This article was downloaded by: [Universidad de Sevilla] On: 18 November 2014, At: 02:00 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Systematic Palaeontology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tjsp20</u>

Some palaeozoic 'protorthoptera' are 'ancestral' orthopteroids: Major wing braces as clues to a new split among the 'protorthoptera' (Insecta)

O. Béthoux <sup>a</sup> & A. Nel <sup>b</sup>

 $^{\rm a}$  Department of Geology and Geophysics , Yale University , P.O. Box 208109, New Haven, CT, 06520-8109, USA

<sup>b</sup> Entomologie and UMR CNRS 5143, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 45 rue Buffon, Paris, F-75005, France Published online: 09 Mar 2010.

To cite this article: O. Béthoux & A. Nel (2005) Some palaeozoic 'protorthoptera' are 'ancestral' orthopteroids: Major wing braces as clues to a new split among the 'protorthoptera' (Insecta), Journal of Systematic Palaeontology, 2:4, 285-309, DOI: <u>10.1017/S1477201904001488</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1477201904001488

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

# Some Palaeozoic 'Protorthoptera' are 'ancestral' Orthopteroids: major wing braces as clues to a new split among the 'Protorthoptera' (Insecta)

# **O. Béthoux**

Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, P.O. Box 208109, New Haven, CT 06520-8109, USA

# A. Nel

Entomologie and UMR CNRS 5143, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 45 rue Buffon, F-75005 Paris, France

**SYNOPSIS** The Archaeorthoptera is an apomorphy-based supra-ordinal clade of insect that mainly comprises the extant order Orthoptera and the fossil orders Caloneurodea and Titanoptera. Our knowledge of this group is, however, incomplete because some taxa assigned to the paraphyletic group 'Protorthoptera' have not been accurately described. Here we describe or re-describe Carbon-iferous material assigned to *Omalia macroptera* Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867, *Coselia palmiformis* Bolton, 1922, *Palaeomastax carbonis* Handlirsch, 1904, *Omaliella ramosa* n. gen. & n. sp., *Eoblatta robusta* (Brongniart, 1885), *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) n. comb., *Ischnoptera diaphanes* n. gen. & n. sp., *Protodiamphipnoagaudryi* (Brongniart, 1885), *Cnemidolestes woodwardi* (Brongniart, 1893), *Ischnoneura oustaleti* (Brongniart, 1885), *Narkeminopsis eddi* Whalley, 1979 and *Bouleites latipennis* Lameere, 1917. These taxa are all assigned to the Archaeorthoptera, based on the presence of characteristic features in their wing venation. A new system of homologies of the median system is proposed, which implies the loss of vein MP has occurred in some of these taxa. Our new data demonstrate the weakness of the previous specific, generic, familial and ordinal taxonomies.

KEY WORDS Insecta, Pterygota, Archaeorthoptera, Orthoptera, Carboniferous

# Contents

Introduction	286
Material and methods	286
Systematic palaeontology	287
Super-order ARCHAEORTHOPTERA Béthoux & Nel, 2002	287
Genus <i>Omalia</i> Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867	287
<i>Omalia macroptera</i> Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867	287
Genus <i>Coselia</i> Bolton, 1922	288
Coselia palmiformis Bolton, 1922	288
Genus <i>Palaeomastax</i> Handlirsch, 1904 (stat. rest.)	289
Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch, 1904 (stat. rest.)	289
Genus <i>Omaliella</i> gen. nov.	289
<i>Omaliella ramosa</i> gen. et sp. nov.	290
Genus <i>Eoblatta</i> Handlirsch, 1906	290
Eoblatta robusta (Brongniart, 1893)	290
Genus <i>Ctenoptilus</i> Lameere, 1917 (= <i>Eoblattina</i> Bolton, 1925 syn. nov.)	291
<i>Ctenoptilus elongatus</i> (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov.	293
Genus <i>Ischnoptera</i> gen. nov.	293
<i>Ischnoptera diaphanes</i> gen. et sp. nov.	293
Genus <i>Protodiamphipnoa</i> Brongniart, 1885	296
Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi (Brongniart, 1885)	296
Genus <i>Cnemidolestes</i> Handlirsch, 1906 stat. rest.	302

Cnemidolestes woodwardi (Brongniart, 1893) stat. rest.	303
Genus <i>Ischnoneura</i> Brongniart, 1893	304
<i>Ischnoneura oustaleti</i> (Brongniart, 1885)	304
Genus Narkeminopsis Whalley, 1979	305
Narkeminopsis eddi Whalley, 1979	305
Genus <i>Bouleites</i> Lameere, 1917	306
<i>Bouleites latipennis</i> Lameere, 1917	306
Conclusions	308
Acknowledgments	308
References	308

# INTRODUCTION

Carpenter (1992) has provided the most recent assessment of the insect ordinal group 'Protorthoptera' Handlirsch, 1906*a*, *b*. He emended the concept of this group by including the former Protorthoptera, Protoblattodea and Paraplecoptera (see Carpenter, 1992 for a complete history of the usage of these taxa). His opinion on what Protorthoptera represent is very clear: 'a diverse assemblage of Palaeozoic species with presumed orthopteroid affinities'. Carpenter's Protorthoptera has since been accepted in several modern evolutionary studies (Labandeira & Sepkoski 1993; Jarzembowski & Ross 1996).

Since Carpenter's publication, most researchers have attempted to distribute 'protorthopterous' representatives into other recognised taxa. Kukalová-Peck & Brauckmann (1992) proposed 'hemipteroid' affinities for certain protopterans, mainly based on an assumed fusion of MA with R near the wing base. Béthoux & Nel (2002) reviewed the available data and rejected this hypothesis, showing that at least some of the presumed 'protorthopterous hemipteroids' have 'orthopteroid' affinities.

In the collegial synthesis of the 'Russian school' (Belayeva et al. 2002), the 'Protorthoptera' is broken up and its constituents assigned to the orders Blattinopseida Bolton, 1925, Paoliida Handlirsch, 1906b, Eoblattida Handlirsch, 1906b and Grylloblattida Walker, 1914. However, the definition of these last three orders is not clear since the order Paoliida has no proposed apomorphy (Rasnitsyn 2002a), the order Eoblattida is considered to be a 'mixture of stem and insufficiently known Carboniferous fossils' (Rasnitsyn 2002b), no apomorphy supports the order Grylloblattida (Storozhenko 2002), and the superorder Perlidea including the Grylloblattida 'might take an ancestral state in respect to Gryllidea and so might have no synapomorphies of their own<sup>3</sup> (Rasnitsyn 2002c). Furthermore, the order Blattinopseida (which includes only one family) is considered under this hypothesis to be a sister-group to the Caloneurodea Handlirsch, 1937. Béthoux & Nel (2002) and Béthoux et al. (2004) rejected this hypothesis and included the Caloneurodea in the Panorthoptera, e.g. as closely related to Orthoptera. Thus, the order Blattinopseida has no clear supra-ordinal assignment. Finally, the 'Russian school' proposals do not resolve the 'Protorthoptera' problem.

Béthoux & Nel (2002) proposed an alternative hypothesis concerning the position of some 'Protorthoptera'. They recognised the supra-ordinal Archaeorthoptera, a clade that includes the orders Orthoptera, Titanoptera, Caloneurodea and a part of the 'Protorthoptera'. The relationships of the Palaeozoic to Mesozoic Phasmatodea and the Cenozoic to Recent Phasmida with these taxa are currently under debate (Tilgner 2001; Gorochov & Rasnitsyn 2002). Despite the clear apomorphies defining the Archaeorthoptera (complex fusions in the medio-cubital area), the composition and phylogenetic relationships of groups within this clade need further clarification because some older descriptions do not satisfy the requirements of modern cladistic methodology. Here, we redescribe some poorly known taxa assigned by Carpenter (1992) to the paraphyletic group 'Protorthoptera' that are here include in the Archaeorthoptera.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The venation patterns and vein widths were drawn using a stereomicroscope and camera lucida direct from the fossil, dry and under alcohol. Both print and counter-print were used when available. Thus, in some cases, drawings show information not visible in the photographs. The final drawings were readjusted on photographs using image-editing software.

Some specimens were photographed under alcohol in order to make the original colouration of the wings more clearly visible. Only a very thin layer of alcohol was used to cover the specimens so that the relief to the wing veins remained visible. For some specimens, we have combined two photographs (termed 'combined' in figure captions), one under alcohol and one without, using image-editing software. When possible (depending on the relief of the rock containing the fossils), the relief of the wing veins is restored as if viewed dorsally, using a light-mirroring technique, usually lighting from the bottom right. Members of the Photographic Unit of the National History Museum (London) are responsible for photographs of specimens stored at that institution.

The specimens referred to as 'RBINS' are stored in the Department of Invertebrate Palaeontology of the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels. Specimens referred to as 'MNHN-DHT-R' are stored in the Laboratory of Palaeontology of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris. Specimens referred to as 'NHM' are stored in the Department of Invertebrate Palaeontology of the National History Museum, London. Specimens referred to as 'PIN' are stored in the Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Russian Sciences, Moscow. Specimens referred to as 'MGL' are stored in the 'Musée Géologique de Lille', Lille (France). The material referred to as 'MCZ' is stored in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge (MA, USA).

We have followed the nomenclature of insect wing venation elaborated for Archaeorthoptera (Béthoux & Nel



**Figure 1** *Omalia macroptera* Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867 (RBINS a7687 holotype; Belgium; Upper Carboniferous): reconstruction and photograph (counter-print, light-mirrored, combined, reversed) of the right fore-wing. Abbreviations for wing venation: ScP, anterior subcosta; RA, anterior radius; RP, posterior radius; MA, anterior media; MP, posterior media; CuA, anterior cubitus; CuPa, anterior branch of posterior cubitus; CuPb, posterior branch of posterior cubitus; AAI, first anterior anal; M, media.

2002: ScA, anterior subcosta; ScP, posterior subcosta; R, radius; RA, anterior radius; RP, posterior radius; M, media; MA, anterior media; MP, posterior media; Cu, cubitus; CuA, anterior cubitus; CuP, posterior cubitus; CuPa, anterior branch of CuP; CuPb, posterior branch of CuP; AA1: first anterior anal; AA2: second anterior anal). In the following descriptions the relief of veins is described as if viewed from a print, dorsal side.

The literature relating to the definition and composition of the relevant families is confused, thus we have decided to avoid any familial attributions here. A new familial taxonomy will require a cladistic analysis of the whole Archaeorthoptera.

# Systematic palaeontology

## Super-order **ARCHAEORTHOPTERA** Béthoux & Nel, 2002

### Genus OMALIA Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867

TYPE SPECIES. Omalia macroptera Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: RP and MA very long before fusion; CuA + CuPa without clear pattern of branching.

REMARKS. Several fossils have been assigned to this genus, but we propose to restrict it to the species *Omalia macroptera* (Fig. 1) and to keep as distinct the genera *Coselia* and *Palaoemastax* (see below). Some other assignments must be discussed.

The specimen assigned by Brauckmann *et al.* (2001) to *Omalia anae* Brauckmann *et al.*, 2001 is probably a hindwing. This generic assignment is debatable. In the reconstruction of wing venation proposed by these authors, the specimen has branches CuPb and CuPa $\beta$  (with a very short visible part of the branch CuPa $\alpha$ ) typical of the Panorthoptera. Thus it cannot belong to the genus *Omalia*, since this genus lacks the typical feature of the Panorthoptera, i.e. the occurrence of a fork of CuPa basal of its fusion with CuA (in both foreand hind-wings). Its position within the Panorthoptera will be discussed elsewhere.

Specimen MGL 4217 (Fig. 2: France, Pas-de-Calais basin; Upper Carboniferous, Westphalian A), was assigned by Laurentiaux (1949: fig. 2) to *Omalia macroptera*, but is here reassessed as *Omalia* sp. indet. It can be assigned to this genus because of the irregular branching pattern of CuA + CuPa. Its only distinctive character is the more basal location of the origin of RP, but this character is of unknown taxonomic significance given our poor knowledge of these insects.

# **Omalia macroptera** Van Beneden & Coemans, 1867 (Fig. 1)

- 1867 Omalia macroptera Van Beneden & Coemans: 309 (with unnumbered figure).
- 1904 Omalia macroptera Handlirsch: 13, pl. V, fig. 21.
- 1919 Omalia macroptera Handlirsch: 552.
- 1922 Omalia macroptera Handlirsch: 89.
- 1930 Omalia macroptera Pruvost: 158, pl. VI, fig. 2, 2a.



Figure 2 Omalia sp. ind. (MGL 4217: France; Upper Carboniferous). (A) Reconstruction and (B) photograph (counter-print, light-mirrored, combined) of the left fore-wing. For abbreviations see legend to Figure 1.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences a7687.

OCCURRENCE. Sars-Lonchamps, Mons Basin, Belgium (Van Beneden & Coemans 1867); Westphalian A, Upper Carboniferous (Pruvost 1930).

DESCRIPTION. Holotype is a counter-print of an incomplete right fore-wing, with wing base, posterior wing margin and most of distal half missing; wing surface with numerous small folds; preserved length about 54.5 mm, estimated width about 28.1 mm; area between anterior wing margin and ScP broad; RP very long (21.1 mm) basal of its connection with MA; connection of RP with MA 2.3 mm long; M 14.7 mm long basal to divergence of MA and MP; MA 20.8 mm long basal to its connection with RP, simple in its preserved part; distal parts of RA, RP, MA and MP not preserved; free part of CuA (from M+CuA) and CuA+CuPa distinctly convex; CuA + CuPa with a first posterior branch (itself branched), then trifurcate; distal part of CuA + CuPa folded, but it cannot be re-constructed with certainty; at the very least branches of CuA + CuPa distally branched; CuPa and CuPb concave, AA1 and AA2 convex; cross-veins without regular organisation, reticulated and sigmoidal in broad areas.

REMARKS. The assignment of Omalia macroptera, typespecies of the family Omaliidae, to the clade Archaeorthoptera, was first proposed by Béthoux & Nel (2002: 15) and is supported by our new observations, specifically by the characteristic reversal of relief of the main veins near the origin of the distal free part of CuA. Unfortunately, because Omalia is based on just one incomplete fore-wing, it is clearly insufficiently known to establish its phylogenetic relationships within the Archaeorthoptera with confidence. Only the branching pattern of CuA+CuPa is informative and suggests close relationships with the Protophasmatidae (for a revision of this family, see Béthoux 2003).

#### Genus COSELIA Bolton, 1922

1922 Coselia Bolton: 81.

1930 Omalia in part Pruvost: 157.

1949 Omalia in part Laurentiaux: 56.

1992 Coselia Carpenter: 122 (stat. rest.).

2002b Coselia Rasnitsyn: 259.

#### TYPE SPECIES. Coselia palmiformis Bolton, 1922.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: RP at least connected with MA; base of RP in a distal position, probably near mid-length of wing; RP moderately long basal to its connection with MA;  $CuA + CuPa\alpha$  posteriorly pectinate.

REMARKS. Bolton (1922: 82) noted that 'about 21 mm from the base the median [M+CuA in present interpretation] gives off a strong inward branch [CuA] passing obliquely to the cubitus [CuPa] and fused with it [into CuA+CuPa]'. Nevertheless, the drawing he provided is inaccurate concerning the relative widths of these veins. This 'branch' [CuA] is stronger than illustrated by Bolton and there is a reversal of the relief of the veins connected to it. Thus, this 'branch' is the free part of CuA emerging from M+CuA, typical of the archaeorthopterid pattern of venation.

Pruvost (1930), Laurentiaux (1949), Schmidt (1962) and Brauckmann & Hahn (1980) synonymised Coselia with



Coselia palmiformis Bolton, 1922 (NHM 1.15893 holotype; Figure 3 United-Kingdom; Upper Carboniferous). (A) Reconstruction and (B) photograph (counter-print reversed and print under alcohol, combined) of the right fore-wing. AA2, second anterior anal. For all other abbreviations see legend to Figure 1.

Omalia. Nevertheless, the branching patterns of CuA + CuPa are very different in those taxa: it is not clearly organised in Omalia while it is regularly posteriorly pectinate in Coselia. The connection of MA with RP, which could be a potential synapomorphy of the two genera, is present in many other archaeorthopterid families. This connection differs markedly in the two genera: MA and RP are long basal to their connection in Omalia but shorter in Coselia.

The specimen assigned by Laurentiaux (1949: fig. 1) to Omalia palmiformis is assigned to the new genus and species Omaliella ramosa (see below).

#### Coselia palmiformis Bolton, 1922 (Fig. 3)

- 1922 Coselia palmiformis Bolton: 81, text-fig. 25, pl. 5, figs 3a-b.
- 1930 Omalia (Coselia) palmiformis Pruvost: 158.
- 1992 Coselia palmiformis Carpenter: 122.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Natural History Museum, London I.15893.

OCCURRENCE. Coseley, Staffordshire, United Kingdom, Middle Coal Measures; Upper Carboniferous, Westphalian B-C (Belayeva *et al.* 2002).

DESCRIPTION. Holotype is a print and counter-print of an incomplete right fore-wing, with nearly half distal wing missing; preserved length 48.4 mm, width 26.4 mm; ScP hidden by R in basal part, due to preservation; ScP concave, anteriorly pectinate, with numerous simple branches; R and RA strongly convex; base of RP about 34.9 mm distal of wing base; RP basally sigmoidal, very probably fused with MA; RP 13.0 mm long before assumed point of fusion with MA; M+CuA, CuA and CuA+CuPa convex; origin of CuA from M+CuA about 18.4 mm distal of wing base; MA and MP diverging 21.9 mm distal of origin of CuA (from M+CuA); MA oblique, directed towards RP; MP forked 5.3 mm distal of its origin; CuA 4.7 mm long before fusion with CuPa; CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate, with five main branches in preserved part, first, fourth and fifth branches forked; concave CuPa long (13.3 mm) before fusion with CuA; concave CuPb and convex AA1 simple; AA2 branched; cross-veins in all areas of wing from straight to strongly sigmoidal, reticulated or not, without clear organisation.

### Genus **PALAEOMASTAX** Handlirsch, 1904 (stat. rest.)

1904 Palaeomastax Handlirsch: 16. 1930 Omalia in part Pruvost: 157.

TYPE SPECIES. Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch, 1904.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: M simple at length; absence of cross-veins between branches of ScP; divergence of RA and RP in a distal position; CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate.

## **Palaeomastax carbonis** Handlirsch, 1904 (stat. rest.) (Fig. 4)

- 1904 Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch: 16, pl. VII, figs 27–28.
- 1919 Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch: 552.
- 1922 Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch: 89.
- 1930 Omalia carbonis Pruvost: 159, pl. VI, figs 3, 3a-b.
- 1992 Omalia carbonis Carpenter: 121.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences a7700.

OCCURRENCE. Frameries, Belgium; Westphalian C, Upper Carboniferous (Pruvost 1930).

DESCRIPTION. Holotype is a print and counter-print of an incomplete left fore-wing, with wing base, nearly all distal half and posterior wing margin missing; preserved length about 31.2 mm, estimated width about 16.0 mm; area between anterior wing margin and ScP broad (3.2 mm); no cross-veins between branches of ScP; M simple at length (for 18.0 mm in preserved part); M+CuA, CuA and CuA+CuPa distinctly convex; CuA+CuPa posteriorly pectinate, with at least five posterior branches, themselves simple, branched or reticulated; CuPa and CuPb concave, AA1 and AA2 convex; most cross-veins sigmoidal.



**Figure 4** Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch, 1904 (RBINS a7700 holotype: Belgium; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction and (**B**) photograph (counter-print, light-mirrored) of the left fore-wing. AA2, second anterior anal. For all other abbreviations see legend to Figure 1.

REMARKS. Several authors have synonymised *Palaeomastax* with *Omalia* (Pruvost 1930; Carpenter 1992). However, preparation of the specimen (O.B., March 2003) revealed additional information on the branching pattern of CuA + CuPa and the long simple basal part of M. This revealed that *Palaeomastax* has a very distal branching of M (if branched) and a CuA + CuPa that is posteriorly pectinate, all features in contradiction with placement in *Omalia*.

Pruvost (1930) also synonymised *Palaeomastax* with the genus *Coselia*. The two genera are probably closely related, but the more distal branching of M (if branched) as well as the absence of cross-veins between branches of ScP in *Palaeomastax* support generic separation.

#### Genus OMALIELLA gen. nov.

1949 Omalia in part Laurentiaux: 56, fig. 1.

TYPE SPECIES. Omaliella ramosa sp. nov.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: RP long before its fusion with MA1; RP fused with MA1; CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate with numerous branches (at least 10 reaching the posterior wing margin).

REMARKS. Laurentiaux (1949) assigned specimen MGL 4247 (the holotype of *Omaliella ramosa*; Fig. 5) to *Omalia palmiformis* (see Fig. 3). Nevertheless, the specimen differs from *Omalia* as follows: RP is fused with MA1 instead of with MA, RP is longer before its fusion with MA1 and after the more numerous branches of CuA + CuPa. In fact, the genus *Omaliella* differs from all other Archaeorthoptera minus Panorthoptera because of this original combination of characters.

The assignment of *Omaliella* to the Archaeorthoptera is based on indirect arguments. Since the wing base is unknown, the diagnostic medio-cubital structure of Archaeorthoptera



**Figure 5** *Omaliella ramosa* gen. et. sp. nov. (MGL 4247 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction and (**B**) photograph (counter-print, reversed) of the right fore-wing. For abbreviations see legend to Figure 1.

cannot be demonstrated. *Omaliella* differs from the Blattodea and most Grylloblattodea because of the occurrence of a connection of RP with a branch of MA, something that is frequently seen in Archaeorthoptera. Moreover, *Omaliella* can be excluded from the Grylloblattodea because these insects have MP distinctly concave and a differentiation of CuA into CuA1 and CuA2, which is not the case in *Omaliella*. In most Blattodea, M is much more branched. Moreover, the pattern of cross-veins in *Omaliella* is very close to that of *Omalia* and *Coselia*. Consequently, *Omaliella* can probably be assigned to the Archaeorthoptera.

The closest known taxon is probably Aenigmatella Sharov, 1961. However, our knowledge of this last genus is very incomplete and its ordinal assignment is uncertain (Carpenter 1992; Rasnitsyn 2002b: 259). Omaliella differs from Aenigmatella by its more basal origin of MA1 and less oblique branches of CuA + CuPa (if Aenigmatella really has such a composite vein).

## Omaliella ramosa gen. et sp. nov. (Fig. 5)

1949 Omalia palmiformis Laurentiaux: 56, fig. 1.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

TYPES. Holotype and only known specimen: Musée Géologique de Lille 4247.

OCCURRENCE. Pas-de-Calais Basin, France; Westphalien B, Upper Carboniferous (Laurentiaux 1949).

DESCRIPTION. Counter-print of an incomplete right fore-wing (apex and wing base missing); preserved length about 31 mm, width 27.4; RP long (21.5 mm in preserved part) before connection with MA1; origin of MA1 oblique (= MA and MA2 aligned); MA1 shortly fused with RP (0.8 mm); MP branched 13.1 mm after its origin; CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate, with at least 10 branches reaching posterior wing margin; branches of CuA + CuPa simple or forked; CuPb and AA1 simple.

#### Genus EOBLATTA Handlirsch, 1906

- 1885 Leptoneura in part, Brongniart: 62.
- 1893 Stenoneura in part, Brongniart: 429.
- 1906b Eoblatta, Handlirsch: 155, pl. 15, fig. 19.

TYPE SPECIES. Eoblatta robusta (Brongniart, 1893).

OTHER SPECIES. *Eoblatta zeilleri* Langiaux & Parriat, 1974. The holotype of *E. brongniarti* Lameere, 1917, figured by Brongniart (1893: pl. XLVIII(32), fig. 8), is too incomplete for reliable assignment. We consider it as Neoptera order uncertain.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: area between RA and RP very long and narrow; MA approximating RP or its first posterior branch; area between MP and the most anterior branch of CuA+CuPa narrow; CuA+CuPa without clear pattern of branching, with numerous branches covering a large area, distinctly larger than that of M.

REMARKS. Brongniart (1893) originally included *E. robusta* in the genus *Stenoneura*. Later, Handlirsch (1906b) transferred this species to the new genus *Eoblatta*. Carpenter (1992), followed by Béthoux & Nel (2002), assigned both genera to the family Stenoneuridae Handlirsch, 1906b. Béthoux & Nel (2002) restudied *Stenoneura fayoli* Brongniart, 1893, type species of the family Stenoneuridae Brongniart, 1893 and concluded that the Stenoneuridae are probably Panorthoptera, with the occurrence of a fork of CuPa into CuPa $\alpha$  and CuPa $\beta$ . However, here we demonstrate that this fork is absent in *E. robusta* and, consequently, *Eoblatta* is not a Panorthoptera. We therefore exclude it from the Stenoneuridae.

The hind-wing morphology of *Eoblatta* is known based on the incomplete right hind-wing of *Eoblatta zeilleri* Langiaux & Parriat 1974 (see Langiaux & Parriat, 1974: illustration of exemplar 1).

Because Rasnitsyn (2002b) based his 'group' Eoblattida of ordinal rank on this genus, a formal re-description of the type species is provided.

#### Eoblatta robusta (Brongniart, 1893) (Figs 6-7)

- 1885 Leptoneura robusta Brongniart: 62 (nomen nudum).
- 1893 Stenoneura robusta in part Brongniart: 431, pl. XLVIII(32), figs 9, 10.
- 1906b Eoblatta robusta Handlirsch: 155, pl. 15, fig. 19.
- 1917 Eoblatta robusta Lameere: 172.
- 1919 Eoblatta robusta Handlirsch: 560.
- 1922 Eoblatta robusta Handlirsch: 99.



**Figure 6** Eoblatta robusta (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51344 holotype; France; Upper Carboniferous). Photograph (combined) of the head, prothorax and mesothorax; arrows indicate the lateral expansion of the prothorax.

1992 Eoblatta robusta Carpenter: 129, fig. 74.7. 2002b Eoblatta robusta Rasnitsyn: 257, fig. 353.

DIAGNOSIS. In fore-wings, first fork of CuA + CuPa distant from point of fusion of CuA with CuPa.

TYPES. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris DHT-R51344.

OTHER MATERIAL. MNHN-DHT-R11466. Another specimen, NHM I.7278, which was assigned to E. robusta by Bolton (1925), is too poorly preserved to be identifiable. It is considered to be a Neoptera, order uncertain.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Holotype is a print and counter-print of an almost complete insect, with distal parts of legs, apical part of fore-wings and most of hind-wings missing or hidden by other remains; prothorax with lateral expansions (winglets?).

Fore-wings (description mainly based on right one): estimated length about 65.5 mm, width 19.0 mm; ScP long, probably reaching RA; ScP with numerous strong, oblique anterior veins, more or less reticulated; divergence of RA and RP about 12.6 mm distal to wing base; RA simple in preserved part; first fork of RP 20.2 mm distal to its origin; RA and RP closely parallel; RP posteriorly pectinate, with six main branches; most branches of RP forked at mid-length; origin of CuA from M+CuA about 10.7 mm distal of wing base; divergence of MA and MP 16.9 mm distal to origin of CuA; MA oblique at its origin, anteriorly directed, approximating RP and distally arched towards posterior wing margin; preserved part of MA simple; MP distally branched; some branches of MP connected with anterior branch of CuA + CuPa and probably with MA; CuA + CuPa branched 3.8 mm distal to its origin; CuA+CuPa with two main basal stems but without a clear pattern of ramification, with 19 distal branches, reaching CuPb, posterior wing margin,

or MP; CuPb simple; numerous anal veins, branched, not clearly discernable.

REMARKS. *Eoblatta robusta* differs from *E. zeilleri* in having its stem CuA + CuPa simple at length. Langiaux & Parriat (1974) advocated the wing shape as a specific relevant character (rounded in *E. robusta versus*, margins parallel at length in *E. zeilleri*), but the wings of *E. zeilleri* are incompletely preserved. Thus, this character must be used with care.

#### Genus **CTENOPTILUS** Lameere, 1917 (= *Eoblattina* Bolton, 1925 syn. nov.)

- 1917 Ctenoptilus Lameere: 180.
- 1919 Ischnoneurula Handlirsch: 541.
- 1919 Ischnoneurilla Handlirsch: 556.
- 1922 Ctenoptilus Handlirsch: 76.
- 1922 Ischnoneurilla Handlirsch: 95 (Ischnoneurula considered as a junior synonym of Ctenoptilus).
- 1925 Eoblattina Bolton: 19.
- 1992 Ischnoneura in part Carpenter: 130.
- 1992 Ctenoptilus Carpenter: 130.
- 1992 Eoblattina Carpenter: 132.
- 2002b Ctenoptilus Rasnitsyn: 258.

NOTE ON SYNONYMY LIST. The holotype of *Ctenoptilus* elongatus (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov. (MNHN-DHT-R51216) was assigned by Brongniart (1893) to the genus *Ischnoneura*, but it differs from *I. oustaleti*, the type species of this genus, mainly by the pattern of ramification of CuA + CuPa (internally pectinate in *Ischnoneura*, with one main anterior branch in *Ctenoptilus*). This justifies generic separation.

Meunier (1911) assigned the holotype of *Ctenoptilus trouessarti* (Meunier, 1911), MNHN-DHT-R51392, to the genus *Homalophlebia* Brongniart, 1893. However, this specimen differs strongly from *Homalophlebia* and *Parahomalophlebia* (Homalophlebiidae Handlirsch 1906b; see Carpenter 1992; fig. 74.3 and Rasnitsyn 2002b: fig. 361) in having a fewer number of branches of MA and MP and more numerous branches of CuA+CuPa. Thus, we follow Lameere (1917) who created the genus *Ctenoptilus* to encompass this specimen.

The specimens MNHN-DHT-R51216 and MNHN-DHT-R51392 are here assigned to the same species based on the occurrence of a long and posteriorly pectinate anterior branch of CuA + CuPa. Also, the distal bifurcations of branches from CuA + CuPa, the very basal origin of RA and RP, the numerous and dense veins in the anal area and the cross-vein organisation, although shared by very different Archaeorthoptera, support their assignment to the same genus and species. *Ctenoptilus trouessarti* (Meunier, 1911) must therefore be considered as a junior synonym of *Ctenoptilus elongatus*.

Handlirsch (1919) created the genus *Ischnoneurilla* on the basis of the figures provided by Brongniart (1893) of the holotype of *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (MNHN-DHT-R51216). This genus is then a junior synonym of *Ctenoptilus*.

Bolton (1925) created the genus *Eoblattina* on the basis of specimen NHM I.7279 (from the same locality as previously cited specimens). Nevertheless, the diagnostic characters provided by this author all agree with those known in *Ctenoptilus*. Our new observations support this attribution. 292



**Figure 7** *Eoblatta robusta* (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51344 holotype; France; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction (after print and counter-print) and (**B**) photograph (counter-print, reversed) of the fore-wings. R, radius. For other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

TYPE SPECIES. *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: CuA + CuPa with one main anterior branch posteriorly pectinate and with more than 20 branches reaching posterior wing margin; CuA + CuPa covering about the distal two-thirds of posterior wing margin; MP strongly concave; ScA long and well defined.

REMARKS. The genus *Ctenoptilus* can be assigned to the Archaeorthoptera because of the occurrence of medio-cubital fusions typical of this supra-ordinal clade (see Fig. 10).

*Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov. (Figs 8–11)

- 1885 Leptoneura (sp.?) Brongniart: pl. V, fig. 4 (specimen MNHN-DHT-R51071).
- 1893 Ischnoneura elongata Brongniart: 433, pl. XLVIII(32), fig. 12 (specimen MNHN-DHT-R51216).
- 1893 Ischnoneura oustaleti, in part Brongniart: in part, 433, pl. LII(36), figs 1–2 (specimen MNHN-DHT-R51071).
- 1911 Homalophlebia trouessarti Meunier: 126, fig. 11 (specimen MNHN-DHT-R51392).
- 1917 Ctenoptilus trouessarti Lameere: 180.
- 1917 Ischnoneura elongata Lameere: 174.
- 1919 Ischnoneurula trousessarti Handlirsch: 541.
- 1919 Ischnoneurilla elongata Handlirsch: 556.
- 1922 Ctenoptilus trouessarti Handlirsch: 76.
- 1922 Ischnoneurilla elongata Handlirsch: 95.
- 1925 *Eoblattina complexa* Bolton: 19, pl. II, fig. 6 (specimen NHM I.7279).
- 1992 Ctenoptilus trouessarti Carpenter: 130, fig. 12.
- 1992 Eoblattina complexa Carpenter: 132.
- 2002b Eoblattina complexa Rasnitsyn: 258, fig. 355.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R51216. Other specimens: MNHN-DHT-R51392, MNHN-DHT-R51071, MNHN-DHT-R11197, NHM I.7279.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Holotype (Fig. 8), is a print of a complete individual, wings folded as in resting position; preservation of moderate quality; wing structures hardly identifiable.

Fore-wings: length about 50.0 mm; divergence of RA and RP opposite first quarter of wing length; CuA + CuPawith an anterior main stem, posteriorly pectinate; some branches of CuA + CuPa distally branched; CuPb not discernible; AA1 simple; AA2 with numerous and dense branches; cross-veins in area between first branches of CuA + CuPasigmoidal (only visible in left fore-wing); presence of pigmented zones along R and most anterior branches of RP, along anterior stem of CuA + CuPa and its distal branches and along the more basal branches of CuA + CuPa.

Hind-wings: origin of RP near wing base; RA and RP simple and parallel at length; RP with numerous branches; main stem of CuA + CuPa very strong, convex; CuA + CuPa branched; cross-veins dense, straight and parallel.

Specimen MNHN-DHT-R51392 (Figs 9–10). Print of an almost complete individual (left hind-wing missing). Forewings: area between RA and RP very narrow in basal half of wing; preserved part of RA simple; RP without clear pattern of ramification, with 11 branches in preserved part; MP not clearly discernable in basal half of wing but its course can be inferred from the corresponding strongly concave fold; divergence of MA and MP at least basal of first third of wing; CuA + CuPa clearly convex, posteriorly pectinate, with numerous branches, with at least 20 branches reaching posterior wing margin, with the most basal ones reaching CuPb; first branches of CuA + CuPa reaching posterior wing margin are branched basally; more distal branches of CuA + CuPa branched distally or simple; AA1 and AA2 convex; AA1 simple; cross-veins in area between first branches of CuA + CuPa sigmoidal, dense; in other areas, cross-veins straight, parallel, dense. Right fore-wing: width (at midlength) 10.3 mm; ScP reaching RA just distal of first branch of RP; MA and MP very distally forked; CuPb weak, concave. Left fore-wing: width (at mid-length) 10.4 mm; fork of MA very basal; anterior branch of MA fused with first posterior branch of RP; AA2 with numerous branches. Right hind-wing: RA strongly convex, simple in preserved part; divergence of RA and RP at least basal of branching of M into MA and MP; RP posteriorly pectinate, with five branches in preserved part; origin of MA and MP not preserved but near origin of M from M + CuA; in preserved part, MA simple, MP forked, CuA + CuPa with five branches; CuA + CuPa convex.

Specimen NHM I.7279 (Fig. 11). Print of an incomplete individual. Right fore-wing: RA without visible anterior branches; RP with 13 branches reaching apex; MA distally forked; MP simple in preserved part. Left fore-wing: ScA probably reaching anterior wing margin opposite divergence of RA and RP; divergence of RA and RP about 7.5 mm distal of wing base; MA forked opposite first branch of RP; CuPa emerging obliquely from CuP; concave CuPb and convex AA1 simple and parallel. Hind-wings: apical area with dense veins (not determinable) and cross-veins.

REMARKS. The colour pattern of the fore-wings is clearly visible in MNHN-DHT-R51216 and MNHN-DHT-R51071. It is not visible in MNHN-DHT-R51392 and NHM I.7279, probably due to preservation (the relief of the veins in specimens where colour is preserved is weak, but it is well marked in specimens without coloration; coloration can be absent in one of the prints from many fossil insects from the Commentry Basin; O.B., pers. obs., 2002). This does not justify a specific separation and we include all of the specimens assigned to the genus *Ctenoptilus* in the same species.

#### Genus ISCHNOPTERA gen. nov.

TYPE SPECIES. Ischnoptera diaphanes sp. nov.

ETYMOLOGY. After the name of the genus *lschnoneura*, probably related to *lschnoptera*.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: branches of ScP strongly oblique; divergence of RA and RP basal to first branch of CuA + CuPa; CuA + CuPa with two main branches; no clear branching pattern of main branches of CuA + CuPa; pronotum subquadrate, broader than long.

REMARKS. The specimen was part of a collection of undescribed specimens from Commentry, France. The specimen was labelled by F. Meunier as follows: 'nervation très effacée, peut-être *Sthenarocera pachytyloides*, très mauvais exemplaire 1902–14'. A new preparation (O.B., 2003) revealed that the specimen is good enough for formal description. It belongs to a new genus and species.

#### Ischnoptera diaphanes gen. et sp. nov. (Fig. 12)

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

ETYMOLOGY. Term 'diaphanes' (transparent in Greek) after the absence of wing coloration.

TYPES. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R11469.











**Figure 8** *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov. (MNHN-DHT-R51216 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). Reconstructions of the right fore-wing (RFW), left fore-wing (LFW, reversed), right hind-wing (RHW), left hind-wing (LHW, reversed) and photograph of the whole specimen (under alcohol). AA2, second anterior anal. For other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.



**Figure 9** *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. *nov*. (MNHN-DHT-R51392: France; Upper Carboniferous). Reconstructions of the right fore-wing (RFW), left fore-wing (LFW, reversed), right hind-wing (RHW) and photograph (print). R, radius; AA2, second anterior anal. For other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 10.



**Figure 10** *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov. (MNHN-DHT-R51392: France; Upper Carboniferous). Photograph of the medio-cubital area, right fore-wing (print) (same specimen as in Figure 9). For abbreviations see legend to Figure 1.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Print of an almost complete individual; body c. 57.0 mm long; pronotum subquadrate, broader than long, 5.0 mm long, 11.5 mm wide; head c. 7.0 mm long; relief of the wing venation altered.

Left fore-wing: length about 69.5 mm, width 17.8 mm; branches of ScP very oblique and long in preserved parts; ScP reaching RA about 43.1 mm distal of wing base; RA and RP diverging c. 12.6 mm distal of wing base; area between RA and RP narrow at length; RA simple; RP simple at length (38.5 mm); M 13.9 mm long before divergence of MA and MP; MA simple at length (26.4 mm), with two preserved branches; MP with three preserved branches, probably distally reticulated; distal free part of CuA (from M+CuA) 3.6 mm long before its fusion with CuPa; CuA + CuPa short (3.5 mm) before its first branching; CuA + CuPa with two main branches; anterior branch of CuA+CuPa with four branches reaching posterior margin, posterior branch with seven branches reaching posterior wing margin, parallel at length to posterior wing margin in their distal parts; a branch of CuA + CuPa probably vanishing in area between two main branches; main branches of CuA + CuPa without clear pattern of branching; area between posterior branch of CuA + CuPa and CuPb broad, with two rows of cells; CuPb weak, strongly concave, close to AA1; AA1 simple, AA2 branched.

Right fore-wing: RP simple along length (about 39.0 mm), posteriorly pectinate, with at least seven branches reaching apex; M 7.5 mm long before divergence of MA and MP; MA simple along length (23.1 mm); MA with three preserved branches; branches of MP not clearly determinable; CuA (from M+CuA) 2.3 mm long before its fusion with CuPa; CuA+CuPa short (4.5 mm) before first branching; CuA+CuPa with two main branches; CuA+CuPa with 8–9 branches in preserved parts, with the most posterior ones parallel at length to posterior wing margin.

Hind-wings: ScP reaching RA; even if preserved remains cannot be reliably attributed to any of the main veins RP, MA and MP, they are very probably branched; CuA+CuPa simple along length; vannus filled with fanshaped veins.

REMARKS. The location of the branching point of M (into MA and MP) in the fore-wings varies significantly.

### Genus PROTODIAMPHIPNOA Brongniart, 1885

1885 Protodiamphipnoa Brongniart: 66.

2002b Protodiamphipnoa in part Rasnitsyn: 258.

TYPE SPECIES. Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi (Brongniart, 1885).

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: ScA and anterior wing margin very closely parallel at length but distinct; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa orientated towards anterior wing margin basal to first fork of MA; first branch of RP opposite end of ScP on RA; dark pigmentation with clear spots in cells in areas between anterior wing margin and MP and a large 'eye-like' spot near middle of wing.

## **Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi** (Brongniart, 1885) (Figs 13–16)

- 1885 Protophasma gaudryi Brongniart: pl. II, fig. 1 (specimen lost).
- 1893 Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Brongniart: 411, pl. XL(24), figs 7, 8, 9 (specimens MNHN-DHT-R51400, R51416, R51205).
- 1893 Protophasma gaudryi Brongniart: 427, pl. XLIX(33), figs 5, 6 (specimen lost).
- 1906b Cnemidolestes gaudryi Handlirsch: 135, pl. XIV, fig. 3.
- 1906b Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Handlirsch: 135, pl. XIII, fig. 24.
- 1917 Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Lameere: 166 (new description, probably based on MNHN-DHT-R51393).
- 1917 Protodiamphipnoa (Protophasma) gaudryi Lameere: 171 (new generic assignment in text).
- 1917 Protodiamphipnoa (Protophasma) woodwardi Lameere: 171 (new generic assignment in text).
- 1919 Cnemidolestes gaudryi Handlirsch: 553.
- 1919 Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Handlirsch: 553.
- 1992 Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Carpenter: 129, fig. 75 (specimen MNHN-DHT-R51393).
- 1992 Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi Carpenter: 129, fig. 76 (specimen lost).
- 2002b Protodiamphipnoa tertrini Rasnitsyn: 258, fig. 357b.

NOTE ON SYNONYMY LIST. Brongniart (1885) assigned the holotype to the genus *Protophasma* Brongniart, 1879. Nevertheless, the type species of this genus differs from all other Archaeorthoptera in having a well-developed AP area (Béthoux 2003). Consequently, the species *Protophasma* gaudryi must be assigned to a separate genus. In 1893, Brongniart created the new genus and species *Protodiamphipnoa tertrini* on the basis of three very incomplete specimens. Lameere (1917), who had at his disposal more complete specimens of this last species (probably including MNHN-DHT-R51393; see Figs 14–15), correctly assigned *Protophasma gaudryi* to the genus *Protodiamphipnoa*.



**Figure 11** *Ctenoptilus elongatus* (Brongniart, 1893) comb. nov. (NHM I.7279: Upper Carboniferous, France). Reconstructions of the right fore-wing (RFW), left fore-wing (LFW, reversed) and photograph (print; light-mirrored). AA2, second anterior anal. For other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

Lameere distinguished the two included species on the basis of (1) their size (*P. gaudryi* is smaller than *P. tertrini*) and (2) the robustness of the fore-legs (less robust in *P. gaudryi* than in *P. tertrini*). However, (1) a direct comparison of the specimen MNHN-DHT-51393 with photographs of the holotype provided by Brongniart (1893) and Carpenter (1992) revealed that *P. gaudryi* and *P. tertrini* do not differ signi-

ficantly in size; (2) a comparison of MNHN-DHT-R51045 (see Fig. 16, original negative labelled '*Protodiamphipnoa tertrini* apparently not a type' by F. M. Carpenter) with the photograph of the holotype of *P. gaudryi* reveals that, with respect to wings and body sizes, the robustness of the fore-legs is not significantly different. Furthermore, the coloration pattern of *P. gaudryi* and *P. tertrini* are identical in the preserved



**Figure 12** *Ischnoptera diaphanes* gen. et sp. nov. (MNHN-DHT-R11469 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction of the left fore-wing and (**B**) photograph of the wings (counter-print; combined). R, radius. For other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.



**Figure 13** *Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi* (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51400 neotype; France; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction of the wings and (**B**) photograph (under alcohol). For abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

parts: in the basal half of the wing, white spots occur between veins from ScP, while the rest of the wing is dark. Consequently, we consider *P. tertrini* to be a junior synonym of *P. gaudryi*.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Neotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R51400 (syntype of *P. tertrini*); other syntype specimens of *P. tertrini*: MNHN-DHT-R51416 and MHN-DHT-R51205, other specimens: MNHN-DHT-R51393 and MNHN-DHT-R51045.

The holotype of *P. gaudryi* is presumed lost, although photographed in Carpenter (1992; fig. 76). It is missing from the collections of the MNHN where other specimens from Commentry are stored and there is no record of a loan of this specimen. It was also not amongst F. M. Carpenter's material from Commentry, which was on loan and previously stored at the MCZ and which was recently returned to the MNHN. In order to avoid any confusion we propose to designate a neotype. *Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi* was based on a unique specimen in the original publication. Consequently, we designate MNHN-DHT-R51400, the best-preserved syntype of *P. tertrini*, from the same locality as the holotype of *P. gaudryi*, as the neotype.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Neotype MNHN-DHT-R51400 (Fig. 13). Flat impression (it cannot be determined if it is a print or a counter-print) of the base of two fore-wings, folded as in rest-

ing position. Fore-wings: preserved length about 32.6 mm; wings dark, with small white spots centred in wing cells, mainly in area between anterior margin and ScP; ScA very close but distinct from anterior wing margin; branches of ScP zigzagged; RA and RP diverging about 24.0 mm/ 22.5 mm (left wing/right wing) distal to wing base; M (from M+CuA) short (1.7 mm) before divergence of MA and MP; MA simple in preserved part (for at least 1.7 mm); MP weak, vanishing in area between MA and CuA + CuPa; CuA + CuPa branched 6.6 mm/6.1 mm distal of its origin; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa directed towards anterior wing margin basal of distal vanishing of MP, then directed towards apex; branches of CuA + CuPa simple in preserved parts; CuPa 6.1 mm long before its fusion with CuA; CuPb simple in preserved parts; in area between R and MA, crossveins strongly sigmoidal; in other preserved parts, crossveins straight, rarely reticulated.

Specimen MNHN-DHT-R51393 (Figs 14–15). Print and counter-print of an incomplete individual, with wing apices and head missing, legs not clearly visible; the four wings can be recognised; some anal veins visible but not reliably attributable to the hind-wings. Fore-wings: dark coloration, with a 'C-shaped' light spot near middle of wing that is delimited by cross-veins, also small spots in basal part of the wing, especially in areas between ScA and ScP and between CuA + CuPa and CuPb. Right fore-wing: width (opposite first fork of MA) 14.6 mm; distal third of wing, wing base, basal part of both posterior and anterior wing margins not discernable; ScA very close but distinguishable from anterior wing margin; area between ScA and ScP broad (greatest 300



Figure 14 Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51393; France; Upper Carboniferous). (A) Reconstruction of the wings and (B) photograph (combined). LFW, left fore-wing (reversed); LHW, left hind-wing (reversed); RFW, right fore-wing; LFW, left fore-wing.

width 2.4 mm); ScP concave, anteriorly pectinate, with 14 branches reaching ScA; almost all branches of ScP simple, more or less zigzagged and oblique; ScP ending on RA; RA convex; few anterior branches of RA visible; RP long (15.4 mm) before its first fork; cross-veins between R and M sigmoidal and reticulated; MA long (19.1 mm) before its first fork; RP concave; MA and MP neutral; anterior branch of MA without connection with R, directed towards posterior wing margin; MP emerging obliquely from M (i.e. M and MA are aligned); MP progressively weaker and zigzagged in its distal part, probably fused with the most anterior branch of CuA + CuPa; area between MA and CuA + CuPa broad until MP vanishes; CuA + CuPa strongly convex; first fork of CuA + CuPa dichotomous, with two branches of equal width; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa directed towards anterior wing margin, posteriorly pectinate, with three simple branches in preserved part; posterior branch of CuA + CuPa with at least two branches, the anterior one being distally forked; area between CuA + CuPa and CuPb broad (1.6 mm); concave CuPb and convex AA1 slightly bowed posteriorly,





**Figure 15** *Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi* (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51393: France; Upper Carboniferous). Reconstructions of the wings, separated (same specimen as in Figure 14). RFW, right fore-wing; LFW, left fore-wing (reversed); RHW, right hind-wing; LHW, left hind-wing (reversed); R, radius; M, media. For all other abbreviations, see the legend to Figure 1.

302 O. BÉTHOUX AND A. NEL



**Figure 16** Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51045: France; Upper Carboniferous). Photograph (by F. M. Carpenter).

simple in preserved part; area between CuPb and AA1 narrow (0.7 mm).

Left fore-wing: width 14.7 mm, estimated length about 58 mm; apex poorly preserved, wing base missing; ScA parallel to anterior wing margin, not discernable from wing margin distal to end of ScP; 18 branches of ScP visible, zigzagging, oblique, most of them simple; ScP ending on RA or fused with first anterior branch of RA, about 36.4 mm from wing base; RA and RP separating 20.0 mm distal to wing base; distal to end of ScP, RA with sigmoidal anterior branches reaching anterior wing margin; RP connected with

the anterior branch of MA 11.3 mm distal to origin of RP, with inflexion at this point; first fork of RP 16.8 mm distal to its origin; RP with at least three branches; origin of CuA from M + CuA about 8.9 mm distal to wing base; M short (1.5 mm) before origin of MA and MP; MA long (19.2 mm) before first fork; anterior branch of MA directed towards anterior wing margin, shortly connected to RP and distally directed towards posterior wing margin; posterior branch of MA forked; MP emerging obliquely from M, progressively weaker and zigzagged in its distal part, vanishing in area between MA and CuA + CuPa; CuP branched into CuPa and CuPb about 4.2 mm distal to wing base; CuPa long (5.8 mm) before fusion with free part of CuA; CuA + CuPa branched 6.0 mm distal to its origin; first fork of CuA+CuPa dichotomous; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate, with at least four branches; posterior branch of CuA + CuPa anteriorly pectinate, with three branches, with first one distally forked; concave CuPb and convex AA1 slightly posteriorly bowed, simple; area between R (basal to divergence of RA and RP) and MA with strongly sigmoidal cross-veins; in all other areas, cross-veins straight, rarely sigmoidal, moderately reticulated.

Right hind-wing: anterior wing margin and apical part missing; width about 14.2 mm; R branched into RA and RP basally; RA simple in preserved part; first branch of RP 15.6 mm distal to its origin, about opposite mid-length of wing; branches of RP long (anterior branch = 9.8 mm, posterior branch = 11.4 mm) before their first fork; first forks of MA and MP in a very distal position (about 25.5 mm and 22.0 mm distal to their origins, respectively); MA with two branches in preserved part; MP with three main branches, the first posterior one fused with anterior branch of CuA + CuPa; CuA + CuPa branched 12.3 mm distal to its origin; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa posteriorly pectinate, with three simple branches; posterior branch of CuA + CuPa with one fork; few cross-veins discernable, straight, not reticulated.

Left hind-wing: apical and posterior wing margins, wing base and anal area not discernable; ScP probably distally fused with RA; R, M and CuA fused into a basal common stem; CuA emerging first from this common stem; R and M separate 0.5 mm distal to origin of CuA; RA and RP diverging 2.5 mm distal to origin of R; RA simple in preserved part; first fork of RP 14.7 mm distal to its origin; branches of RP long before their first fork; RP with at least 11 branches, close together; MA and MP branched very distally; MA with at least three branches, MP with at least two branches; CuA + CuPa with at least five branches; CuPb simple in preserved part, straight.

REMARKS. In Neoptera preserved as compressions, where wings are frequently superimposed or found in isolation, it is not often that both fore- and hind-wings can be reliably assigned to the same species. Fortunately, the preservation of the specimen MNHN-DHT-R51393 is so good that most of the wing parts can be isolated. It allows assignment of other isolated hind-wings to the Archaeorthoptera.

#### Genus **CNEMIDOLESTES** Handlirsch, 1906 stat. rest.

- 1906b Cnemidolestes Handlirsch: 135.
- 1917 Cnemidolestes Lameere: 171 (in text, synonymised with Protodiamphipnoa).
- 1919 Cnemidolestes in part Handlirsch: 553.



Figure 17 Cnemidolestes woodwardi (Brongniart, 1893) (MNHN-DHT-R51272 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). Photograph (counter-print, combined) of the whole specimen.

- 1992 Protodiamphipnoa Carpenter: 129.
- 2002b Protodiamphipnoa in part Rasnitsyn: 258, fig. 357a (erroneous attribution of type specimen of C. woodwardi to P. gaudryi).

TYPE SPECIES. Cnemidolestes woodwardi (Brongniart, 1893).

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: first branching of RP distal to end of ScP on RA; alternation of dark and clear strips crossing wing from anterior to posterior wing margins.

REMARKS. Rasnitsyn (2002a: 80) referred 'an isolated wing of unknown affinity' to *Cnemidolestes* but, in the same account (Rasnitsyn 2002b: fig. 357a) assigned the holotype of the type species *C. woodwardi* to the genus *Protodiamphipnoa*. This is probably due to a mistake occurring in the legend of the corresponding original plate from Brongniart (1893: pl. XLIX(33)): the three last legends correspond, respectively, to the true figures 4, 5 and 6 of the plate, instead of 3, 4, 5.

Each of the genera *Protodiamphipnoa* and *Cnemidolestes* are represented by a single species. Following the opinion of Lameere (1917), Carpenter (1992) and Rasnitsyn (2002b) synonymised these genera (see above). The characters 'anterior stem of CuA+CuPa strongly orientated towards anterior wing margin basal to first fork of MA' (diagnostic of *Protodiamphipnoa*) and 'first branching of RP distal to end of ScP on RA' (diagnostic of *Protodiamphipneura*) are of generic relevance.

# **Cnemidolestes woodwardi** (Brongniart, 1893) stat. rest. (Figs 17–18)

- 1893 Protophasma woodwardi Brongniart: 427, pl. XLIX(33), fig. 4.
- 1906b Cnemidolestes woodwardi Handlirsch: 135, pl. XIV, fig. 4.
- 1917 Protodiamphipnoa (Protophasma) woodwardi Lameere: 171.
- 1919 Cnemidolestes woodwardi Handlirsch: 553.
- 2002b Protodiamphipnoa gaudryi Rasnitsyn: 258, fig. 357a.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R51272.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Counter-print of a nearly complete individual; prothoracic legs with broadened femora; only the venation of the right fore-wing is discernable. Right fore-wing: preserved length about 58.7 mm; venation poorly preserved in clear strips; near wing base, anterior wing margin with four preserved spines, located opposite probable ends of branches of ScP; cross-veins between branches of ScP; ScP reaching RA; divergence of RA and RP distal to first fork of CuA + CuPa; RP simple in preserved part; MP weak, reaching anterior branch of CuA + CuPa distal to its first fork; MA long (about 29.0 mm) basal to its first branching; MA with four branches



Figure 18 Cnemidolestes woodwardi (Brongniart, 1893) (MNHN-DHT-R51272 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). (A) Reconstruction and (B) photograph (under alcohol) of the right fore-wing (reversed). For abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

in preserved part; CuA + CuPa internally pectinate, anterior main branch of CuA + CuPa with more branches than posterior one; anterior branch of CuA + CuPa with five branches, the first one being distally branched; preserved cross-veins not reticulated; coloration pattern with at least seven parallel dark strips.

# Genus ISCHNONEURA Brongniart, 1893

- 1885b Leptoneura in part Brongniart: 62.
- 1893 Ischnoneura in part Brongniart: 433.
- 1906b Ischnoneura in part Handlirsch: 134.
- 1922 Ischnoneura in part Handlirsch: 75.
- 1992 Ischnoneura in part Carpenter: 130.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: absence of cross-veins between branches (veinlets?) of ScP and RA; RP posteriorly arched at mid-course, just basal of end of ScP on RA; branches of RP simple; MP fused with anterior stem of CuA + CuPa; CuA + CuPa internally pectinate; branches of CuA + CuPa simple.

TYPE SPECIES. *Ischnoneura oustaleti* Brongniart, 1893, type designation in Carpenter (1992: 130).

REMARKS. Other specimens assigned by previous authors to this genus are here assigned to different genera. *Ischnoneura delicatula* (Brongniart, 1893), type species of *Ischnoneurona* Handlirsch, 1919, is based on a very poorly preserved specimen and is herein considered as a Neoptera *incertae sedis*.

## Ischnoneura oustaleti (Brongniart, 1885) (Fig. 19)

- 1885 Leptoneura oustaleti Brongniart: 62, pl. V, fig. 4.
- 1893 Ischnoneura oustaleti in part Brongniart: 433, pl. LII(36), fig. 3.
- 1906b Ischnoneura oustaleti in part Handlirsch: 134.
- 1922 Ischnoneura oustaleti in part Handlirsch: 76.
- 1992 Ischnoneura oustaleti Carpenter: 130, fig. 74.8.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R51077.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Incomplete individual, with parts of head, legs, thorax, complete left fore-wing, a part of a left hind-wing and a folded part of an undetermined wing. Left fore-wing: length about 58.3 mm, width (opposite mid-length) 14.1 mm; branches (veinlets) of ScP and RA simple and short, without cross-veins between them; ScP reaching RA about 35.0 mm distal to wing base; divergence of RA and RP very basal, about 13.6 mm from wing base; RP with a slight posterior arch opposite its mid-course, just basal to the end of ScP on RA; first branch of RP just distal to this arch; RP posteriorly pectinate with six simple branches; divergence of MA and MP 4.4 mm distal to origin of M (from M+CuA); MP sigmoidal, fused with main anterior branch of CuA + CuPa basal to its first branching; MA branched distal to its



**Figure 19** *Ischnoneura oustaleti* (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-DHT-R51077 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction and (**B**) photograph (combined, counter-print) of the left fore-wing. For abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

mid-length, with three branches reaching posterior wing margin; CuA (from M+CuA) long (3.4 mm) before its fusion with CuPa; CuA + CuPa internally pectinate, anterior branch [=(CuA+CuPa)+MP] with four simple branches, main posterior branch of CuA + CuPa with six simple branches; area between posterior stem of CuA + CuPa and CuPb broad, with two rows of cross-veins; CuPb simple; anal area very long, covering basal 45% of posterior wing margin; with sigmoidal cross-veins; in distal two-thirds of wing, crossveins straight, parallel, spaced out and not reticulated.

### Genus NARKEMINOPSIS Whalley, 1979

## TYPE SPECIES. Narkeminopsis eddi Whalley, 1979.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: areas between anterior margin and ScP and between ScP and RA with equal widths (i.e. area between anterior margin and ScP not broadened); few crossveins; five parallel dark strips crossing wing from anterior to posterior wing margins (probably of specific relevance).

REMARKS. The genus Narkeminopsis is related to the genera Protodiamphipnoa, Cnemidolestes, Aetophlebia Scudder, 1885, Ischnoneura, Narkemina Martynov, 1930, Paranarkemina Pinto & Pinto de Ornellas, 1980, Bouleites Lameere, 1917 and Amphiboliacridites Langiaux & Parriat, 1974, in having a CuA + CuPa internally pectinate, i.e. with the anterior main branch posteriorly pectinate, while the posterior main branch is anteriorly pectinate. The list of genera above nearly coincides with the Ischnoneuridae *sensu* Rasnitsyn, 2002b. Despite the absence of the main diagnostic character of Archaeorthoptera, i.e. the course of CuA, this genus can be reliably assigned to that clade. Note that *Narkemina winsdoriensis* Lewis, 1979 probably does not belong to the genus *Narkemina* but to *Aetophlebia*.

## Narkeminopsis eddi Whalley, 1979 (Fig. 20)

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Natural History Museum, London, In. 64531.

OCCURRENCE. Radstock, Avon, United Kingdom; Westphalian D, Upper Carboniferous (Whalley 1979).

DESCRIPTION. Counter-print of an almost complete left forewing; venation hardly distinguishable outside pigmented areas; estimated length about 25.7 mm, width 8.4 mm; ScP reaching RA 14.6 mm distal to wing base; area between anterior wing margin and ScP of moderate width (1.0 mm); area between anterior wing margin and ScP/RA with spaced out and slightly oblique cross-veins, without secondary crossveins between them; RA and RP diverging 10.2 mm distal to wing base; RA without clear branches; RP short, about



**Figure 20** Narkeminopsis eddi Whalley, 1979 (NHM In. 64531 holotype: United Kingdom; Upper Carboniferous). (**A**) Reconstruction and (**B**, **C**) photographs (counter-print, (**B**) dry and (**C**) under alcohol) of the left fore-wing (reversed). For abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

3.1 mm long, before its fusion with anterior branch of MA; RP with five branches in preserved part; convex M+CuAarched basal of origin of CuA; origin and course of MP not discernible (see remark below); MA long before branching; anterior branch of MA very short (0.6 mm) before fusion with RP; posterior branch of MA fused with most anterior branch of CuA + CuPa into a composite simple branch; free part of CuA from M + CuA, fusion of CuA with CuPa and basal part of CuA + CuPa not preserved; CuA + CuPa internally pectinate, with three branches of the anterior main branch and four branches of the posterior one; CuPa and CuPb concave, AA1 convex; CuPb and AA1 simple; five pigmented strips crossing wing from anterior to posterior wing margins.

REMARKS. Our observation of the holotype found that the original (and only available) description of this fossil (Whalley 1979) was inaccurate and so we here provide a re-description.

The interpretation of the median vein requires further explanation. The genera *Protodiamphipnoa*, *Cnemidolestes*, *Aetophlebia* and *Ischnoneura*, together with the species *Narkemina winsdoriensis* Lewis, 1979 (probably not belonging to *Narkemina* but to *Aetophlebia*), are assumed to be related to *Narkeminopsis* because they share a pattern of branching of CuA + CuPa, in which the first branch of M occurs near the origin of CuA (from M + CuA). The posterior branch is assumed to be the true MP, even if all branches of M share the same relief in these insects. MP is either lost in a network of cross-veins between MA and the anterior branch of CuA + CuPa or distally fused with this last vein, but still discernible. MA is branched distally. In the genera *Narkemina*  (excluding *N. winsdoriensis*), *Narkeminopsis*, *Paranarkemina* and *Amphiboliacridites*, the visible first branch of M is very distal, opposite the location of the first branch of MA in the other genera listed above. Thus, it is assumed that MP is lost in the area between MA and the anterior stem of CuA + CuPa in the last genera, or fused with the free part of CuA.

The most distal anterior branch of CuA+CuPa connected with the posterior branch of MA, which is present in the genera *Aetophlebia*, *Narkemina*, *Narkeminopsis*, *Paranarkemina* and *Amphiboliacridites*, is probably not MP emerging distally because this vein is distinctly convex at its origin, at least on the specimen PIN 3315/250 belonging to *Narkemina angustata* Martynov, 1930 (see Rasnitsyn 2002b: fig. 356).

The genus Aetophlebia and the genera with a nondiscernible MP share: (1) a fusion of the most distal anterior branch of CuA + CuPa with the posterior branch of MA; (2) a fusion of the anterior branch of MA with RP (occurring also in *Protodiamphipnoa* but variable). Also, the only visible vein corresponding to M is branched in a very distal position. In the absence of any argument about the location of MP, one can assume that a 'non-discernible MP' corresponds to a basal fusion of MP with CuA + CuPa.

## Genus BOULEITES Lameere, 1917

TYPE SPECIES. Bouleites latipennis Lameere, 1917.

DIAGNOSIS. Fore-wings: branches of ScP reticulated; base of RP distal to first ramification of CuA + CuPa; anterior branch of MA connected with RP (but variability unknown); area between R and anterior branch of CuA + CuPa wide (at least a quarter of wing width).

REMARKS. This genus is very poorly known because it has never been illustrated and it is not recorded in the compilation of Carpenter (1992).

The genus *Bouleites* is very close to *Aetophlebia* but differs from it in having more irregular ramifications and reticulations of the main veins, notably in the areas between the anterior wing margin and ScP and between branches of CuA+CuPa. It is also close to *Paranarkemina* but differs from that genus by its more distal origin of RP and the broader area between R and anterior branch of CuA+CuPa.

# Bouleites latipennis Lameere, 1917 (Fig. 21)

1917 Buleites latipennis Lameere: 175.

1922 Bouleites latipennis Handlirsch: 212.

DIAGNOSIS. By monotypy, that of the genus.

MATERIAL. Holotype: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle DHT-R51241.

OCCURRENCE. Commentry Basin, Allier, France; Stephanian B-C, Upper Carboniferous (Doubinger & Vetter 1985).

DESCRIPTION. Print of an almost complete specimen, head, median part of left fore-wing and apical part of fore-wings missing; only apical parts of hind-wings discernible. Right fore-wing: length about 64 mm, width 17.5 mm; ScA distinct from anterior wing margin; branches of ScP strongly reticulated; ScP reaching RA 40.7 mm distal to wing base;



Figure 21 Bouleites latipennis Lameere, 1917 (MNHN-DHT-R51241 holotype: France; Upper Carboniferous). (A) Reconstruction of the forewings and (B) photograph (combined, print). R, radius; AA2, second anterior anal. For all other abbreviations see the legend to Figure 1.

RA without well defined anterior branches; origin of RP 25.6 mm distal to wing base; RP without clear pattern of ramification, with at least 10 branches; basal half of M not discernible; basal to the origin of RP, in area between R and CuA + CuPa, presence of a network of cross-veins but not clearly discernible; in this area, presence of a 'vein', maybe MP, slightly stronger than others, reaching anterior branch of CuA+CuPa, between third and fourth branches of this last vein; MA forked 9.5 mm distal to origin of RP; anterior branch of MA connected to RP; branches of MA distally forked; CuA + CuPa with two main branches, internally pectinate; anterior branch with more branches than

posterior one; branches of CuA + CuPa irregularly branched and reticulated; most anterior branch of CuA+CuPa connected with posterior branch of MA; CuPb strongly concave and weak; AA1 simple, AA2 posteriorly pectinate; numerous cross-veins, generally reticulated or sigmoidal, distinct and straight in postero-distal part of wing.

REMARKS. The vein MP is not determinable for certain, but the occurrence of a fusion of the most distal anterior branch of CuA+CuPa with the posterior branch of MA and of a fusion of the anterior branch of MA with RP both support a 'non-discernible MP' interpretation (see above).

# CONCLUSIONS

This revision supports the 'archaeorthopterid' (and, consequently, 'orthopteroid') affinities of some 'protorthopterous' taxa, based on new examination of type material. These new assignments are mainly established on fore-wing venation, based on the typical course of CuA (fused with M, free, fused with a branch of CuP) and the branching pattern of CuP. These characters are highly suitable for supra-ordinal attribution because they are easy to recognise and are complex, minimising the risk of homoplasy.

The incompleteness of previous descriptions has led to erroneous generic and familial attributions. The most significant problems lie in the composition of the Ischnoneuridae *sensu* Carpenter (1992), in which the synonymies of the type genus are erroneous and the familial composition wrong: *Ischnoneura* is obviously related to the families Aetophlebiidae Handlisch, 1906 and Narkeminidae Pinto & Pinto de Ornellas, 1991, supporting the opinion of Rasnitsyn (2002b), *Ctenoptilus* is probably more closely related to *Eoblatta*, while *Mesoptilus* is a Strephocladidae (O.B., unpublished results). Thus, one has to question the reliability of some palaeobiodiversity studies that use counts of families based mainly on Carpenter's classification (Jarzembowski & Ross 1996; Labandeira & Sepkoski 1993), at least concerning the Late Palaeozoic period.

Our work suggests that the Archaeorthoptera were highly diverse during the Late Carboniferous period. At that time, this clade comprised the orders Orthoptera, Caloneurodea and probably Titanoptera (Gorochov 2001), encompassing a set of diverse taxa, some of them highly specialised, such as those having a reduced MP and forelegs with broadened femora. The Archaeorthoptera appears to have been a major component of the Late Carboniferous entomofauna, along with Palaeodictyopteroidea. It provides evidence of an evolutionary history longer than currently recorded.

Most taxa of the 'Protorthoptera' group *sensu* Carpenter (1992) are now confidently assigned either to the Archaeorthoptera or to the 'Grylloblattida' (Storozhenko 2002; Béthoux *et al.* 2005). These new data will serve as a basis for future work on the phylogenetic relationships in both of these groups, as well as of the whole 'Polyneoptera'.

# Acknowledgments

We thank two anonymous referees for their comments. We thank Dr Andrew Ross (NHM, London) for his help during the visit of one of us to the collections of the Natural History Museum (O.B., September 2002). We thank Dr Annie V. Dhondt and Dr Jacques Godefroid (RBINS, Brussels) for their help during the visit of one of us to the collections of the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (O.B., March 2003). We thank T. Oudoire (MGL, Lille, France) for his help during the visit of one of us in the collection of the Musée Géologique de Lille (O.B., May 2003). We thank Dr Philip D. Perkins (curatorial associate and collection manager of the Entomology Department of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, MA, USA) for the loan of the original negatives of Franck M. Carpenter. We thank the late Professor F. M. Carpenter for photographs of some specimens from Commentry. This work was supported by the following grants: 'Germaine Cousin' of the 'Société Entomologique de France' (2001, 2002), a SYS-resources grant (NHM; European Community, Access to Research Infrastructure Action of the Improving Human Research Potential Programme), an ABC-project grant (RBINS; European Community, Access to Research Infrastructure Action of the Improving Human Research Potential Programme) and grant UMR 5143 from the French CNRS.

# References

- Belayeva, N. V., Blagoderov, V. A., Dmitriev, V. Y., Eskov, K. Y., Gorochov, A. V., Ivanov, V. D., Kluge, N. Y., Kozlov, M. V., Lukashevich, E. D., Mostovski, M. B., Novokshonov, V. G., Ponomarenko, A. G., Popov, Y. A., Pritykina, L. N., Rasnitsyn, A. P., Shcherbakov, D. E., Sinitshenkova, N. D., Storozhenko, S. Y., Sukatsheva, I. D., Vishniakova, V. N., Vrsansky, P. & Zherikhin, V. V. 2002. *History of Insects*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 517 pp.
- Béthoux, O. 2003. Protophasma dumasii Brongniart, 1879, a link between Orthoptera and the 'dictyopterid' orders? Journal of Orthoptera Research 12: 57–62.
- & Nel, A. 2002. Venational pattern and revision of Orthoptera sensu nov. and sister groups. Phylogeny of Palaeozoic and Mesozoic Orthoptera sensu nov. Zootaxa 96: 1–88.
- —, & Lapeyrie, J. 2004. The extinct order Caloneurodea (Insecta, Pterygota, Panorthoptera): wing venation, systematics, and phylogenetic relationships. *Annales Zoologici* 54: 287–300.
- -, -, -, & Gand, G. 2005. New data on Paleozoic 'Grylloblattid' insects (Neoptera). Journal of Paleontology 79: 125–145.
- Bolton, H. 1922. A monograph of the fossil insects of the British Coal Measures. Part II. *Palaeontographical Society* 74: 81–156.
- 1925. Insects from the coal measures of Commentry. Oxford University Press, London, 56 pp.
- Brauckmann, C. & Hahn, G. 1980. Ein neuer Insektenfund aus dem Westfalium von Ibbenbüren (Westdeutschland). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 54: 301–312.
- —, Arillo, A. & Ortuno, V. M. 2001. A new Geraridae (Insecta, hemipteroid stem assemblage) from the Upper Carboniferous of La Magdalena (Leon, Northern Spain). *Boletin Geologico y Minero* 112: 57–62.
- Brongniart, C. 1879. On a New Genus of Orthopterous Insects of the Family Phasmidae (*Protophasma Dumasii*), from the Upper Coalmeasures of Commentry, Dept. Allier, France. *Geological Magazine*, (N.S.) (2) 6: 95–102.
- 1885. Les Insectes fossiles des terrains primaires. Coup d'oeil rapide sur la faune entomologique des terrains paléozoïques. Bulletin de la Société des Amis des Sciences naturelles de Rouen 1885: 50–68.
- 1893. Recherches pour servir à l'histoire des insectes fossiles des temps primaires précédées d'une étude sur la nervation des ailes des insectes. Bulletin de la Société d'Industrie Minérale de Saint-Etienne, (3) 7: 124–615.
- Carpenter, F. M. 1992. Superclass Hexapoda. Pp. xxii+655 in R. C. Moore & R. L. Kaesler (eds) *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology*. The Geological Society of America and the University of Kansas, Boulder.
- Doubinger, J. & Vetter, P. 1985. Le Stéphanien en France. Comptes rendus du Dixième Congrès International de Stratigraphie et de Géologie du Carbonifère (Madrid, 1983) 1: 45–54.
- Gorochov, A. V. 2001. On the higher classification of the Polyneoptera. Acta Geologica Leopoldensia 24: 11–56.
- & Rasnitsyn, A. P. 2002. Superorder Gryllidea Laicharting, 1781 (=Orthopteroidea Handlirsch, 1903). Pp. 293–303 in A. P. Rasnitsyn & D. L. J. Quicke (eds) *History of Insects*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Handlirsch, A. 1904. Les insectes houillers de la Belgique. Mémoires du Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 3: 1–20.
- —1906a. Revision of American Paleozoic insects. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 29: 661–820.

- —1919. Revision der paläozoischen Insekten. Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 96: 511–592.
- 1922. Fossilium Catalogus I: Animalia. Pars 16: Insecta palaeozoica.
   W. Junk, Berlin, 1–230 pp.
- —1937. Neue Untersuchungen über die fossilen Insekten mit Ergänzungen und Nachträgen sowie Ausblicken auf phylogenetische, palaeogeographische und allgemein biologische Probleme. I Teil. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien 48: 1–140.
- Jarzembowski, E. A. & Ross, A. J. 1996. Insect origination and extinction in the Phanerozoic. Pp. 65–78 in M. B. Hart (ed.) Biotic recovery from mass extinction events. Geological Society Special Publication, London.
- Kukalová-Peck, J. & Brauckmann, C. 1992. Most Paleozoic Protorthoptera are ancestral hemipteroids: major wing braces as clues to a new phylogeny of Neoptera (Insecta). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 70: 2452–2473.
- Labandeira, C. C. & Sepkoski, J. 1993. Insect diversity in the fossil record. *Science* 261: 310–315.
- Lameere, A. 1917. Révision sommaire des Insectes fossiles du Stéphanien de Commentry. Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris 23: 141–200.
- Langiaux, J. & Parriat, H. 1974. Faune entomologique du bassin de Blanzy-Montceau. 'La Physiophile', Société d'Etude des Sciences Naturelles et Historique de Montceau-les-Mines 81: 62– 74.
- Laurentiaux, D. 1949. Les insectes Cacurgidae du terrain houiller du Nord de la France. Annales de la Société Géologique du Nord, Lille 69: 55–65.
- Lewis, S. E. 1979. A new specimen of Insect (Protorthoptera: Narkemidae) from the Verdigris Formation (Pennsylvanian) of the West Central Missouri. *Journal of Paleontology* 53: 754–756.
- Martynov, A. V. 1930. Palaeozoic Insects from the Kuznetsk basin. Izvetiya Glavnogo Geologorazvedochnogo Upravleniya 49: 1221– 1248.
- Meunier, F. 1911. Nouveaux Insectes du houiller de Commentry. Bulletin du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle 17: 117–127.
- Pinto, I. D. & Pinto de Ornellas, L. P. 1980. Upper Carboniferous insects from Argentina. 2. Familia Narkemocacurgidae (Parapleocoptera). *Boletin de la Academia Nacional de Ciencias, Cordoba, Argentina* 53: 287–291.
- & 1991. Substitute names for the extinct Insecta families Narkemocacurgidae Pinto & Ornellas, 1978 and Cacurgonarkemidae Pinto, 1990. *Pesquisas* 18: 93.

- Pruvost, P. 1930. Description de la Faune Continentale du terrain houiller de la Belgique. Mémoires du Musée d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 44: 105–282.
- Rasnitsyn, A. P. 2002a. 2.2. Subclass Scarabaeona Laicharting, 1781.
  The winged insects (= Pterygota Lang, 1888). Pp. 75–83 in A. P. Rasnitsyn & D. L. J. Quicke (eds) History of Insects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Rasnitsyn, A. P. 2002b. 2.2.2. Infraclass Gryllones Laicharting, 1781.
  The grylloneans (= Polyneoptera Martynov, 1938). Pp. 254–262 in
  A. P. Rasnitsyn & D. L. J. Quicke (eds) *History of Insects*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Rasnitsyn, A. P. 2002c. 2.2.2.2. Superorder Perlidea Latreille, 1802
  (= Plecopteroidea Martynov, 1938). Pp. 276–278 in A. P. Rasnitsyn & D. L. J. Quicke (eds) *History of Insects*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Scudder, S. H. 1885. Palaeodictyoptera: on the affinities and classification of Paleozoic Hexapoda. *Memoirs of the Boston Society of Natural History* 3: 319–351.
- Sharov, A. G. 1961. Otryad Protoblattodea (Order Protoblattodea) in B. B. Rohdendorf, E. E. Becker-Migdisova, O. M. Martynova & A. G. Sharov (eds) Paleozojskoe nasekomye Kuznetskovo bassejna (Paleozoic insects from Kuznetsk basin). *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo* instituta, Akademiya Nauk SSSR 85: 157–164.
- Storozhenko, S. Y. 2002. 2.2.2.2.1. Order Grylloblattida Walker, 1914
  (= Notoptera, Crampton, 1915, = Grylloblattodea Brues et Melander, 1932, + Protorthoptera Handlirsch, 1906, = Paraplecoptera Martynov, 1925, + Protoperlaria Tillyard, 1928). Pp. 278–281 in A. P. Rasnitsyn & D. L. J. Quicke (eds) *History of Insects*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Schmidt, W. 1962. Neue Insekten aus dem rheinisch-westfalichen Oberkarbon. Fortschrift Geologische Rheinland und Westfalen, Krefeld 3: 819–860.
- Tilgner, E. 2001. The fossil record of Phasmida (Insecta: Neoptera). Insect Systematics and Evolution 31: 473–480.
- Van Beneden, P. J. & Coemans, E. 1867. Un insecte et un gastéropode pulmoné du terrain houiller. Bulletin de l'Académie Royale des Sciences, des Lettres et des Beaux-Arts de Belgique, Classe des Sciences 23: 384–401.
- Walker, E. M. 1914. A new species of Orthoptera forming a new genus and family. *Canadian Entomologist* 46: 93–99.
- Whalley, P. E. S. 1979. New species of Protorthoptera and Protodonata (Insecta) from the Upper Carboniferous of Britain, with a comment on the origin of wings. *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History* (*Geology*) 32: 85–90.