SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Temperature Range for the Formation of Sodalite-Bearing Assemblages

V. I. Ustinov*, A. R. Kotel'nikov**, and V. A. Grinenko*

*Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Kosygina 19, Moscow, 119991 Russia

e-mail: grinenko@geokhi.ru **Institute of Experimental Mineralogy, Russian Academy of Sciences, Institutskaya 4, Chernogolovka, Moscow oblast, 142432 Russia e-mail: kotelnik@iem.ac.ru Received December 27, 2004

DOI: 10.1134/S0016702906020091

Sodalites are framework aluminosilicates with the general formula Na₈Al₆Si₆O₂₄ · $(X)_{(1-2)}$, where *X* represents Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, SO₄²⁻, CO₃²⁻, OH⁻, and other anions. Sodalites usually crystallize in a cubic structure with space group *P*43*n* for chlorine-sodalite and *P*43*m* for sulfate-sodalite (nosean) [1]. Sodalite occurs in alkaline igneous rocks and, occasionally, in pegmatites. The greatest diversity of sodalite-group minerals was observed in the Lovozero alkaline massif, where hydroxyl-, chlorine-, and sulfate-bearing sodalites were found.

The extensive isomorphic substitutions in sodalites and the relatively wide occurrence of sodalite-bearing assemblages allow us to regard the sodalite solid solution as promising for the estimation of the temperature and fluid regime of mineral formation [2].

Owing to its composition, sodalite is a very important indicator of fluid behavior during mineral formation. The framework aluminosilicates sodalites are rock-forming minerals of alkaline igneous rocks, which are relatively common in the Earth's crust. Sodalitegroup minerals are characterized by anionic isomorphic substitutions, and their anionic composition records the geochemical features of petrogenesis and can be used for the estimation of the composition of mineral-forming fluids.

The attractiveness of sodalite as a target for geochemical studies emphasizes the importance of isotopic methods for the elucidation of the formation conditions of sodalite-bearing assemblages. The most promising for isotopic geochemical investigations is sulfate-sodalite (Na₈Al₆Si₆O₂₄SO₄), which has two oxygen-bearing functional groups providing an opportunity to study intracrystalline isotopic effects for the construction of a monomineralic geothermometer and determination of the mechanisms of mineral reactions [3]. The choice of sulfate-sodalite as a target for our

study extends the list of minerals used for intracrystalline studies; moreover, information on oxygen isotope shift between the silicate and sulfate functional groups is used for the first time in this case.

In order to evaluate isotopic effects, samples of sulfate-bearing sodalite were synthesized at various temperatures.

The initial materials were represented by gels of nepheline (500 mg) and natural Cl-bearing sodalite (5 mg as a seed). The starting solution was prepared by mixing high-purity Na₂SO₄ with distilled water. Experiments were carried out using hydrothermal pressure vessels with external heating and a cold seal (the accuracy of temperature and pressure control was \pm 5°C and \pm 50 bar, respectively). Platinum ampoules were used in all experiments. Oxygen fugacity was maintained by the Ni–NiO buffer. The conditions of sulfate-sodalite synthesis via the reaction

$$6NaAlSiO_{4}(gel Ne) + Na_{2}SO_{4}(aq)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Na_{8}Al_{6}Si_{6}O_{24}(SO_{4}) (Sod)$$

are given in Table 1.

The experimental products were examined by the immersion and X-ray diffraction methods. The compositions of synthetic sulfate-sodalites were determined

Table 1. Conditions and results of experiments on the synthesis of sulfate-sodalite

| Run no. | Tempera- ture, °C | Solution, mg | C, wt % Na ₂ SO ₄ | Duration, day |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 5615 ¹ | 400 | 700 | 30 | 29 |
| 5616 ² | 400 | 700 | 30 | 34 |
| 5708 ³ | 450 | 630 | 30 | 34 |
| 5717 ⁴ | 550 | 630 | 30 | 29 |
| 5715 ⁵ | 700 | 630 | 30 | 29 |

| Sample no. | $\delta^{18} O_{ m silicate},$ | $\delta^{18} O_{ m sulfate},$ %00 | $\Delta^{18} O_{ m sulfate-silicate}$ | t, °C | δ ³⁴ S, % ₀₀ |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|
| C-61 | +3.3 | +6.7 | +3.4 | 700 | +10.3 |
| C-82 | +0.2 | +4.3 | +4.1 | 540 | +8.4 |
| 904-14 | +1.6 | +4.3 | +2.7 | 770 | +6.7 |
| 904-22 | -3.7 | +0.8 | +4.5 | 530 | +5.8 |
| 56-15 | -3.2 | +4.0 | +7.2 | 400 | +8.1* |
| 56-16 | -3.5 | +3.5 | +7.0 | 400 | +8.1* |
| 57-08 | -3.1 | +2.5 | +5.6 | 450 | +8.3* |
| 57-17 | -2.2 | +1.7 | +3.9 | 550 | |
| 57-15 | -2.1 | +1.2 | +3.3 | 700 | |

Table 2. Isotopic compositions of oxygen and sulfur in sodalite

* δ^{34} S of residual solution is +8.7%.

by X-ray spectral microanalysis. Unit-cell refinement was performed for some samples. The X-ray diffraction patterns of sodalites were obtained on an HRZ-4 diffractometer in a continuous scanning mode. Spec-pure silicon (a = 5.43307 Å) was used as an internal standard. The refinement of unit-cell dimensions was performed on the basis of 10–17 reflections in the range 7– 39 (Θ). The parameters were calculated by the LCC, PUDI, and REFLAT programs. The electron microprobe analysis of synthetic sodalites showed that they correspond to the theoretical formula $Na_8Al_6Si_6O_{24}(SO_4)$.

The oxygen isotope analysis of sodalites included sulfate component extraction by sample decomposing with fluoric acid at 50°C for 12 h and subsequent precipitation of BaSO₄ from the solution. It was difficult to separate the barium sulfate from aluminum fluoride. However, using ClF₃ for mixture fluorination, we managed to extract the oxygen of $BaSO_4$ as O_2 for the mass spectrometer isotope analysis of oxygen. Oxygen



Temperature dependence of the intracrystalline isotopic effect, Δ^{18} O.

extracted from oceanic sulfate ($\delta^{18}O = +9.5\%$ SMOW) [4] by the same fluorination procedure was used as a standard. The isotopic composition of oxygen was measured on a MI-1201 mass spectrometer with a δ^{18} O precision of $\pm 0.2\%$. The same fluorination procedure was used for the analysis of the oxygen isotope composition of the bulk mineral, and the δ^{18} O value of the silicate group was calculated from mass balance.

In order to extract SO₂ from sulfates for sulfur isotope analysis, the mixture of $BaSO_4$, V_2O_5 , and SiO_2 in the proportion 1:3:3 was heated in a vacuum furnace at 1000°C for 15 min [5]. A copper wire was placed into the quartz tube in order to inhibit SO₃ formation. The mixture of released components, $SO_2 + H_2O + CO_2$, was separated by low-temperature distillation: SO₂ and CO₂ were separated from water at -78° C (alcohol and dry ice), and SO₂ was separated from CO₂ at -131° C (*n*-pentane melting). The precision of δ^{34} S measurement on the MI-1201 mass spectrometer was $\pm 0.5\%$ [6].

It is important to note that the conditions of sodalite synthesis and sulfate group extraction were chosen taking into account the kinetic parameters of oxygen isotope exchange in the SO_4^{2-} – H₂O system [7].

This paper reports the first reconnaissance results on oxygen isotope exchange between the silicate and sulfate constituents of natural and synthetic sodalites (Table 2).

As can be seen from Table 2, the difference in δ^{18} O between the sulfate and silicate constituents is 7.1% at 400°C, 5.6% at 450°C, 3.9% at 550°, and 3.2% at 700°C. There is a predictable decrease in $\Delta^{18}O_{sulfate-sili}$ cate with increasing temperature. Based on the established temperature dependence of $\Delta^{18}O_{sulfate-silicate}$ (figure), the following analytical equation was obtained for the intracrystalline geothermometer:

$$t, ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} = [0.943 \times 10^{6} / (\Delta^{18}\mathrm{O} - 1.1)]^{0.5}.$$
 (1)

The accuracy of temperature determination for sulfate sodalite formation is ±30°C at 400-550°C and ±50°C at 550–700°C.

There was almost no sulfur isotope fractionation (within 0.5%) during the formation of sulfate-sodalite, which allows the use of measured δ^{34} S values directly for determining the source of sulfur in fluids.

Table 2 presents the results of the isotopic analyses of sodalites from the nepheline syenites of the Lovozero alkaline massif (samples C-61, C-82, 904-14, and 904-22).

In order to reconstruct the formation conditions of the Lovozero alkaline massif, we investigated a number of sodalite-nepheline syenite samples from various levels of the section (from the eudialyte lujavrite and differentiated complexes of the massif). The sodalitenepheline syenites are medium- and coarse-grained light gray rocks with a massive structure and a poikilitic

| Sample no. | Assemblage | Geothermometers | <i>t</i> , °C range* | <i>t</i> , °C, isotope |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| C-61 | Sod-S + Sod-Cl + Ne + Ab + Fsp + Aeg + Avg + Bi | Fsp ¹ –Fsp ² Ne–Sod, Ne–Fsp | 800–420 | 700 |
| C-82 | Aeg + Fsp + Sod - S + Sod - Cl | Fsp^1 – Fsp^2 | 500-380 | 540 |
| 904-14 | | | | 750 |
| 904-22 | | | | 530 |

Table 3. Temperatures of formation of the sodalite--nepheline syenites of the Lovozero massif

* Obtained by the two-feldspar geothermometer at $P_s = 3$ kbar.

texture. The major minerals of the rock varieties studied are nepheline, feldspars (albite and potassium feldspar), clinopyroxenes (aegirine and aegirine-augite), alkali amphibole, and sodalite. The accessory minerals are titanite, apatite, carbonates, iron sulfides (FeS and FeS_2), titanomagnetite, and fluorite. In addition to aegirine, aegirine-augite with considerable amounts of Mg and Ca was also found. Some samples also contained biotite, which forms individual laths, intergrowths with aegirine-augite, and rims around aegirine-augite grains. Sodalite occurs mainly as euhedral grains and often forms aggregates and intergrowths. Sodalites of nosean composition were found in some samples; in addition, some assemblages contain chlorine- and sulfate-sodalites (Table 3). Estimation of the redox state of sulfur on the electron microprobe showed that sulfate sulfur is predominant in the sodalite of the Lovozero massif. Chlorine-sodalite was found as inclusions in nosean, which allowed us to suppose that there is an immiscibility field in the sodalite solid solution. The temperatures of formation of the sodalitenepheline syenite were estimated using various mineral geothermometers (Fsp-Ne, Fsp1-Fsp2, Sod-Ne, Sod-Fsp, and Bi-Cpx). In the case of the two-feldspar geothermometer, temperatures were determined for the pressure $P_s = 3$ kbar, which is probably the maximum possible volatile pressure under high-temperature conditions during the formation of intrusive complexes of such a type. The results of temperature estimation are given in Table 3.

The estimated temperatures vary from 880 to about \approx 400°C, which reflects various stages of crystallization and embraces the whole temperature range of mineral formation. A comparison of the isotopic data for natural sodalites and the results of mineral thermometry showed that all the sodalites were formed at temperatures of 530–750°C; these values correspond to the temperature interval determined by the two-feldspar geothermometer.

Natural sodalite samples show variable δ^{34} S values (from 5.8 to 10.3‰), which indicates a crustal source. The considerable variations in the sulfur isotope composition of high-temperature sodalites suggest a pulsed character of fluid influx.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 04-05-64706.

REFERENCES

- W. A. Deer, R. A. Howie, and J. Zussman, *Rock-forming Minerals*, Vol. 4: *Tectosilicates* (Longman, London, 1962; Mir, Moscow, 1966).
- A. R. Kotel'nikov, Z. A. Kotel'nikova, I. Romanenko, et al., "Stability of Sulfate–Sodalite (Nosean) under Hydrothermal Conditions," Geokhimiya, No. 9, 983– 991 (2004) [Geochem. Int. 42 (9), 862–869 (2004)].
- 3. V. I. Ustinov and A. A. Ulyanov, "Mineralogical Aspect of Intra-structural Isotopic Investigation of Natural Mineral Assemblages," Exp. Geosci. **5** (1), 71 (1996).
- V. I. Ustinov, "Methodical Aspect of Stable Isotope Geochemistry", in *Proceedings of the Seminar "Isotopic Geochemical Methods"* (Moscow, 1983), pp. 7–8 [in Russian].
- A. Haur, J. Hladikova, and V. Smeikal, "Procedure of Direct Conversation of Sulfate into SO₂ for Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Sulfur," Isotopenraxis 9, 329–331 (1973).
- 6. V. I. Ustinov and V. A. Grinenko, *Precision Mass Spectrometric Method for Studies of Sulfur Isotope Composition* (Nauka, Moscow, 1965) [in Russian].
- H. Ohmoto and A. C. Lasage, "Kinetics of Reactions between Aqueous Sulfates and Sulfides in Hydrothermal Systems," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 46, 1727–1746 (1982).