C. (1994). Isotope and chemical microsampling: constraints on the history of an S-type rhyolite, San Vincenzo, Tuscany, Italy. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 58, 943–958.
- Fowler, S. J., Bohrson, W. A. & Spera, F. J. (2004). Magmatic evolution of the Skye igneous centre, western Scotland: modelling of assimilation, recharge and fractional crystallization. *Journal of Petrology* (in press).

- Furman, T. & Spera, F. J. (1985). Co-mingling of acid and basic magma with implications for the origin of mafic I-type xenoliths: field and petrochemical relations of an unusual dike complex at Eagle Lake, Sequoia National Park, California, U.S.A. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 24, 151–178.
- Ghiorso, M. (1997). Thermodynamic models of igneous processes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 25, 221–241.
- Grove, T. L. & Kinzler, R. J. (1986). Petrogenesis of andesites. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 14, 417–454.
- Halden, N. (1996). Determination of Lyapounov exponents for traceelement oscillatory zoning patterns in minerals. *Canadian Mineralogist* 34, 1127–1135.
- Hart, S. R. (1993). Equilibrium during mantle melting: a fractal tree model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 90, 11914–11918.
- Hawkesworth, C. J., Blake, S., Evans, P., Hughes, R., Macdonald, R., Thomas, L. E., Turner, S. P. & Zellmer, G. (2000). Time scales of crystal fractionation in magma chambers—integrating physical, isotopic and geochemical perspectives. *Journal of Petrology* **41**, 991–1006.
- Hill, D. P., Pollitz, F. & Newhall, C. (2002). Earthquake–volcano interactions. *Physics Today* 55, 41–47.
- Huppert, H. E. & Sparks, R. S. J. (1988). The generation of granitic magmas by intrusion of basalt into continental crust. *Journal of Petrology* 29, 599–642.
- Ingersoll, L. R., Zobel, O. J. & Ingersoll, A. C. (1954). *Heat Conduction*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 325 pp.
- Jaeger, J. C. (1968). Cooling and solidification of igneous rocks. In: Hess, H. H. & Poldervaart, A. (eds) Basalts: the Poldervaart Treatise on Rocks of Basaltic Composition. New York: Wiley Interscience, pp. 503–536.
- James, D. E. (1981). The combined use of oxygen and radiogenic isotopes as indicators of crustal contamination. *Annual Review of Earth* and Planetary Sciences 9, 311–344.
- Johannes, W. & Gupta, L. N. (1982). Origin and evolution of a migmatite. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 79, 114–123.
- Korzhinskii, D. (1970). Theory of Metasomatic Zoning, J. Agrell, translator. London: Oxford University Press, 345 pp.
- L'Heureux, I. & Fowler, A. (1996). Dynamical model of oscillatory zoning in plagioclase with nonlinear partition relation. *Geophysical Research Letters* 23, 436–439.
- Marsh, B. D. (1989). Magma chambers. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 17, 439–474.
- McBirney, A. R. (1980). Mixing and unmixing of magmas. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 7, 357–371.
- McBirney, A. & Noyes, R. (1979). Crystallization and layering of Skaergaard intrusion. *Journal of Petrology* 20, 487–554.
- Nicolis, G. & Prigogine, I. (1977). Self-organization in Non-Equilibrium Systems. New York: Wiley, 321 pp.
- Norton, D. & Cathles, L. (1979). Thermal aspects of ore deposition. In: Barnes, H. (ed.) *Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits*. New York: Wiley, pp. 611–631.
- Norton, D. & Taylor, H. H. P. (1979). Quantitative simulation of the hydrothermal systems of crystallizing magmas on the basis of transport theory and oxygen isotope data: an analysis of the Skaergaard intrusion. *Journal of Petrology* 20, 421–486.
- O'Hara, M. J. (1977). Geochemical evolution during fractional crystallization of a periodically refilled magma chamber. *Nature* 266, 503–507.
- O'Hara, M. J. (1980). Nonlinear nature of the unavoidable long-lived isotopic, trace and major element contamination of a developing magma chamber. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, *Series A* 297, 215–227.
- O'Hara, M. J. (1998). Volcanic plumbing and the space problem thermal and geochemical consequences of large scale

assimilation in ocean island development. *Journal of Petrology* **39**(5), 1077–1089.

- O'Hara, M. J. (2000). Basalts, basalt flows or topless Bushvelds? Lunar petrogenesis revisited. *Journal of Petrology* **41**(11), 1545–1651.
- O'Hara, M. J. & Fry, N. (1996). The highly compatible trace element paradox—fractional crystallization revisited. *Journal of Petrology* 37(4), 859–890.
- O'Hara, M. J. & Herzberg, C. (2002). Interpretation of trace element and isotope features of basalts; relevance of field relations, petrology, major element data, phase equilibria, and magma chamber modeling in basalt petrogenesis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 66, 2167–2191.
- O'Hara, M. J. & Mathews, R. E. (1981). Geochemical evolution in an advancing, periodically replenished, periodically tapped, continuously fractionated magma chamber. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *London* 138, 237–277.
- Petford, N. (2003). Rheology of granitic magmas during ascent and emplacement. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 31, 399–427.
- Petford, N. & Gallagher, K. (2001). Partial melting of mafic (amphibolitic) lower crust by periodic influx of basaltic magma. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 193, 483–499.
- Petford, N. & Koenders, M. A. (1988). Self-organization and fracture connectivity in rapidly heated continental crust. *Journal of Structural Geology* 20, 599–642.
- Rose, A. & Burt, D. (1979). Hydrothermal alteration. In: Barnes, H. (ed.) Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits. New York: Wiley, pp. 173–235.
- Rubin, A. M. (1995). Propagation of magma-filled cracks. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 23, 287–336.
- Schoofs, S. & Spera, F. J. (2003). Transition to chaos and flow dynamics of thermochemical porous medium convection. *Transport in Porous Media* 50, 179–195.
- Shaw, H. R. (1965). Comments on viscosity, crystal settling, and convection in granitic magmas. *American Journal of Science* 263, 120–152.
- Smith, R. (1979). Ash-flow magmatism. Geological Society of America, Special Papers 180, 5–26.
- Snyder, D. & Tait, S. (1998). The imprint of basalt on the geochemistry of silicic magmas. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 160, 433–445.
- Sparks, R. S. J. & Sigurdsson, H. (1977). Magma mixing: a mechanism for triggering acid explosive eruptions. *Nature* 267, 315–318.
- Spera, F. J. (1979). Thermal evolution of plutons: a parameterized approach. *Science* 297, 299–301.
- Spera, F. J. & Bohrson, W. A. (2001). Energy-constrained open-system magmatic processes I: General model and energy-constrained assimilation and fractional crystallization (EC-AFC) formulation. *Journal of Petrology* **42**, 999–1018.
- Spera, F. J. & Bohrson, W. A. (2002). Energy-constrained open-system magmatic processes, 3, Energy-constrained recharge, assimilation, and fractional crystallization (EC-RAFC). *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 3(12), 8001, doi: 10.1029/2002GC000315.
- Taylor, H. P. (1974). The application of oxygen and hydrogen isotope studies to problems of hydrothermal alteration and ore deposition. *Economic Geology* 69, 843–883.
- Taylor, H. P. (1980). The effects of assimilation of country rocks by magma on ¹⁸O/¹⁶O and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr systematics in igneous rocks. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 47, 243–254.
- Taylor, H. P. (1986). Igneous rocks: II. Isotopic case studies of circumpacific magmatism. In: Valley, J., Taylor, H. & O'Neil, J. (eds) Stable Isotopes in High Temperature Geological Processes. Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy 16, 273–318.
- Taylor, H. P. & Sheppard, S. (1986). Igneous rocks: I. Processes of isotopic fractionation and isotope systematics. In: Valley, J., Taylor, H.

& O'Neil, J. (eds) Stable Isotopes in High Temperature Geological Processes. Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy 16, 227–271.

- Tepley, F., Davidson, J., Tilling, R. & Arth, J. (2000). Magma mixing, recharge and eruption histories recorded in plagioclase phenocrysts from El Chichon Volcano, Mexico. *Journal of Petrology* **41**, 1397–1411.
- Trial, A. & Spera, F. J. (1990). Mechanisms for the generation of compositional heterogeneities in magma chambers. *Geological Society* of America Bulletin 10, 353–367.
- Verhoogen, J. (1954). Petrological evidence on temperature distribution in the mantle of the Earth. *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union* 35, 85–92.
- Waight, T. E., Wiebe, R. A., Krogstad, E. J. & Walker, R.J. (2001). Isotopic responses to basaltic injections into silicic magma

APPENDIX

Derivation of integral energy balance

The starting point in the derivation is the differential form for the heat available from cooling and crystallization of magma (h_{avail}) and the heat absorbed by country rock for heating and partial fusion (h_{abs}) . The expressions are from Spera & Bohrson (2002) with addition of a term associated with the removal of magma from the geochemically evolving magma body. The expressions for the available and absorbed enthalpy are

chambers: a whole-rock and microsampling study of macrorhythmic units in the Pleasant Bay layered gabbro-diorite complex, Maine, USA. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **142**, 323–335.

- Wiebe, R. A. (1994). Silicic magma chambers as traps for basaltic magmas—the Cadillac Mountain intrusive complex, Mount Desert Island, Maine. *Journal of Geology* **102**, 423–437.
- Wiebe, R. A. & Adams, S. D. (1997). Felsic enclave swarms in the Gouldsboro granite, coastal Maine: a record of eruption through the roof of a silicic magma chamber. *Journal of Geology* **105**, 617–627.
- Wiebe, R. A. & Snyder, D. (1993). Slow, dense replenishments of a basic magma chamber—the Layered Series of the Newark Island Layered Intrusion, Nain, Labrador. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 113, 59–72.

Similarly, equation (A2) is integrated between the limits T_a^o and T_{eq} to give the heat absorbed (by convention a positive quantity) by country rock of M_a^o mass initially at temperature T_a^o to raise its temperature to T_{eq} and partially melt it to the extent $f_a(T_{eq})$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\rm abs} &= h_{\rm abs}(T_{\rm cq}) - h_{\rm abs}(T_{\rm a}^{\rm o}) \\ &= M_{\rm a}^{\rm o} \, C_{\rm p,a}(T_{\rm cq} - T_{\rm a}^{\rm o}) + f_{\rm a} \, (T_{\rm cq}) M_{\rm a}^{\rm o} \, h_{\rm a}. \end{aligned}$$
 (A4

$$\frac{h_{\text{avail}}}{dT_{\text{m}}} = [M_{\text{o}} - M_{\text{c}}(T_{\text{m}})][C_{\text{p,m}} + h_{\text{m}}f_{\text{m}}'(T_{\text{m}})] + M_{\text{r}}(T_{\text{m}})[C_{\text{p,r}} + h_{\text{r}}f_{\text{r}}'(T_{\text{m}})]
+ \frac{dM_{\text{r}}}{dT_{\text{m}}} \{C_{\text{p,r}}(T_{\text{m}} - T_{\text{r}}^{\text{o}}) + h_{\text{r}}[f_{\text{r}}(T_{\text{m}}) - 1]\}$$
(A1)

and

$$\frac{dh_{abs}}{dT_{a}} = M_{a}^{o}C_{p,a}[1 - \chi f_{a}(T_{a})] + M_{a}^{o}\chi C_{p,a}f'_{a}(T_{a})(T_{m} - T_{a})
+ M_{a}^{o}\chi C_{p,a}f_{a}(T_{a})\frac{dT_{a}}{dT_{m}} + M_{a}^{o}h_{a}f'_{a}(T_{a}).$$
(A2)

Now, equation (A1) is integrated between the limits $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ and $T_{\rm eq}$ using integration by parts and allowing for nonlinear melting and eruptive mass functions, $f_{\rm m}(T_{\rm m})$ and $M_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m})$, respectively. This gives the amount of heat available for heating cool country rock (by convention a negative quantity) by the cooling and crystallization of magma allowing for heat exchange owing to the processes of recharge and eruption. The result after algebraic simplification is

Now, energy conservation demands that

$$\Delta h_{\rm abs} + \Delta h_{\rm avail} = 0. \tag{A5}$$

A value M_a^o of as a function of T_{eq} may be found by combining (A3) and (A4) and invoking energy conservation. The result is

$$h_{\text{avail}} = h_{\text{avail}}(T_{\text{eq}}) - h_{\text{avail}}(T_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}) = M_{\text{o}}\{C_{\text{p,m}}(T_{\text{eq}} - T_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}) + \Delta h_{\text{m}}[f_{\text{m}}(T_{\text{eq}}) - 1]\} + M_{\text{r}}^{\text{o}}\{C_{\text{p,r}}(T_{\text{eq}} - T_{\text{r}}^{\text{o}}) + \Delta h_{\text{r}}[f_{r}(T_{\text{eq}}) - 1]\} + C_{\text{p,m}}\int_{T_{\text{m}}^{\circ}}^{T_{\text{eq}}}\overline{M}_{\text{e}}(T_{\text{m}})dT_{\text{m}} - h_{m}\int_{T_{\text{m}}^{\circ}}^{T_{\text{eq}}}\frac{df_{\text{m}}}{dT_{\text{m}}}\overline{M}_{\text{e}}(T_{\text{m}})dT_{\text{m}}.$$
(A3)

$$\overline{M}_{a}^{o} = \{C_{p,m}(T_{m}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}C_{p,r}(T_{r}^{o} - T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq}) + \Delta h_{m}[1 - f_{m}(\overline{T}_{eq})] + \overline{M}_{r}^{o}\Delta h_{r}[1 - f_{r}(\overline{T}_{eq})]
+ [A] + [B]\}/[C_{p,a}(T_{l,m}\overline{T}_{eq} - T_{a}^{o}) + \Delta h_{a}f_{a}(\overline{T}_{eq})]$$
(A6)

where

$$[\mathbf{A}] = -C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{m}} \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{o}}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{eq}}} \overline{M}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{m}}) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{m}}$$
(A7)

and

$$[B] = -h_{\rm m} \int_{T_{\rm m}^{\rm o}}^{T_{\rm eq}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f_{\rm m}}{\mathrm{d}T_{\rm m}} \overline{M}_{\rm e}(T_{\rm m}) \mathrm{d}T_{\rm m}$$
(A8)

which is identical to equations (4), (5a) and (5b) in the text. It should be noted that M_a^o is independent of χ , as the heat absorbed by country rock depends only on the initial and final temperature of country rock (T_a^o and $T_{\rm eq}$, respectively) and the extent of partial melting.

Derivation of path energy conservation equation

The energy conservation principle is used to compute the mean temperature of country rock, of mass M_a^o , involved in E'RA χ FC evolution as a function of the host magma temperature, T_m . The quantity M_a^o is determined, as outlined above, by the integral energy balance and is needed for solution of the differential equation relating T_a to T_m .

Differentiation of equation (A5) with respect to $T_{\rm m}$ and use of the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}h_{\mathrm{abs}}}{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{a}}}\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{m}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}h_{\mathrm{avail}}}{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{m}}} = 0. \tag{A9}$$

Average and instantaneous compositions of solids along ERAFC path

Once the concentration of trace element in host melt is known, trace element concentrations in solids (cumulates and enclaves) may be determined. $E'RA\chi FC$ computed compositions provide a relative compositional chronology of cumulates and possible enclaves.

The instantaneous concentration of a trace element in enclave (at $T = T_m$) is

$$C_{\rm en} = C_{\rm r}^{\rm o} D_{\rm r} [f_{\rm r}(\overline{T}_{\rm m})]^{D_{\rm r}-1}$$
(A11)

whereas the average trace element concentration in enclaves along the path $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \rightarrow T_{\rm m}$ found by integration of (A11) along the ERAFC path is

$$\hat{C}_{\rm en} = \frac{C_{\rm r}^{\rm o} \left[1 - f_{\rm r}^{D_{\rm r}}(\overline{T}_{\rm m})\right]}{\left[1 - f_{\rm r}(T_{\rm m})\right]}.$$
 (A12)

The instantaneous concentration of trace element in cumulate at $T_{\rm m}$ is given by

$$C_{\rm ct} = C_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \bar{C}_{\rm m} D_{\rm m} \tag{A13}$$

where $\overline{C}_{\rm m}$ is calculated from equation (10) in the text. The average trace element composition in cumulates formed along the path $T_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \rightarrow T_{\rm eq}$ is

$$C_{\rm ct} = \frac{C_{\rm m}^{\rm o} D_{\rm m} \left[\int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm m}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} f_{\rm r}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) M_{\rm r}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) \overline{C}_{\rm m} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\rm m} + \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm m}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} f_{\rm m}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) \overline{C}_{\rm m} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\rm m} \right]}{\int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm m}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} f_{\rm r}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) M_{\rm r}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\rm m} + \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm m}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} f_{\rm m}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_{\rm m}}.$$
(A14)

Now, equations (A1) and (A2) are substituted into (A9) and the result is simplified to give

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} = \left(\frac{-1}{\overline{M}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,m}} + \Delta h_{\mathrm{m}}f_{\mathrm{m}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}) + \overline{M}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\chi C_{\mathrm{p,a}}T_{\mathrm{l,m}}f_{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}}) + [T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,r}} + \Delta h_{\mathrm{r}}f_{\mathrm{r}}'(T_{\mathrm{m}})]\overline{M}_{\mathrm{r}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}})}{T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,a}}[1 - \chi f_{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})] + [f_{\mathrm{a}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})\Delta h_{\mathrm{a}} + C_{\mathrm{p,a}}T_{\mathrm{l,m}}f_{\mathrm{a}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})\chi(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}} - \overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})]} + \frac{\{T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,r}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{r}}^{0} - \overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}) + [1 - f_{\mathrm{r}}(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}})]\Delta h_{\mathrm{r}}\}\frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{M}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}}} - [T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,m}} + \Delta h_{\mathrm{m}}f_{\mathrm{m}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}})]\overline{M}_{\mathrm{c}}(T_{\mathrm{m}})}{T_{\mathrm{l,m}}C_{\mathrm{p,a}}[1 - \chi f_{\mathrm{a}}(\overline{M}_{\mathrm{a}})] + [f_{\mathrm{a}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})\Delta h_{\mathrm{a}} + C_{\mathrm{p,a}}T_{\mathrm{l,m}}f_{\mathrm{a}}'(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})\chi(\overline{T}_{\mathrm{m}} - \overline{T}_{\mathrm{a}})]}\right)$$
(A10)

which is identical to equation (7) in the text.

Recharge melt can be either a source (if $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o} > T_{\rm m}$) or sink (if $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o} < T_{\rm m}$) of enthalpy (heat). Enclaves form in the former case as a result of chilling of recharge melt intruded into cooler host magma. When $T_{\rm r}^{\rm o} > T_{\rm m}$, heat is also available from non-equilibrium crystallization of enclaves liberating specific latent heat $\Delta h_{\rm r}$. The mass of enclaves is given by the integral

$$M_{\rm en} = \int_{T_{\rm M}^{\rm o}}^{T_{\rm eq}} M_{\rm f}'(T_{\rm m}) [1 - f_r(T_{\rm m})] \mathrm{d}T_{\rm m}.$$
(A15)

The total mass of cumulates $(M_{\rm ct})$ formed by fractional crystallization is

$$M_{\rm ct} = \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm M}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} - f_{\rm r}'(\mathcal{T}_{\rm m})\overline{M}_{\rm r}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m})\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m} - \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\rm M}^{\rm o}}^{\mathcal{T}_{\rm eq}} f_{\rm m}'(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m})\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\rm m}.$$
(A16)

The primes in the above expressions mean that derivatives with respect to $T_{\rm m}$ have been taken. The total mass of all solid products generated during an ERAFC event $(M_{\rm s})$ is given by $M_{\rm s} = M_{\rm en} + M_{\rm ct}$ using (A15) and (A16).

For partial melting in wall rock, we assume fractional melting occurs. The concentration of trace element in anatectic liquid at temperature T_a is

$$C_{\rm a} = \frac{C_{\rm a}^{\rm o}}{D_{\rm a}} [1 - f_{\rm a}(\overline{T}_{\rm a})]^{[(1 - D_{\rm a})/D_{\rm a}]}$$
(A17)

where D_a is the distribution coefficient (the equilibrium constant of the trace element distribution reaction) between anatectic melt and residual (unassimilated) wall rock. The average concentration of trace element of anatectic melt generated by partial fusion of country rock in the temperature interval $T_a^o \to T_a$ is

$$\hat{C}_{a} = \frac{C_{a}^{o}}{f_{a}(T_{a})} \Big\{ 1 - [-f_{a}(T_{a})]^{1/D_{a}(T_{a})} \Big\}.$$
 (A18)

When the initial temperature of recharge melt exceeds that of host magma (i.e. $T_r^o > T_m$) the fraction $[1 - f_r(T_m)] dM_r$ of recharge chills to form solid enclaves. The initial recharge melt temperature (T_r^o) is assumed equal to its liquidus temperature, $T_{l,r}$. The concentration of trace element available for mixing into host magma differs from that in pristine recharge melt because of depletion or enrichment of trace element as a result of enclave crystallization. This process is modeled as closedsystem fractional crystallization according to

$$C_{\rm r} = C_{\rm r}^{\rm o} f_{\rm r} (T_{\rm m})]^{D_{\rm r} - 1}.$$
 (A19)

In (A19), $C_{\rm r}$ represents the trace element concentration in residual recharge melt after enclave formation, $C_{\rm r}^{\rm o}$ is the concentration of trace element in pristine recharge melt and $D_{\rm r}$ is the partition coefficient between enclave solid and recharge melt. All trace element equilibrium constants are taken as functions of temperature:

$$D_{\rm a} = D_{\rm a}^{\rm o} \left(\exp \frac{-\Delta H_{\rm a}}{RT_{\rm l,m}} \cdot \frac{1}{\overline{T}_{\rm a}} \right)$$
 (A20a)

$$D_{\rm m} = D_{\rm m}^{\rm o} \left(\exp \frac{-\Delta H_{\rm m}}{RT_{\rm l,m}} \cdot \frac{1}{\overline{T}_{\rm m}} \right)$$
(A20b)

$$D_{\rm r} = D_{\rm r}^{\rm o} \left(\exp \frac{-\Delta H_{\rm r}}{RT_{\rm l,m}} \cdot \frac{1}{\overline{T}_{\rm m}} \right).$$
 (A20c)

In (A20), $\Delta H_j \ j \subset \{a, m, r\}$ represent the effective enthalpies of the reactions governing bulk partitioning of trace element between anatectic melt and country rock restite, host melt and cumulates, and pristine recharge melt and enclaves, respectively. The ΔH_j values are 'effective' values in the sense that the dependence of D_j on phase assemblage is parameterized implicitly using the fictive temperature dependence of D_j . That is, 'effective' values ΔH_j are chosen by consideration of phase equilibria relevant to the bulk compositions and equilibrium phase assemblages of $\{a, m \text{ and } r\}$ along the temperature trajectory $T_m^o \to T_{eq}$. If this auxiliary information is not available or poorly known, constant bulk partition coefficients D_j may be used by setting ΔH_j equal to zero.