See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233654105

# REE-Sr-Ba minerals from the Khibina carbonatites, Kola Peninsula, Russia: Their mineralogy, paragenesis and evolution

Article in Mineralogical Magazine · April 1998 DOI: 10.1180/002646198547594



### Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



GREENPEG EU H2020 GA869274 View project

PROSPECTIVITY OF GRANITIC ROCKS AND ASSOCIATED SOILS FOR REE AND OTHER CRITICAL METALS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA View project

# REE-Sr-Ba minerals from the Khibina carbonatites, Kola Peninsula, Russia: their mineralogy, paragenesis and evolution

ANATOLY N. ZAITSEV

Department of Mineralogy, St Petersburg University, St Petersburg 199034, Russia

FRANCES WALL

Department of Mineralogy, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD

AND

MICHAEL J. LE BAS

Department of Geology, University of Southampton, Southampton Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH

## ABSTRACT

Carbonatites from the Khibina Alkaline Massif (360-380 Ma), Kola Peninsula, Russia, contain one of the most diverse assemblages of *REE* minerals described thus far from carbonatites and provide an excellent opportunity to track the evolution of late-stage carbonatites and their sub-solidus (secondary) changes. Twelve rare earth minerals have been analysed in detail and compared with literature analyses. These minerals include some common to carbonatites (e.g. Ca-rare-earth fluocarbonates and ancylite-(Ce)) plus burbankite and carbocernaite and some very rare Ba, *REE* fluocarbonates.

Overall the *REE* patterns change from light rare earth-enriched in the earliest carbonatites to heavy rare earth-enriched in the late carbonate-zeolite veins, an evolution which is thought to reflect the increasing 'carbohydrothermal' nature of the rock-forming fluid. Many of the carbonatites have been subject to sub-solidus metasomatic processes whose products include hexagonal prismatic pseudo-morphs of ancylite-(Ce) or synchysite-(Ce), strontianite and baryte after burbankite and carbocernaite. The metasomatic processes cause little change in the rare earth patterns and it is thought that they took place soon after emplacement.

KEYWORDS: Khibina, carbonatite, *REE*, burbankite, carbocernaite, metasomatism.

### Introduction

RARE-EARTH-RICH carbonatites, containing wt.% levels of *REE* and discrete *RE* minerals, are common as late-stage products in carbonatite complexes. Occasionally, they form the major part of the complex and may be of economic importance, e.g. at Mountain Pass (Olsen *et al.*, 1954), Vuoriyarvi (Kukharenko *et al.*, 1965), Verkhnesayanskii and Nizhnesayanskii (Somina, 1975), and Kangankunde (Wall and Mariano, 1996) but usually their volume is small in comparison with earlier carbonatites, e.g. Fen (Andersen, 1986), and Qaqarssuk (Knudsen, 1991). Rare earth-rich carbonatites usually

© 1998 The Mineralogical Society

contain high levels of Ba and also commonly Sr. The principal RE minerals in carbonaties are the phosphate, monazite-(Ce); the fluocarbonates, bastnäsite-(Ce), synchysite-(Ce), and parisite-(Ce); and the hydrated carbonate, ancylite-(Ce). Other rare earth minerals such as burbankite, carbocernaite, huanghoite-(Ce), calkinsite-(Ce) and fluocerite-(Ce) have been reported from a few carbonatite localities.

Carbonatites from the Khibina alkaline massif, Kola Peninsula, Russia, (Minakov and Dudkin, 1974; Minakov *et al.*, 1981) present a good opportunity for a comprehensive study of the mineralogy and petrogenesis of *RE*-rich carbonatites. Taken from drillcore, the carbonatites are fresh, coarse-grained, and consist of a sequence of early to late carbonatites that contain high levels of *REE*, Sr and Ba.

The aims of our study are twofold: (i) to present mineralogical data on Khibina *REE*-Sr-Ba minerals because little information on many of these minerals is available in the literature and (ii) to determine the history of crystallization and subsequent alteration of the Khibina minerals.

# General geology and geochemistry of the Khibina carbonatites

The Khibina alkaline massif is located in the central part of the Kola Alkaline Province which consists of 24 ultrabasic-alkaline-carbonatite complexes of Devonian age. According to Rb-Sr dating, the age of the Khibina massif ranges from 377 to 362 Ma (Kramm *et al.*, 1993; Kramm and Kogarko, 1994). The Khibina massif is a concentrically zoned pluton, consisting of ultrabasic (peridotite, pyroxenite), alkaline silicate (nepheline syenite, foidolite) and apatite-nepheline rocks and carbonatites. Its general geology, geochemistry and mineralogy have been described in numerous publications (e.g. Khomyakov, 1995; Kogarko *et al.*, 1995).

Zaitsev (1996, Fig. 1) gives a general map of the Khibina massif that shows the location of carbonatite drill holes. A Sr and Nd isotope study has been made by Zaitsev and Bell (in preparation).

The carbonatites form a stockwork (Zaitsev, 1996) in which it is possible to distinguish early calcite carbonatites (C I) and later calcite, manganoan ankerite-calcite and ferroan rhodochrosite-manganoan siderite carbonatites (C II). The later carbonatites account for about 90% by volume of the Khibina carbonatite series and occur as veins 1 cm to 6 m wide. Other rocks which are not carbonatites *sensu stricto*, but are genetically connected with them, are represented by phoscorite (BAA) and carbonate-zeolite rocks (CZ III). The silicate country rocks (ijolite, foyaite, olivine melanephelinite and phonolite) hosting the carbonatites are intensively fenitized, and consist of albite, biotite and calcite.

Representative whole-rock major and trace element analyses for the carbonatites are given in Table 1. In terms of the major oxides (CaO, MgO, FeO and MnO), the Khibina carbonatites can be subdivided into calciocarbonatites and ferrocarbonatites (Fig. 1*a*) and show a progressive increase in Fe and Mn from the oldest C I to the




FIG. 1. Plots showing the compositional range of the Khibina carbonatites which (*a*) classify as calcio- and ferrocarbonatites according to Woolley and Kempe (1989) but (*b*) sometimes contain more Mn than Fe and may be classified as manganocarbonatites.

Rock Sample	C I 632B/1934	C I 632B/1960	C II-1 633/477.7	C II-1 603/165.5	C II-2 604/454	C II-2 603/225	C II-3 603/89	C II-3 604/90
SiO <sub>2</sub> wt.%	4.81	3.68	0.64	0.19	0.44	0.87	1.88	2.09
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.64	0.22	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.08
$Al_2 \tilde{O}_3$	0.88	0.49	0.25	0.06	0.10	0.29	0.69	0.70
FeO <sub>T</sub>	4.99	3.02	3.79	2.29	5.64	8.09	19.14	22.97
MnO	0.37	0.39	1.56	0.85	5.86	11.39	12.78	12.60
MgO	1.20	0.97	0.41	0.35	2.05	3.72	3.97	2.35
CaO	46.50	45.95	32.52	35.12	28.70	24.59	12.13	18.40
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.36	0.59	3.09	1.68	0.14	0.22	0.21	0.25
$K_2O$	1.45	0.38	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.03	0.55	0.69
$P_2O_5$	1.25	1.67	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.91
S	0.32	0.20	0.73	0.53	0.74	0.41	1.59	1.12
F	0.09	0.19	0.06	0.41	0.84	1.03	0.21	0.12
Cl	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01
-O=S,F2,Cl2	0.20	0.18	0.39	0.44	0.73	0.65	0.88	0.61
Total*	64.79	59.57	61.02	62.92	58.21	62.19	58.16	65.16
Rb ppm	37	25	bd	bd	bd	bd	5	9
Ва	1343	1268	13102	10205	11380	10990	28374	1280
Th	13	10	127	103	44	17	84	76
Nb	416	515	bd	10	15	28	112	86
La	437	492	28384	35565	18589	22891	2540	4064
Ce	955	1078	37990	50727	31341	37558	6823	8868
Sr	13449	12307	63819	73004	20495	16174	5533	7017
Nd	403	418	7969	10620	6395	8852	2814	3099
Zr	184	135	bd	5	bd	bd	7	20
Y	62	44	113	137	98	52	43	102
Sc	40	8	56	28	21	32	19	21
V	96	112	bd	14	25	bd	201	156
Cr	22	40	bd	15	10	bd	286	4
Со	20	18	23	14	19	bd	40	4
Cu	34	27	76	39	28	47	84	45
Ni	4	6	24	18	20	24	15	16
Zn	76	44	57	120	995	2354	1850	3073

TABLE 1. Representative whole-rock and trace element analyses of the Khibina carbonatites

FeO<sub>T</sub> - total iron as FeO. \* - including trace elements as oxides. bd - below detection

Whole-rock analyses were made on fusion beads for major elements and powder pellets for trace-elements by X-ray fluorescence analysis at the University of Leicester using a Philips PW1400 X-ray spectrometer.  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  not analysed

youngest CII-3 carbonatites. However, some ferrocarbonatites contain more Mn than Fe and they can be classified as manganocarbonatites with CaO/(CaO + MgO + FeO + Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + MnO) less than 0.8, MgO<(FeO+MnO) and MnO>FeO (Table 1 and Fig. 1*b*). The manganocarbonatites contain up to 12.8 wt.% MnO and contain Mnbearing carbonates, such as manganoan ankerite, ferroan kutnohorite, ferroan rhodochrosite and manganoan siderite (Zaitsev, 1996). Other

unusual chemical characteristics of the Khibina carbonatites include the following:

1. Some carbonatites contain burbankite or carbocernaite (C II-1) and are characterized by high Na contents  $(1.20-4.94 \text{ wt.\% Na}_2\text{O})$ , but contain no Na-bearing silicates such as pyroxene, amphibole or feldspar.

2. Synchysite-(Ce)-bearing carbonatites (C II-2) have high F contents (0.76-1.28 wt.%) whereas other late carbonatites (C II-1 and C II-3)

have low F contents. However, the intensive fluoritization of wall rocks around the carbonatites which now have low amounts of F suggests that the initial F content was higher.

3. The late carbonatites (C II) have high BaO (0.81–3.22 wt.%), SrO (0.61–8.65 wt.%) and light *REE* (1.25–11.35 wt.% La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and these elements become major components of these carbonatites.

Multi-element variation diagrams for the Khibina carbonatite samples (Fig. 2), normalized to primordial mantle of Wood *et al.* (1979), show that the general chemical features of the Khibina carbonatites are similar to those described for most carbonatites (e.g. Nelson *et al.*, 1988; Clarke *et al.*, 1992). The early calcite carbonatites (C I) have comparable element variation patterns to average data for the calciocarbonatites of Woolley and Kempe (1989), although the Khibina samples show slightly higher Sr (Fig. 2*a*). The Sr is hosted by calcite which contains 1.1-1.8 wt.% SrO (Zaitsev, 1996).

The element variation patterns for the late carbonatites C II (Fig. 2b) suggest that these carbonatites have undergone fractional crystallization similar to that shown by Clarke *et al.* (1992). The plot shows a depletion in La, Ce, Nd and Sr normalized values from the relatively early-formed calcite carbonatites with burbankite or carbocernaite (C II-1) to the late manganoan ankerite-calcite carbonatites with synchysite-(Ce) (C II-2), and to the latest ferroan rhodochrosite-manganoan siderite carbonatites with synchysite-(Ce) or cordylite-(Ce) (C II-3).

Although the normalized Ba values are similar in the C II-1 and C II-2 carbonatite types, they show wide variation in C II-3 carbonatites. Some of the C II-3 carbonatites are depleted in Ba compared to C II-1 and C II-2 types and they contain synchysite-(Ce) as a minor or accessory mineral. However, most of C II-3 carbonatites are enriched in Ba and contain cordylite-(Ce) as a major mineral.

#### Analytical methods

The identification and description of *REE*-Sr-Ba minerals is often difficult due to their complex chemical compositions, with a wide variety of solid solutions and some polymorphs. As a result, they have variable physical properties, infra-red and X-ray diffraction patterns. Other difficulties arise from the limitations of electron microprobe analysis including the inability to determine H<sub>2</sub>O




FIG. 2. Multi-element variation diagrams for (a) early and (b) late Khibina carbonatites, normalized to primordial mantle of Wood *et al.* (1979). Calcio- and ferrocarbonatites are average values from Woolley and Kempe (1989).

and  $CO_2$ , loss of Na and F under the electron beam, and the high interference corrections for Ba and the *REE*. In addition, *RE* mineral data available from the literature are commonly incomplete and sometimes inconsistent. These facts prompted us to use as many different techniques as possible for the mineralogical investigation of the *REE*-Sr-Ba minerals from the Khibina carbonatites.

Identification of minerals was based on optical examination, IR-spectroscopy (UR-20 Carl Zeiss spectrophotometer) and X-ray diffraction (RKU camera - 57.3 mm diameter and Fe- $K\alpha$  radiation, 114.6 mm diameter and Cr- $K\alpha$  radiation). Electron microprobe analyses were made using a Cameca SX 50 wavelength-dispersive electron microprobe at The Natural History Museum, London, after preliminary work had been carried out on a Hitachi S2500 scanning electron microscope equipped with a Link AN10/55S energy-dispersive analysis system. The Cameca was operated at 15 kV and 10–20 nA, with spot sizes, as large as possible, up to 30  $\mu$ m in order to minimize beam damage. Individual *REE* calcium aluminium silicate glasses obtained from the University of Edinburgh were used as standards for the *REE*; jadeite was used for Na, wollastonite and apatite for Ca, celestine for Sr and barium fluoride for Ba and F. Detailed information about conditions of microprobe analyses is available from Williams (1996).

#### Mineralogy and mineral chemistry

At least 17 minerals containing *REE*, Sr and/or Ba as major elements have been identified in the late carbonatites (C II) and the carbonate-zeolite rocks (CZ III) (Table 2). The mineralogy and paragenesis of these, and the associated minerals, is summarized in Table 3. This table also shows the sequence of formation of phoscorites, carbonatites and carbonate-zeolite rocks in the Khibina massif.

# Alkaline Sr-Ca-REE-Ba carbonates: burbankite and carbocernaite

The alkaline Sr-Ca-*REE*-Ba carbonates, burbankite and carbocernaite, are rock-forming minerals in the calcite carbonatites (C II-1) (Table 3). Field relationships show that the carbonatites with burbankite and carbocernaite are the earliest of the C II group of carbonatites (Zaitsev, 1996).

Burbankite, a rare mineral known from several carbonatite complexes, always occurs in latestage carbonatites. It has been described from the Bearpaw Mountains (Pecora and Kerr, 1953), Vuoriyarvi (Kukharenko *et al.*, 1965; Kapustin, 1980), Ozernyi (Zdorik, 1966), Arbarastakh (Zhabin *et al.*, 1971), Nizhnesayanskii (Somina, 1975), Chipman Lake (Platt and Woolley, 1990) and Qaqarssuk (Knudsen, 1991).

Burbankite is a double carbonate with the general formula  $A_3B_3(CO_3)_5$ , where the *A*-site is occupied by Na and Ca and the *B*-site contains Sr, *REE*, Ba and Ca (Effenberger *et al.*, 1985). There are only seven wet chemical analyses of burbankite from carbonatites, made more than 25 years ago (Pecora and Kerr, 1953; Kukharenko *et al.*, 1965; Zdorik, 1966; Zhabin *et al.*, 1971; Kapustin, 1980) and twelve electron microprobe analyses published recently (Effenberger *et al.*, 1985; Platt and Woolley, 1990; Knudsen, 1991).

TABLE 2. Minerals containing *REE*, Sr and/or Ba as major elements identified in Khibina late carbonatites (C II) and carbonate-zeolite rocks (CZ III)

Mineral	Formula
burbankite	(Na,Ca) <sub>3</sub> (Sr,Ba,Ce) <sub>3</sub> (CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>
carbocernaite	$(Na,Ca)(Sr,Ce,Ba)(CO_3)_2$
ancylite-(Ce)	SrCe(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (OH)·H <sub>2</sub> O
synchysite-(Ce)	Ca(Ce,La)(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> F
parisite-(Ce)	$Ca(Ce,La)_2(CO_3)_3F_2$
bastnäsite-(Ce)	(Ce,La)(CO <sub>3</sub> )F
cordylite-(Ce)	$Ba(Ce,La)_2(CO_3)_3F_2$
cebaite-(Ce)	$Ba_3(Ce,La)_2(CO_3)_5F_2$
kukharenkoite-(Ce)	$Ba_2Ce(CO_3)_3F$
mckelveyite-(Y)	Ba <sub>3</sub> Na(Ca,U)Y(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O
ewaldite	$Ba(Ca, Y, Na, K)(CO_3)_2$
donnayite-(Y)	Sr <sub>3</sub> NaCaY(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O
edingtonite	BaAl <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O
harmotome	$(Ba,K)_{1-2}(Si,Al)_8O_{16}\cdot 6H_2O$
strontianite	SrCO <sub>3</sub>
	BaSO <sub>4</sub>
baryte	$BaCa(CO_3)_2$
barytocalcite	DaCa(CO3)2

These data show a large variation in major oxides: Na<sub>2</sub>O (4.04–13.89 wt.%), SrO (6.17–32.60 wt.%), CaO (9.81–21.09 wt.%),  $\Sigma REE_2O_3$  (2.50–23.00 wt.%) and BaO (1.85–14.60 wt.%). Wet chemical analyses of burbankite can show high contents of Si, Al and S but these are probably the result of admixtures. Published microprobe analyses have high cation deficiencies in the *A*-site (up to 0.65 per formula unit), attributed to the loss of Na under the electron beam (Platt and Woolley, 1990), or have high totals with calculated CO<sub>2</sub> (108.26–113.49 wt.%) (Knudsen, 1991) which also indicate possible analytical problems.

Average chemical compositions of the Khibina burbankite determined by wet chemical analysis of 2 g of pure hand-picked burbankite and approximately 40 electron microprobe analyses are given in Table 4. The wet chemical and microprobe results are in good agreement and indicate that the burbankite is relatively low in Ba compared with other carbonatites. The one

I ABLE 3. MINETAIOGY AND PARAGENESIS OF NAIDHIA ADD-51-DA IIIRIETAIS	BAA phoscorite-biotite-aegirine-apatite No primary rare carth minerals	CI early calcite carbonatite No primary rare earth minerals	C II-1 late calcite carbonatite with burbankite or carbocernaite Primary phases	BurbankiteCarbocernaite $10-50\%$ volume, yellow-brown in freshly broken hand specimens. Usually large, hexagonal prismatic crystals (5–50 mm long $\times 1-25$ mm diameter). Sometimes grow in from, and about perpendicular to, the contact of the veins. Distribution is heterogeneous. Also as drop-like inclusions (30-200 µm) in calcite associated with euhedral zoned apatite (Fig. 3a).Carbocernaite Carbocernaite In-50% volume N.B. does not occur with burbankite. Lemon yellow in hand specimen. Isometric grains $1-5$ mm diameter in distinct clusters	AlterationEither of two alteration assemblages can completely pseudomorphCarbocernaite is often partlyburbankite, preserving its prismatic, hexagonal crystal form (Fig.3b).1) Replacement assemblage of ancylite-(Ce), strontianite, and lessinte-(Ce), strontianite, condy-burbankite, usually colourless anhedral crystals but3b).1) Replacement assemblage of ancylite-(Ce), strontianite, and lessinte-(Ce), strontianite, condy-burbankite, usually colourless, anhedral crystals but3b).condy-burbankite, preserving its prismatic, hexagonal crystal form (Fig.3b).condy-burbankite, preserving its prismatic, not burbankite, preserving its prismatic, not burbankite, preserving its prismatic, hexagonal crystal form (Fig.3b).1) Replacement assemblage of ancylitie-(Ce), strontianite, and lessinte-(Ce), strontianite, condy-burbankite, preserving its prismatic colourless, anhedral, 0.1-1 mm	baryte. Carbocernaite usually preserved in central parts of the former crystals.
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

230

 $T^{\rm ABLE}$  3. Mineralogy and paragenesis of Khibina REE-Sr-Ba minerals

rbonatite with synchysite-(Ce)	Synchysite-(Ce) which is not intergrown is a major rock-forming mineral. White, yellow (usually with calcite) or red (usually with ferroan kutnohorite) tabular crystals, $0.1-3$ µm diameter. Often irregular to radiating agregates.	en as stellate, <i>Strontianite</i> usually occurs as mm grains or colourless, subhedral crystals up to 2 mm diameter.	ankerite with synchysite-(Ce) or cordylite-(Ce)	<i>Mckelveyite-(Y), ewaldite,</i> and <i>don-Strontianite,</i> primary, <i>nayite-(Y)</i> in cavities. Yellow or usually colourless, white crystals, various habits from subhedral crystals up tabular, columnar to barrel-shaped. to 2 mm. Some crystals are hemimorphic.	<i>Edingtonite</i> and <i>harmotome</i> are common accessory minerals. Usually, euhedral crystals, up to 2 mm, in cavities.	n <i>Strontianite</i> , usually col- <i>Baryte</i> , usually colourless, anhe- ourless anhedral crystals. dral, 0.1–1 mm grains.
C II-2 late manganoan ankerite-calcite carbonatite with synchysite-(Ce) Primary phases	Syntaxial intergrowths (Donnay and Donnay, 1953) of synchysite- (Ce), parisite, and bastnäsite-(Ce) (Fig. $4a$ ) Intergrowths are very fine $-1-20 \mu m$ wide and $50-500 \mu m$ long. Associated with strontianite. tabular	<i>Kukharenkoite-(Ce)</i> , as late-stage $0.01-1$ mm prismatic crystals in tiny cavities. Often as stellate, dendritic or irregular intergrowths up to 3 mm diameter. Rarely as anhedral, $0.05-0.2$ mm grains or platy $0.1-2$ mm crystals. Associated with cordylite-(Ce), strontianite, and rarely mckelveyite-(Y).	C II-3 late carbonatites-ferroan rhodochrosite-manganoan ankerite with synchysite-(Ce) or cordylite-(Ce) Primary phases	<i>Synchysite-(Ce)</i> sometimes present in fine <i>Cordylite-(Ce)</i> , is a typical mineral. syntaxial intergrowths with parisite-(Ce). White or yellow tabular crystals, 0.1–1 mm and sometimes up to 5 mm, either single or in aggregates.	Kukharenkoite-(Ce) (See above)	Alteration Vein-like aggregates of <i>synchysite-(Ce), strontianite, baryte</i> and <i>bastnäsite-(Ce)</i> replace the syntaxial intergrowth in some rocks.

231

TABLE 3 (contd)

REE-SR-BA MINERALS

CZ III-1	carbonate-zeolite veins	carbonate-zeolite veins-manganoan siderite-manganoan ankerite-natrolite	an ankerite-natrolite	
Synchysite-(Ce) sometimes present	<i>Cordylite-(Ce)</i> is a typical mineral. White or yellow tabular crystals, 0.1–1 mm and sometimes up to 5 mm, either single or in aggregates. 2 varieties (Fig. 4b).	<i>Cebaite-(Ce)</i> , found in cavities as yellow, white or colourless crystals up to 0.2 mm. Dendritic, stellate or irregular intergrowths. Commonly associated with mckelveyite-(Ce), cordylite and strontianite.	Mckelveyite-(Y), ewal- dite and donnayite-(Y) see above.	<i>Edingtonite</i> and <i>har-motome</i> common accessory minerals. Usually, euhedral crystals, up to 2 mm, in cavities.
Kukharenkoite-(Ce) (See above)	<i>Strontianite</i> with cordylite- (Ce), primary	Baryte, often short, prismatic crystals in cavities	als in cavities	
CZ II	I-2 carbonate-zeolite v	CZ III-2 carbonate-zeolite veins-manganoan siderite-nordstrandite-natrolite	trandite-natrolite	
Cordylite-(Ce) is a 'typical' mineral. White or yellow tabular crystals, $0.1-1$ mm and sometimes up to 5 mm, either single or in aggregates.	Mckelveyite-(Y), ewaldite and donnayite-(Y)	Barytocalcite, euhedral or sub- hedral, 1–2 mm white crystals in cavities. Identification based on XRD and IR spectra.	<i>Strontianite</i> with cor- dylite-(Ce), primary	Baryte, often short, An prismatic crystals in Ty cavities.
CZ	111-3	carbonate-zeolite veins-natrolite-manganoan siderite-dawsonite	erite-dawsonite	
Mckelveyite-(Y), ewaldite and donnayite-(Y)	<i>Strontianite</i> with cordylite- (Ce), primary	Baryte, often short, prismatic crystals in cavities.	als in cavities.	

A. N. ZAITSEV ETAL.

Rock Sample	607/277	633/47	7.7	C II- 633/47		581/0	13	633B/	79.5	
p	••••	large cr		drop-like in		large cr		large ci	large crystal	
		mean (9)	sd	mean (4)	sd	mean (10)	sd	mean (9)	sd	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	12.45	11.93	0.13	11.80	0.27	12.60	0.44	12.03	0.85	
CaŌ	12.60	11.94	0.53	12.57	0.54	10.20	0.49	10.08	1.22	
SrO	19.60	24.74	0.31	24.57	0.38	18.38	0.61	17.71	0.92	
BaO	0.78	1.76	0.12	1.86	0.04	2.52	0.49	6.65	0.47	
$La_2O_3$	5.20	5.65	0.14	5.11	0.25	7.05	0.30	4.77	0.26	
$Ce_2O_3$	9.91	7.15	0.16	6.97	0.28	11.06	0.41	9.26	0.43	
$Pr_2O_3$	0.64	0.47	0.04	0.47	0.05	0.80	0.10	0.88	0.07	
$Nd_2O_3$	1.53	1.24	0.10	1.33	0.03	2.53	0.28	3.11	0.31	
$Sm_2O_3$	0.05	b.d.		b.d.		0.31	0.11	0.39	0.12	
$Gd_2O_3$	b.d.	b.d.		b.d.		b.d.		0.20	0.07	
ThO <sub>2</sub>	n.d.	b.d.		b.d.		b.d.		0.16	0.10	
$CO_2$	35.40	34.68		34.79		34.21		33.38		
Total	99.76*	99.56		99.47		99.66		98.62		
Calculated	to 5 $(CO_3)^{2-1}$	groups								
Na	2.508	2.442		2.408		2.615		2.558		
Ca	0.338	0.498		0.529		0.267		0.345		
A site total	2.846	2.941		2.937		2.882		2.903		
Sr	1.181	1.514		1.499		1.141		1.126		
Ca	1.065	0.852		0.889		0.903		0.840		
La	0.199	0.220		0.198		0.278		0.193		
Ce	0.377	0.276		0.268		0.433		0.372		
Pr	0.024	0.018		0.018		0.031		0.035		
Nd	0.056	0.047		0.050		0.097		0.122		
Sm	0.003					0.011		0.015		
Gd								0.007		
Ba	0.032	0.073		0.077		0.106		0.286		
Th								0.004		
B site total C	3.000** 5.021	3.000		3.000		3.000		3.000		

TABLE 4. Chemical composition of burbankite at Khibina

Sample 607/277 - wet chemical analysis; other samples - electron microprobe analyses.

sd - standard deviation.

\* - including MgO 0.02, K2O 0.02, MnO 0.07, FeO 0.42, SiO2 0.20, F 0.04 and H2O 0.85, all wt.%.

\*\* - including Mn 0.006, Fe 0.037 and Si 0.021.

b.d. - below detection limits. Mg, Mn, Fe, Y and F are below detection limits in the microprobe analyses.  $CO_2$  in microprobe analyses calculated by stoichiometry. n.d. – not determined.

exception (sample 633B/79.5) has 6.65 wt.% BaO. There is no zoning in Khibina burbankite crystals and the compositions are also uniform within each rock sample.

There are two morphological types of burbankite, large prismatic crystals and small drop-like inclusions within calcite crystals and along their boundaries (Fig. 3a, Table 3). Although their compositions are the same (Table 4), the difference in morphology suggests they may have formed by different processes. Large euhedral prismatic crystals could have crystallized at an early stage of carbonatite formation, and sometimes large burbankite crystals are observed near the contact of veins that are characterized by a crustification texture (veinfilling). The drop-like burbankite inclusions in calcite probably did not form by exsolution of




FIG. 3. Backscattered electron images (BEI) of (a) large crystals and drop-like inclusions of burbankite (white) in calcite (black); (b) a contact print of a thin section containing a pseudomorph of synchysite-(Ce), strontianite and baryte after burbankite,. The width of the burbankite pseudomorph is 9 mm. C II-1 calcite carbonatite, sample 603/26.5; (c) zoned altered burbankite (grey and dark-grey) with ancylite-(Ce) veins (white), C II-1 calcite carbonatite, sample 633B/79.5 (d) relics of burbankite (dark grey) in strontianite (grey) and synchysite-(Ce) (white).

burbankite from calcite rich in Na, Sr and *REE* as might be thought at first from Fig. 3a, and as proposed for a similar occurrence by Knudsen (1991), because the burbankite crystals are associated with euhedral zoned apatites. They are more likely to be the result of 'cotectic' crystallization of burbankite and calcite after the precipitation of the apatite.

Carbocernaite has been found in many Khibina carbonatite samples (Table 3). It was described originally as a primary mineral crystallized at a late stage of carbonatite formation at Vuorijarvi, Kola Peninsula (Bulakh *et al.*, 1961). Zdorik (1966) and Kapustin (1980) found that carbocernaite in carbonatites from the Ozernyi and Vuoriyarvi massifs occurs as a secondary mineral after burbankite. The most unusual occurrence of carbocernaite is the exsolution lamellae and cotectic textures for carbocernaite and calcite in Sarnu-Dandali carbonatite described by Wall *et al.* (1993). Carbocernaite is also reported from carbonatite in the Si Pan Range, Vietnam (Bulakh and Izokh, 1966).

Carbocernaite, like burbankite, is a double carbonate with a general formula  $AB(CO_3)_2$  with Na and Ca in the A-site and Sr, REE and Ba in the B-site. There are few studies of the chemical composition of carbocernaite. Before the detailed investigation of Wall et al. (1993) only a few wet chemical analyses were published (Bulakh et al., 1961; Bulakh and Izokh, 1966; Zdorik, 1966; Kapustin, 1980). Although available data for carbocernaite show some variation in the content of major elements, there is less variation than in burbankite. The Na<sub>2</sub>O values range from 2.43 to 6.12 wt.%, the BaO from 0.95 to 5.96, the SrO from 5.58 to 23.98, the CaO from 15.93 to 19.27 and the  $\Sigma REE_2O_3$  from 17.06 to 26.31, all wt.%. In general the compositional variations in burbankite and carbocernaite are similar, but carbocernaite contains less Na<sub>2</sub>O (commonly about 4-5 wt.%) compared with burbankite (commonly about 10-12 wt.%). This difference in sodium content makes it possible to identify carbocernaite and burbankite on the basis of electron microprobe analyses.

Selected average compositions of carbocernaite from Khibina are given in Table 5. These data show that carbocernaite from the Khibina carbonatites is a low Ba variety. Khibina carbocernaite, like burbankite, is not zoned.

Harris (1972) reported high F (3.86 wt.%) in carbocernaite from Sturgeon Narrows, Canada, and proposed that F together with OH could be

TABLE 5. Chemical composition of carbocernaite at Khibina

Rock		C II-	·1			
Sample	603/	165	603/2	603/287		
	mean (	12) sd	mean (10) sd			
Na <sub>2</sub> O	4.98	0.18	4.33	0.42		
CaÕ	11.94	0.42	13.60	0.33		
SrO	22.33	0.76	20.20	0.27		
BaO	0.37	0.13	0.56	0.07		
$La_2O_3$	9.27	0.61	10.53	0.33		
$Ce_2O_3$	13.19	0.51	14.19	0.30		
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.97	0.09	0.94	0.08		
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.34	0.25	2.56	0.19		
$Sm_2O_3$	0.14	0.05	0.32	0.04		
F	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.03		
CO <sub>2</sub>	32.79		33.79			
$-O=F_2$	0.04		0.06			
Total	98.36		101.10			
Calculated t	o 2 (CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> groups				
Na	0.431		0.364			
Ca	0.571		0.632			
A site total	1.003		0.996			
Sr	0.578		0.508			
La	0.153		0.168			
Ce	0.216		0.225			
Pr	0.016		0.015			
Nd	0.037		0.040			
Sm	0.002		0.005			
Ba	0.007		0.010			
B site total	1.008		0.971			
F	0.014		0.019			

Mg, Mn, Fe, Gd, Y, Th and U are below detection limits CO<sub>2</sub> calculated by stoichiometry

additional anion group  $(F,OH)_2$  in the mineral formula. Our data show that the F content of Khibina carbocernaite is near the electron microprobe detection limit of about 0.1 wt.% and IR investigations show an absence of any absorption band related to OH<sup>-</sup> group or H<sub>2</sub>O. It is possible that the mineral described from the Sturgeon Narrows is a different mineral species. The lack of Na in Sturgeon Narrows carbocernaite also supports our conclusion.

In the Khibina carbonatite samples burbankite and carbocernaite do not occur together so it has not been possible to establish their relative ages. However, both burbankite and carbocernaitecontaining carbonatites are cut by later C II-2 and C II-3 carbonatites.

#### Alteration of burbankite and carbocernaite

Burbankite in carbonatites is commonly replaced by ancylite-(Ce), strontianite and baryte (Somina, 1975; Kapustin 1980) and rarely by ancylite-(Ce), calkinsite, lanthanite and baryte (Pecora and Kerr, 1953). Multi-stage alteration of burbankite from the Ozernyi carbonatites has been documented by Zdorik (1966) where carbocernaite, strontianite, bastnäsite-(Ce), calcite, baryte, allanite-(Ce), monazite-(Ce) and epidote have been identified as alteration products of burbankite.

In the Khibina carbonatites, burbankite and carbocernaite are commonly replaced by various combinations of ancylite-(Ce), strontianite, synchysite-(Ce), baryte; less commonly by cordylite-(Ce) and rarely by harmotome and edingtonite (Table 3). Even when burbankite is completely replaced, evidence of its existence is preserved in the form of hexagonal prismatic pseudomorphs (Fig. 3b) and islands of relict burbankite (Fig. 3cand d). Pseudomorphs of a similar form have been mentioned from some other rare earth-rich carbonatites, e.g. Gem Park, Wigu Hill, Kangankunde (Wall and Mariano, 1996) but in these cases there is no evidence of the precursor mineral. The presence of primary and replaced burbankite at Khibina is good evidence that the pseudomorphs reported from these other carbonatites may well have originally been burbankite.

#### Ancylite

Ancylite-(Ce) is a hydrous carbonate containing *REE* and Sr as major cations. On the basis of a structural study of material from the Mont Saint-Hilaire massif, its formula has been proposed as  $REE_x(Sr,Ca)_{2-x}(CO_3)_2(OH)_x \cdot (2-x)H_2O$  with a cation-anion substitution of  $Sr^{2+} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons$  $REE^{3+} + OH^-$  (Dal Negro *et al.*, 1975). Although ancylite-(Ce) is a relatively common mineral in carbonatites there are only a few analyses available (Kukharenko et al., 1965; Kapustin, 1980; Knudsen, 1991), and this is the first detailed investigation of its chemical composition. Selected average chemical compositions are given in Table 6. The composition of ancylite-(Ce) from Khibina is relatively uniform with Ca, Ba and Th present only as traces. The atomic proportion of Sr and REE in the formula is near 1:1 with a small excess of REE. An important finding is the presence of fluorine in ancylite-(Ce). The Khibina ancylite-(Ce) contains between 0.75 and 2.50 wt.% of fluorine corresponding to

0.148–0.488 F per formula unit. Since *REE* and Sr are present in equal abundances it is suggested that F<sup>-</sup> substitutes for OH<sup>-</sup>. Thus, the mineral from the Khibina carbonatites can be classified as F-bearing ancylite-(Ce). Fluorine was also detected in two ancylite-(Ce) samples from Qaqarssuk carbonatites at 0.37 and 0.58 wt.% respectively (Knudsen, 1991).

#### Ca-REE fluocarbonates

Synchysite-(Ce) is common at Khibina, bastnäsite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce) are also found but are rare (Table 3). The textures vary, as described in Table 3, and include syntaxial intergrowths (Fig. 4a). Ca-REE fluocarbonates are present in numerous carbonatites, e.g. Mountain Pass (Olsen et al., 1954), Gatineau (Hogarth et al., 1985), Kizilçaören (Hatzl, 1992), Tundulu (Ngwenya, 1994) and are the most well-studied of the carbonatite REE-minerals (e.g. Kapustin, 1980; Hogarth et al., 1985; Andersen, 1986; Wall and Mariano, 1996). Selected average microprobe analyses of Khibina synchysite-(Ce) made on minerals from different mineral assemblages are presented in Table 7. Synchysite-(Ce) is characterized by having little substitution of other elements for Ca and REE. Primary synchysite-(Ce) from syntaxial intergrowths, secondary synchysite-(Ce) from the alteration products of burbankite, carbocernaite and syntaxial intergrowths of synchysite-(Ce) with parisite-(Ce) all have similar chemical compositions. Sr, Ba and Th are typical minor constituents in all the synchysite-(Ce) and Fe is present in the red variety associated with manganoan ankerite or ferroan kutnohorite.

Parisite-(Ce) and bastnäsite-(Ce) (Table 8) are near their theoretical compositions with only minor amounts of Sr and Ba substituting for Ca and Th substituting for *REE*.

Syntaxial intergrowths of Ca-*REE* fluocarbonates are considered to be evidence for their primary origin, the intergrowth being related to changes in the chemical composition of the host fluid (Ni *et al*, 1993).

#### **Ba-REE** fluocarbonates

Ba-*REE* fluocarbonates are extremely rare in carbonatites. Huanghoite-(Ce) is the only member of the group previously described, from an unnamed Siberian carbonatite (Kapustin, 1973) and from Qaqarssuk carbonatites (Knudsen, 1991). However cordylite-(Ce), kukharenkoite-

Rock Sample	633B mean		C I 646/ mean (	001	646/451 mean (24) sd		
SrO	23.97	1.25	21.70	1.19	20.65	1.63	
CaO	1.35	0.40	2.09	0.51	1.93	0.59	
BaO	0.59	0.09	0.85	0.24	0.85	0.39	
FeO	0.19	0.35	b.d.	0.24	0.33	0.20	
$La_2O_3$	13.08	1.18	15.56	0.83	16.53	1.17	
$Ce_2O_3$	23.40	1.04	23.46	1.09	23.59	0.89	
$Pr_2O_3$	1.94	0.11	1.74	0.14	1.69	0.12	
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.35	0.52	4.42	0.38	4.34	0.12	
$Sm_2O_3$	0.29	0.06	0.28	0.05	0.23	0.04	
$Gd_2O_3$	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.09	b.d.	0.04	
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.53	0.20	b.d.	0.09	b.d.		
F	2,15	0.30	1.83	0.34	1.19	0.17	
$CO_2$	23.44	0.50	23.32	0.54	23.07	0.17	
$-O = F_2$	0.90		0.77		0.50		
Total	95.51		94.66		93.77		
Calculated to	$0.2 (CO_3)^{2-} gr$	oups					
La	0.300	oupo	0.357		0.381		
Ce	0.532		0.534		0.539		
Pr	0.044		0.040		0.039		
Nd	0.119		0.098		0.097		
Sm	0.006		0.006		0.005		
Gd	0.003		0.004		0.000		
Th	0.008		01001				
Total	1.012		1.038		1.061		
Sr	0.864		0.783		0.748		
Ca	0.090		0.139		0.129		
Ba	0.014		0.021		0.021		
Fe	0.010				0.010		
Total	0.979		0.943		0.909		
F	0.422		0.360		0.235		

TABLE 6. Chemical composition of ancylite-(Ce) at Khibi
---

Na, Mg, Mn, Y and U are below detection limits  $CO_2$  calculated by stoichiometry

(Ce) and cebaite-(Ce) have all been identified at Khibina (Table 3).

Cordylite-(Ce) is known only from Greenland (Flink, 1901), Mont Saint-Hilaire, Canada (Chen and Chao, 1975) and Bayan Obo, China (Zhang and Tao, 1986). Its occurrence at Khibina is the first documented occurrence which is certainly from carbonatites. Kukharenkoite-(Ce) is a new Ba-*REE* fluocarbonate mineral which has been found in the Khibina carbonatites, and from Vuoriyavri, Kola Peninsula, Mont Saint-Hilaire and the Saint-Amable sill, Quebec, Canada (Zaitsev *et al.*, 1996). The occurrence of

cebaite-(Ce) at Khibina is only the second documented occurrence. The first was from Bayan Obo (Zhang and Tao, 1986).

There are no data on the chemical composition of cordylite-(Ce) from carbonatites and our report is the first for this mineral (Table 9). There are three distinct chemical compositions of cordylite-(Ce) from Khibina. Cordylite-(Ce) with low Ca and Sr (Table 9) occurs in manganoan sideritemanganoan ankerite-natrolite veins (CZ III-1), where it forms tabular crystals which are associated with strontianite and sometimes kukharenkoite-(Ce). High Ca and Sr cordylite-




FIG. 4 (a) BEI of syntaxial intergrowths of synchysite-(Ce) (grey), parisite-(Ce) (grey) and bastnäsite-(Ce) (white), C
II-2 manganoan ankerite-calcite carbonatite, sample 633A/414.1 (b) BEI of large crystals of low Ca and Sr cordylite-(Ce) pale grey in centre) associated with laths of high Ca and Sr cordylite-(Ce) (dark grey) and kukharenkoite-(Ce) (white), CZ III-1 manganoan siderite-manganoan ankerite-natrolite vein, sample 607/75.

(Ce) (Table 9) is observed in the same samples as mantles on crystals of low Ca and Sr cordylite-(Ce) and as abundant laths in the surrounding natrolite and manganoan ankerite (Fig. 4b). It has also formed as a secondary mineral during carbocernaite alteration. Cordylite-(Ce) with moderate Ca and Sr (Table 9) occurs in ferroan rhodochrosite-manganoan ankerite carbonatites (C II-3) and is associated with strontianite.

The atomic proportions of Na-Ca and *REE*-Sr pairs show a negative correlation with a slope near -1 and a plot of Na+*REE* vs. Ca+Sr (Fig. 5) shows a trend in composition from Sr-Ca-poor to Sr-Ca-rich cordylite-(Ce). The slope of this correlation suggests a 1:1 exchange of Na and *REE* for Ca and Sr with a substitution scheme of Na<sup>+</sup> + *REE*<sup>3+</sup> Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Sr<sup>2+</sup>. On the basis of these observations a general formula for cordylite-(Ce) can be expressed as (Na,Ca)Ba(*REE*,Sr)<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>F. Our X-ray and IR data are identical for each compositional variety and also identical to those of 'baiyuneboite-(Ce)' (Fu and Su, 1988), which is now recognized to be cordylite-(Ce) (J. Zemann, personal communication, 1996). Kukharenkoite-(Ce) occurs in Khibina C II-2 and C II-3 carbonatites and in carbonate-zeolite veins (Table 3). It was described as a new mineral by Zaitsev *et al.* (1996). The composition of the kukharenkoite-(Ce) (Zaitsev *et al.*, 1996), deter-




FIG. 5. Atomic proportions of Na+*REE vs.* Ca+Sr for cordylite-(Ce).

Rock Sample	C II-2 C II-3 633A/414.1 604/413 syntaxial intergrowths						603/26.5 burbankite		C II-1 603/165 secondary after carbocernaite	
	mean (8)	sd	mean (6)	sd	mean (9)	sd	mean (15)	sd	mean (4)	sd
CaO	17.47	0.38	16.89	0.62	16.18	0.70	16.08	0.88	17.23	0.33
FeO	b.d.		0.35	0.49	b.d.		b.d.		b.d.	
SrO	0.24	0.12	0.43	0.18	0.87	0.66	0.59	0.28	0.56	0.21
BaO	0.09	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.12	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.06
$La_2O_3$	16.54	0.42	13.06	0.58	17.98	0.87	20.34	0.86	13.63	0.73
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	26.19	0.85	27.21	0.79	25.91	0.65	25.77	0.50	25.26	0.85
$Pr_2O_3$	2.15	0.12	2.53	0.07	1.94	0.11	1.78	0.08	2.58	0.10
$Nd_2O_3$	6.14	0.44	7.90	0.22	5.54	0.27	4.53	0.41	9.69	0.86
$Sm_2O_3$	0.38	0.06	0.62	0.10	0.38	0.04	0.31	0.07	0.68	0.38
$Y_2O_3$	b.d.		b.d.		b.d.		b.d.		0.11	0.09
$ThO_2$	b.d.		0.38	0.21	0.15	0.09	b.d.		0.17	0.14
F	5.49	0.18	5.69	0.21	5.83	0.23	5.61	0.23	5.78	0.11
CO <sub>2</sub>	28.12		27.81		27.18		27.59		28.11	
$-O=F_2$	2.31		2.40		2.46		2.36		2.43	
Total	100.49		100.62		99.61		100.30		101.46	
Calculate	d to 2 $(CO_3)^2$	<sup>2</sup> grou	os							
Ca	0.975		0.953		0.934		0.915		0.962	
Sr	0.007		0.013		0.027		0.018		0.017	
Ba	0.002		0.003		0.002		0.001		0.002	
Fe			0.015							
Total	0.984		0.985		0.964		0.934		0.981	
La	0.318		0.254		0.357		0.398		0.262	
Ce	0.499		0.524		0.511		0.501		0.481	
Pr	0.041		0.049		0.038		0.034		0.049	
Nd	0.114		0.149		0.107		0.086		0.180	
Sm	0.007		0.011		0.007		0.006		0.012	
Y									0.003	
Th			0.005		0.002				0.002	
Total	0.979		0.991		1.022		1.025		0.990	
F	0.904		0.948		0.994		0.943		0.953	

TABLE 7. Chemical composition of synchysite-(Ce) at Khibina

Na, Mg, Mn and U are below detection limits

CO<sub>2</sub> calculated by stoichiometry

mined from electron microprobe analysis is BaO 48.93, SrO 0.42, MnO 0.26, Na<sub>2</sub>O 0.08, CaO 0.05, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 6.16, Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 15.12, Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 1.49, Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 4.08, ThO<sub>2</sub> 0.10 and F 3.20, wt.%. The atomic ratios of Ba, *REE* and F are near 2:1:1, requiring 3  $(CO_3)^{2-}$  groups per formula unit (calculated CO<sub>2</sub> 21.73 wt.%) and the ideal formula for kukharenkoite-(Ce) is Ba<sub>2</sub>*REE*(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F.

The chemical composition of cebaite-(Ce) from Khibina was determined by 18 electron microprobe analyses (Table 10). Although, analysis totals are less then 100 %, calculated atomic ratios for Ba, *REE* and F are near 3:2:2 and closely correspond to an ideal formula of Ba<sub>3</sub>*REE*<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. Cebaite-(Ce) contains minor SrO (1.01–2.61 wt.%), CaO (0.33–1.18 wt.%) and ThO<sub>2</sub> (0.49–1.26 wt.%), in addition relatively high MnO (up to 0.83 wt.%) and FeO (up to 0.61 wt.%) were observed in some analyses. All cebaite-(Ce) crystals are unzoned. See Table 3 for mineralogical details.

#### A. N. ZAITSEV ETAL.

Rock Sample Mineral	C II-2 633A/414.1 parisite-(Ce)		C II-3 604/41		C II-2 633A/414.1 bastnäsite-(Ce)		
Willerar	mean (2)	- parisite-(ee)	mean (5)	sd	mean (6)	sd	
CaO	9.72		9.29	1.03	0.84	0.29	
SrO	0.56		0.79	0.18	0.85	0.34	
BaO	0.07		0.30	0.29	0.08	0.05	
FeO	0.05		0.38	0.28			
$La_2O_3$	19.63		15.96	0.72	26.35	0.99	
$Ce_2O_3$	31.72		32.28	0.45	37.41	0.54	
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.30		2.87	0.14	2.50	0.13	
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.83		8.74	0.22	6.60	0.48	
$Sm_2O_3$	0.45		0.60	0.02	0.36	0.07	
$Y_2 \tilde{O}_3$	0.10		0.22	0.12	b.d.		
$ThO_2$	b.d.		0.24	0.10	b.d.		
F	7.02		6.79	0.17	8.11	0.22	
CO <sub>2</sub>	24.33		24.54		20.67		
$-\tilde{O=F_2}$	2.95		2.86		3.42		
Total	99.82		100.13			100.35	
	Calculat	ed to 3 $(CO_3)^{2-}$	groups		Calculated to $1 (CO_3)^2$	2– group	
Ca	0.941		0.892		0.033	Çī	
Sr	0.029		0.041		0.018		
Ba	0.002		0.011		0.001		
Fe	0.004		0.029				
Total	0.976		0.972				
La	0.654		0.527		0.357		
Ce	1.048		1.057		0.503		
Pr	0.076		0.094		0.033		
Nd	0.220		0.280		0.087		
Sm	0.014		0.018		0.005		
Y	0.005		0.010				
Th			0.005				
Total	2.017		1.992		1.037		
F	2.004		1.923		0.942		

TABLE 8. Chemical composition of parisite-(Ce) and bastnäsite-(Ce) at Khibina

Na, Mg, Mn and U are below detection limits

CO<sub>2</sub> calculated by stoichiometry

# Y-rich carbonates mckelveyite-(Y), ewaldite and donnayite-(Y)

A detailed description of these minerals from Khibina, Vuoriyarvi and Sallanlatvi, is given by Voloshin *et al.* (1990, 1992). This was the first time that they had been documented in carbonatites. Mckelveyite-(Y) is the best-studied of the group at Khibina (Table 3).

Chemical compositions of mckelveyite-(Y) and ewaldite from Kola carbonatites are represented by three electron microprobe analyses of mckelveyite-(Y) from Vuoriyarvi carbonatites, one from the Sallanlatvi carbonatite and two analyses of ewaldite from Vuoriyarvi (Voloshin *et al.*, 1990, 1992). However, even these limited data show compositional variation and three groups have been identified: Na-free mckelveyite-(Y), Y-free LREE-enriched mckelveyite and Y-free ewaldite.

Five separate crystals of mckelveyite-(Y) were analysed from Khibina (Table 11) and are uniform in chemical composition. The formula

TABLE 9.	Chemical	composition	of cord	vlite-(	Ce	) at Khibina
***************************************	CHUINDER	composition	01 0014	J 1100 (	~~	, at itility

Rock Sample	CZ III- 607/75		C II-3 603/264	6	CZ II 603/2:		CZ I 607/		C II 603/10	
Variety	low Ca and				Ca and Sr	1.0	0011	high Ca		00.0
j	mean (15)	sd	mean (17)	sd	mean (1	5) sd	mean (1	0	mean (1	7) sd
Na <sub>2</sub> O	4.12	0.12	3.66	0.24	3.67	0.12	2.60	0.15	2.56	0.16
CaO	0.73	0.18	1.54	0.31	1.50	0.08	3.82	0.18	4.10	0.26
SrO	0.58	0.23	2.48	0.57	2.09	0.31	6.03	0.43	5.90	0.50
BaO	22.28	0.33	22.29	0.51	22.32	0.32	23.02	0.25	23.11	0.36
$La_2O_3$	9.94	0.36	10.46	0.38	11.82	0.53	7.58	0.30	11.49	0.51
$Ce_2O_3$	24.46	0.43	23.82	0.68	22.18	0.28	20.26	0.38	17.90	0.67
$Pr_2O_3$	2.41	0.18	1.85	0.24	1.69	0.25	2.19	0.27	1.45	0.30
$Nd_2O_3$	7.47	0.49	5.88	0.31	5.70	0.41	6.97	0.49	5.36	0.54
$ThO_2$	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.16
F	2.62	0.10	2.97	0.28	2.95	0.33	2.60	0.08	2.85	0.31
$CO_2$	24.92		25.16		24.78		25.77		25.75	
$-O=F_2$	1.10		1.25		1.24		1.10		1.20	
Total	98.55		98.98		97.57		99.88		99.40	
Calculated	d for 4 $(CO_3)^2$	<sup>2–</sup> group	\$							
Na	0.945		0.821		0.837		0.579		0.567	
Ca	0.093		0.191		0.189		0.470		0.501	
Total	1.038		1.012		1.026		1.049		1.068	
Ba	1.032		1.011		1.028		1.036		1.033	
La	0.434		0.446		0.513		0.321		0.483	
Ce	1.058		1.008		0.954		0.851		0.747	
Pr	0.104		0.078		0.072		0.092		0.060	
Nd	0.315		0.243		0.239		0.286		0.218	
Sr	0.040		0.167		0.142		0.402		0.390	
Th	0.003		0.003		0.003		0.003		0.003	
Total	1.954		1.945		1.923		1.955		1.901	
F	0.981		1.088		1.096		0.946		1.029	

Fe, Mn and Sm are below detection limits

CO<sub>2</sub> calculated by stoichiometry

of mckelveyite-(Y) is still the subject of debate. In the original description Milton *et al.* (1965) gave the formula as  $Na_{1.9}Ba_{4.0}Ca_{1.1}Sr_{0.2}REE_{1.5}U_{0.3}$ (CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>9</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O. Later, Chao *et al.* (1978) suggested an isomorphous relationship between mckelveyite-(Y) and donnayite-(Y) and gave the formula of NaCaBa<sub>3</sub>Y(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O. In a recent study of mckelveyite-(Y) and ewaldite Voloshin *et al.* (1990, 1992) showed a similarity between these two minerals and suggested that they are probably polymorphs. The formula of ewaldite was determined as (Ca,Na,REE)(Ba,Sr) (CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O where part of the H<sub>2</sub>O is zeolitic.

Chemical data from the Khibina mckelveyite-(Y) were calculated on the basis of the IMA accepted formula NaCaBa<sub>3</sub>Y(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (Table

11). Our data and those of Voloshin *et al.* (1990) show significant substitutions, the main one being  $Ba^{2+} Sr^{2+}$  which is related to the mckelveyite-(Y)-donnayite-(Y) pair where these minerals are Ba and Sr end-members respectively. The assignation of *REE* to two different sites in the mckelveyite-(Y) formula is problematic. It is based on formula balance and the *REE* chondrite-normalized distribution which is discussed below in the section on 'Rare earth patterns'.

# Strontianite, baryte, barytocalcite, edingtonite and harmotome

Minerals rich in Ba and Sr but with no *REE* are represented in the Khibina carbonatites by

#### A. N. ZAITSEV ETAL.

Rock Sample				CZ 1 603/1				
Analysis	14	24	25	29	30	33	Mean (18)	Sd
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.03
CaO	0.40	1.18	0.47	0.61	0.52	0.70	0.61	0.21
MnO	0.07	0.47	0.12	0.73	0.83	0.13	0.16	0.24
FeO	0.45	0.13	b.d.	0.14	0.61	b.d.	0.16	0.19
SrO	1.40	1.27	1.45	1.25	1.28	1.31	1.43	0.36
BaO	43.93	40.51	42.19	42.09	42.59	42.93	42.77	1.84
$La_2O_3$	5.30	7.28	6.71	5.58	5.48	5.72	5.67	0.52
$Ce_2O_3$	13.59	14.33	14.52	14.66	13.66	14.91	14.45	1.16
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.54	1.69	1.83	1.78	1.51	1.81	1.63	0.19
$Nd_2O_3$	6.15	5.69	5.99	6.32	5.70	5.34	5.54	0.48
$Sm_2O_3$	0.60	0.61	0.50	0.38	0.42	0.34	0.48	0.11
$Eu_2O_3$	0.17	0.18	b.d.	0.24	b.d.	b.d.	0.07	0.11
$Gd_2O_3$	0.59	0.66	0.53	0.49	0.40	0.37	0.50	0.13
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.99	0.51	0.49	0.92	1.07	0.99	0.92	0.22
F	4.24	4.10	4.15	3.78	3.99	3.61	3.76	0.21
$CO_2$	21.57	21.79	21.41	21.79	21.65	21.41	21.42	
$-O=F_2$	1.78	1.73	1.75	1.59	1.68	1.52	1.58	
Total	99.31	98.77	98.78	99.29	98.15	98.15	98.10	
Calculated f	For 5 $(CO_3)^{2-}$	groups						
Na	0.031	0.032	0.025	0.038	0.042	0.034	0.032	
Са	0.073	0.212	0.085	0.111	0.095	0.130	0.114	
Mn	0.010	0.066	0.018	0.105	0.119	0.018	0.023	
Fe	0.064	0.018	0.020	0.087		0.023		
Sr	0.137	0.124	0.143	0.123	0.127	0.132	0.144	
Ba	2.915	2.659	2.802	2.805	2.855	2.926	2.900	
Total	3.230	3.111	3.072	3.201	3.325	3.240	3.236	
La	0.331	0.450	0.419	0.350	0.346	0.367	0.362	
Ce	0.841	0.878	0.900	0.911	0.854	0.948	0.914	
Pr	0.095	0.103	0.113	0.110	0.094	0.115	0.102	
Nd	0.372	0.340	0.362	0.384	0.348	0.332	0.342	
Sm	0.035	0.035	0.029	0.022	0.024	0.021	0.029	
Eu	0.010	0.011	0.014		0.004			
Gd	0.033	0.036	0.030	0.028	0.023	0.021	0.029	
Th	0.038	0.019	0.019	0.036	0.042	0.039	0.036	
Total	1,755	1.872	1.873	1.855	1.730	1.843	1.819	
F	2.268	2.174	2.221	2.033	2.159	1.986	2.057	

TABLE 10. Chemical composition of cebaite-(Ce) at Khibina

Y, Dy, Er, Yb and Lu are below detection limits

CO2 calculated by stoichiometry

strontianite, baryte, barytocalcite, edingtonite and harmotome (Table 3). The first two minerals are common in late-stage carbonatites, e.g. Mountain Pass (Olsen *et al.*, 1954), Vuoriyarvi, Seblyavr, Sallanlatvi (Kapustin, 1980), Sarnu-Dandali (Wall *et al.*, 1993) and Kangankunde (Wall and Mariano, 1996). Barytocalcite is extremely rare in carbonatites and has been described only from Vuoriyarvi and an unnamed Siberian carbonatite (Kapustin, 1980) and possibly Chipman Lake (Platt and Woolley, 1990).

Edingtonite and harmotome are barium zeolites. Their occurrence at Khibina is the first

Rock Sample					III 143.5			
Point	1	2	4	5	9	10	Mean (12)	sd
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3.12	3.05	3.21	3.10	3.33	3.14	3.19	0.10
CaO	4.56	4.34	4.32	4.34	4.20	4.72	4.43	0.38
MnO	0.06	b.d.	b.d.	b.d.	b.d.	0.06		
FeO	b.d.	b.d.	0.64	b.d.	0.07	0.11	0.18	0.26
BaO	29.43	29.89	28.47	28.73	29.69	27.13	28.97	0.98
SrO	9.16	9.56	9.29	10.41	8.25	12.42	9.56	1.26
$Y_2O_3$	6.39	6.33	5.32	7.02	5.84	8.42	6.44	0.93
$La_2O_3$	1.22	1.22	1.60	0.85	1.84	0.96	1.34	0.34
$Ce_2O_3$	1.93	1.79	2.43	1.17	2.96	1.77	2.18	0.65
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.30	0.24	0.15	0.22	0.06
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.69	0.77	0.91	1.11	0.71	0.37	0.84	0.24
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.81	0.87	0.81	0.89	0.85	0.20	0.78	0.23
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.49	0.64	0.50	0.36	0.60	0.34	0.47	0.10
$Gd_2O_3$	2.15	1.94	2.18	2.05	2.32	1.05	2.02	0.38
$Dy_2O_3$	2.39	2.40	2.97	2.29	2.83	2.00	2.48	0.29
$Er_2O_3$	1.03	1.09	1.28	0.87	0.68	1.03	0.94	0.19
Yb <sub>2</sub> O3	0.44	0.44	0.56	0.59	0.25	0.61	0.47	0.12
ThO <sub>2</sub>	b.d.	0.17	b.d.	b.d.	b.d.	b.d.	0.1.4	0.00
F	0.18	0.15	b.d.	b.d.	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.09
CO <sub>2</sub>	25.71	25.70	25.86	25.81	25.71	26.72	25.93	
H <sub>2</sub> O	10.52	10.51	10.58	10.56	10.52	10.93	10.61	
$-O=F_2$	0.07	0.06			0.07	0.07	0.06	
Total	100.43	101.01	101.07	100.44	100.98	102.24	101.13	
Calculated t	to 6 $(CO_3)^{2-}$	and 3H <sub>2</sub> O gro	oups					
Na	1.000	0.984	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.978	1.000	
Ca	0.735	0.757	0.561	0.778	0.565	0.785	0.676	
Na	0.009		0.040	0.006	0.075		0.023	
La	0.075	0.075	0.099	0.052	0.113	0.057	0.082	
Ce	0.118	0.109	0.148	0.071	0.181	0.104	0.132	
Pr	0.013	0.013	0.009	0.018	0.014	0.009	0.013	
Nd	0.041	0.046	0.054	0.067	0.042	0.021	0.050	
Mn	0.009					0.008	0.000	
Fe			0.089		0.010	0.015	0.025	
Total	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.992	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Ba	1.923	1.950	1.865	1.884	1.941	1.707	1.880	
Sr	0.885	0.922	0.900	1.009	0.798	1.156	0.917	
Са	0.080	0.017	0.212		0.185	0.026	0.110	
Total	2.888	2.890	2.977	2.893	2.924	2.889	2.907	
Y	0.567	0.561	0.473	0.625	0.518	0.719	0.567	
Sm	0.047	0.050	0.047	0.051	0.049	0.011	0.045	
Eu	0.028	0.036	0.029	0.021	0.034	0.018	0.026	
Gd	0.119	0.107	0.121	0.114	0.128	0.056	0.111	
Dy	0.128	0.129	0.160	0.123	0.152	0.104	0.132	
Er	0.054	0.057	0.067	0.045	0.036	0.052	0.049	
Yb	0.023	0.022	0.028	0.030	0.013	0.030	0.024	
		0.007						
Th								
Th Total	0.965	0.969	0.925	1.009	0.930	0.990	0.954	

# TABLE 11. Chemical position of mckelveyite-(Y) at Khibina

Lu is below detection limit,  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and  $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$  calculated by stoichiometry

Sample	C 11-2	C II-3	-3	CZ III-1	C II-1	- -	C II-1	[-]			C II-1			
	633A/414.1 	4.1 604/413.0 603 primary strontianite associated with –	13.0 e associatec	603/251.5 1 with	646/45	51.0 econdary	603/36.3 strontianite (	36.3 ite (after	451.0 603/36.3 secondary strontianite (after burhankite) associated with	30556	646/001 iated with	)1 -h	i	
sy. Pai	synchysite-(Ce), parisite-(Ce) and	synchysite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce)	te-(Ce) ite-(Ce)	cordylite-(Ce)	ancylite-(Ce) and baryte	-(Ce) Iryte	synchysite-(Ce) and baryte	te-(Ce) aryte		ancyli	ancylite-(Ce) and baryte	und bar	yte —	
₽ Ē	mean (10) sd	mean (6) sd	ps (g	mean (3)	mean (25)	5) sd	mean (4) sd	4) sd	mean (6) core		sd mean (4) sd mantle		mean (8) sd rim	8) sd
Na <sub>2</sub> O b	.d.	b.d.		þ.d.	0.14	0.05	h.d.				010		РЧ	
CaÕ 3		3.23	0.44	3.07	3.46	1.00	3.37	0.33	1.58 (	0.37	3.72	0.32	5.97	0.20
		66.80	0.51	66.62	63.57	1.26	64.34	0.51			63.95		52.58	0.78
	0.19 0.17	0.16	0.07	0.22	1.61	0.47	1.63	0.15			0.83		2.00	0.57
	b.d.	b.d.		0.16	0.48	0.20	b.d.				0.33		0.15	0.04
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 0	0.18 0.12	0.11	0.11	0.57	0.61	0.24	0.19	0.08			0.42		0.25	0.05
	30.79	30.99		31.05	30.71		30.51		31.11		30.69		31.99	
Total 100.63	.63	101.29		101.69	100.58		100.04		103.20	Ξ	00.04	1	02.94	
Calculated to	Calculated to 1 $(CO_3)^{2-}$ group													
Na					0.006				0.010		0.005			
	0.083	0.082		0.077	0.088		0.087		0.040		0.095		0.146	
	0.913	0.915		0.911	0.879		0.895		0.931		0.885		0.831	
Ba 0	.002	0.001		0.002	0.015		0.015		0.007		0.008		0.018	
				0.001	0.004				0.005		0.003		0.001	
Ce 0	0.002	0.001		0.005	0.005		0.002		0.007		0.004		0.002	
Total 0.	0.999	1.000		0.997	0.998		0.999		0.999		0.999		0.998	

244

A. N. ZAITSEV ETAL.

documented occurrence in carbonatites (Zaitsev et al., 1992).

The chemical composition of strontianite from carbonatites is generally close to the end-member, containing a few percent of CaO (e.g. Kapustin, 1980; Wall and Mariano, 1996). Average microprobe results from Khibina are presented in Table 12. Primary strontianite is characterized by minor replacement of Sr by Ca which is common for carbonatitic strontianite but low contents of other elements such as Ba (0.05-0.63 wt.% of BaO), La, Ce and Nd  $(REE_2O_3 = 0.15 - 0.71 \text{ wt.}\%)$ . Compared with this primary strontianite, secondary strontianite at Khibina contains more BaO (0.44-3.34 wt.%) and  $REE_2O_3$  (0.35–2.47 wt.%), some secondary strontianite also shows core-mantle-rim zoning (Table 12).

Microprobe analyses of baryte from Khibina are in good agreement with available data for other late-stage carbonatites (Kapustin, 1980; Wall and Mariano, 1996) and show only a small replacement of Ba by Sr (0.09-1.75 wt.% of SrO, Ca (0.05-0.13 wt.% of CaO) and Na (0.08-0.22 wt.% of Na<sub>2</sub>O).

Barytocalcite from Khibina (CaO 18.96, BaO 51.82, SrO 0.28, Na<sub>2</sub>O 0.06, CO<sub>2 calc</sub> 29.90, total 101.02, wt.%) has less SrO than that reported previously from other carbonatites (Kapustin, 1980).

#### Rare earth patterns

Burbankite and carbocernaite from C II-1 carbonatites, which are early, primary *RE*-rich minerals, show almost identical *REE* distribution patterns with La/Nd<sub>cn</sub> (cn = chondrite-normalized) ratios between 5.2 and 8.5 for burbankite and between 7.4 and 7.7 for carbocernaite. An exception is Ba-enriched burbankite which has a relative enrichment in Nd (La/Nd<sub>cn</sub> ratio 2.9) (Fig. 6*a*).

*REE* distribution patterns in Ca-*REE* fluocarbonates from syntaxic intergrowths in C II-1 carbonatites are similar to those in burbankite and carbocernaite (Fig. 6*b*) with La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios 5.1-6.0, 5.4-6.3 and 7.1-7.5 for synchysite-(Ce), parisite-(Ce) and bastnäsite-(Ce) respectively. Average values from C II-2 carbonatites are more light-*REE*-enriched than those from the C II-3 carbonatites and the minerals from C II-3 type have relatively low La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios of 3.0-3.3 and 3.2-3.8 for synchysite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce) respectively (Fig. 6*c*).




FIG. 6. Chondrite-normalized plots of (a) burbankite and carbocernaite from C I carbonatites; (b) bastnäsite-(Ce), parisite-(Ce) and synchysite-(Ce) from syntaxial intergrowths, C II-2 carbonatites; (c) parisite-(Ce) and synchysite-(Ce) from syntaxial intergrowths, C II-3 carbonatites; (d) cordylite-(Ce) and kukharenkoite-(Ce) from C II-3 carbonatites and CZ III-1 carbonate-zeolite veins. Chondrite values from Wakita *et al.* (1971)

The Ba-*REE* fluocarbonates, cordylite-(Ce), kukharenkoite-(Ce) and cebaite-(Ce), from C II-

3 carbonatites and CZ III carbonate-zeolite veins were the final light *REE* minerals to crystallize and are characterized by *REE* chondrite-normalized plots similar to those for synchysite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce) from syntaxial intergrowths from C II-3 carbonatites (Fig. 6*d*). The La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios are 2.5–3.9, 2.8–3.5 and 1.6–2.4 for cordylite-(Ce), kukharenkoite-(Ce) and cebaite-(Ce) respectively.

The distribution of *REE* in primary carbonates from Khibina shows an evolutionary trend from early carbonatites (C I) through late carbonatites (C II) to carbonate-zeolite veins (CZ III). This trend is marked by changes in the La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratio of the *REE*-minerals which gradually decreases from early burbankite and carbocernaite (La/ Nd<sub>en</sub>=5.2-8.6) to later Ca-*REE* fluocarbonates (La/Nd<sub>en</sub>=5.1-7.5 for C II-2 carbonatite type and 3.0-3.8 for C II-3 carbonatite) and finally to Ba-*REE* fluocarbonates with La/Nd<sub>en</sub> between 1.6-3.9.

The extreme of this trend may be represented by the suite of Y and Sm-Er-enriched minerals (mckelveyite-(Y), donnayite-(Y) and ewaldite) which are always late. The average REE chondrite-normalized distribution for Khibina mckelveyite-(Y) is shown in Fig. 7. There is a bimodal distribution of REE, probably related to the presence of more than one site for the REE. Although, the crystal structure of mckelveyite-(Y) has not been solved, Chao et al. (1978) provided evidence that it is similar to weloganite  $Na_2(Sr)_3Zr(CO_3)_6 \cdot 3H_2O$  which has co-ordination numbers of 6 for the Na site, 9 for the Zr and 10 for the Sr site. If the Ca in mckelveyite-(Y) occupies the equivalent of the 6 co-ordinated Na site (ion size of 10.0 Å) it could be substituted by La (ion size 10.32 Å), Ce (10.1 Å), Pr (9.9 Å) and Nd (9.83 Å). If the Y in the mckelveyite-(Y)




FIG. 7. Chondrite-normalized plot of mckelveyite-(Y) from CZ III-1 carbonate-zeolite vein

structure corresponded to the Zr position in weloganite then Y would have a co-ordination number of 9 (ion size 10.75 Å) and could be substituted by heavy *REE* such as Sm-Yb where ion sizes range from 11.32 Å for Sm to 10.42 Å for Yb; all ion sizes are from Shannon (1976). Calculated formulae of mckelveyite-(Y) based on *REE* in two different sites (Table 11) produce totals close to an ideal formula.

#### REE distribution during alteration

The widespread alteration of *REE* minerals at Khibina allows us to monitor the *REE* distribution during the alteration process. The main primary-secondary mineral pairs at Khibina are following: (a) burbankite  $\rightarrow$  ancylite-(Ce),

(b) burbankite  $\rightarrow$  synchysite-(Ce),

(c) carbocernaite  $\rightarrow$  cordylite-(Ce)+synchysite-(Ce),

(d) cordylite-(Ce) (low Ca-Sr)  $\rightarrow$  cordylite-(Ce) (high Ca-Sr).

Chondrite-normalized plots of *REE* for these pairs show two distinct types of *REE* pattern. The first type (Fig. 8*a*) is represented by mineral pairs with similar La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios for primary-secondary




FIG. 8. Chondrite-normalized plots of primary-secondary mineral pairs for (a) burbankite-ancylite-(Ce) and (b) carbocernaite-synchysite-(Ce)+high Ca-Sr cordylite-(Ce), C II-1 carbonatites

minerals pairs. This type is the most common and includes burbankite-ancylite-(Ce), burbankite-synchysite-(Ce) and low Ca-Sr cordylite-(Ce)-high Ca-Sr cordylite-(Ce). The second type (Fig. 8b), seen only in an assemblage of carbocernaite, cordylite-(Ce) and synchysite-(Ce), shows a change in La/Nd<sub>cn</sub> ratio from primary to secondary minerals.

### **Discussion and conclusions**

The geological, geochemical and mineralogical data presented in this paper show that the Khibina carbonatites are unusual compared with other carbonatites. This is mainly due to the strong differentiation of the carbonatitic rocks giving the sequence phoscorite, early carbonatite, at least three types of late carbonatites, and finally three types of carbonate-zeolite veins (Table 3).

Detailed mineralogical investigations have revealed two distinct types of *REE*-Sr-Ba mineralization at Khibina: (1) primary minerals crystallized directly from a magma or other fluid and (2) minerals produced by secondary metasomatic processes (Table 3). A general sequence for the *REE*-Sr-Ba-rich mineralization in the late carbonatites and carbonate-zeolite veins can be expressed as follows:

Sr-*REE*-Ca-Na-rich carbonates (burbankite, carbocernaite)  $\rightarrow$  Ca-*REE* fluocarbonates (bastnäsite group)  $\rightarrow$  Ba-*REE* fluocarbonates (cordy-lite group)  $\rightarrow$  Y-rich carbonates (mckelveyite group).

Similar age relationships for the Sr-*REE*-Ca-Na-rich carbonates burbankite and carbocernaite and the Ca-*REE* fluocarbonates have been established in carbonatites from other complexes (Zdorik, 1966; Somina, 1975; Kapustin, 1980; Platt and Woolley, 1990) and probably this sequence is universal for late-stage carbonatites.

The primary *RE* minerals in Khibina carbonatites such as burbankite, carbocernaite, cordylite-(Ce) and mckelveyite-(Y) contain essential Na (ranging from a minimum of 2.22 wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>O in cordylite-(Ce) to 12.12 wt.% of Na<sub>2</sub>O in burbankite) and the whole rock Na<sub>2</sub>O content of the CII-1 carbonatites ranges up to 4.95 wt.%. These data show that carbonatite formation in the Khibina massif was characterized by a high content of alkaline elements (particularly Na) at all stages. The high content of alkaline elements (both Na and K) during the early stages is also marked by abundant aegirine and biotite in the phoscorites.

The La/Nd ratio in minerals has been shown to be a useful indicator of the paragenetic type of occurrence and geological environment by Fleischer (1965, 1978) and Fleischer and Altschuler (1969). Their results cannot be directly applied to the Khibina data because they used the La/Nd ratio from the atomic percent of total REE normalized to 100% but comparison of the relative changes in the La/Nd ratio can be useful. Fleischer (1978) calculated La/Nd ratios (from atomic percent of total REE) for bastnäsite-(Ce), synchysite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce) from various rocks. These data show a decreasing La/ Nd ratio in the sequence from carbonatites to hydrothermal rocks with average La/Nd ratios in bastnäsite-(Ce) 2.7 and 1.8 respectively and average La/Nd values for parisite-(Ce) are 2.8 (carbonatites) and 1.3 (hydrothermal rocks).

The Khibina carbonatites are interpreted as polygenetic in origin (Zaitsev, 1996). Phoscorites and early carbonatites (C I) are probably magmatic; formation of the late carbonatites (C II) is likely to be from volatile-rich fluid (carbohydrothermal) and carbonate-zeolite veins from hydrothermal fluids. The decreasing La/ Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios in Khibina carbonatites probably reflect increase of 'carbohydrothermal' fraction in carbonatite-forming system.

The alteration of burbankite or carbocernaite to assemblages of ancylite-(Ce) + strontianite  $\pm$ baryte, synchysite-(Ce) + strontianite+baryte or stronianite + cordylite-(Ce) + synchysite-(Ce) + baryte is probably an open-system hydrothermal process (Wall and Mariano, 1996). This conclusion is confirmed by reactions calculated for two different paths of burbankite alteration at Khibina. Calculation of the equations was based on the following: 1. volumes of primary burbankite and secondary ancylite-(Ce), synchysite-(Ce), strontianite and baryte are the same (full hexagonal prismatic pseudomorphs are strong evidence for this); 2. proportions of the secondary minerals were calculated from the backscattered electron images as ancylite-(Ce) 30 vol.%, strontianite 50 vol.%, baryte 5 vol.%, porous 15 vol.% for reaction (1) and synchysite-(Ce) 20 vol.%, strontianite 50 vol.%, baryte 10 vol.% and porous 20 vol.% for reaction (2); 3. actual mineral compositions were used; 4. coefficients in the reactions are numbers of mineral moles in a 'single' volume, which was assumed as 1000 volume units  $(cm^3)$ ; 5. the number of mineral moles were calculated by using mineral density and unit cell parameters. Thus, replacement of Equation 1

$$5.55(Na_{2.42} Ca_{0.50})_{\Sigma 2.92}(Sr_{1.50} Ca_{0.86}REE_{0.55} Ba_{0.09})_{\Sigma 3.00}(CO_3)_5 + 6.00Sr^{2+} + 0.52Ba^{2+} + 0.25REE^{3+} + 5.20H_2O + 1.14F^- + 0.97SO_4^{2-} = 3.17REE_{1.04}(Sr_{0.78}Ca_{0.14}Ba_{0.02})_{\Sigma 0.94}(CO_3)_2(OH_{0.64}F_{0.36}) \cdot H_2O + 12.86(Sr_{0.92}Ca_{0.08})_{\Sigma 1.00}CO_3 + 0.97(Ba_{0.99}Sr_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.00}SO_4 + 13.42Na^+ + 6.07Ca^{2+} + 8.52CO_3^{2-} + 2.03H^+$$
(1)

Equation 2

$$\begin{split} & 5.48 (Na_{2.64}Ca_{0.30})_{\Sigma 2.94} (Sr_{1.22}Ca_{0.90}REE_{0.79}Ba_{0.09})_{\Sigma 3.00} (CO_3)_5 + 3.87Sr^{2+} + 1.43Ba^{2+} + 3.00F^- + 1.94SO_4^{2-} = \\ & 3.03 (Ca_{0.93}Sr_{0.03})_{\Sigma 0.96} REE_{1.02} (CO_3)_2 F_{0.99} + 11.57 (Sr_{0.90}Ca_{0.09}Ba_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.00}CO_3 + \\ & 1.94 (Ba_{0.99}Sr_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.00}SO_4 + 14.46Na^+ + 2.71Ca^{2+} + 1.24REE^{3+} + 9.76CO_3^{2-} \end{split}$$

burbankite by an assemblage of ancylite-(Ce) + strontianite + baryte may be represented by equation (1) above.

Replacement of burbankite by the assemblage of synchysite-(Ce) + strontianite + baryte could be described by equation (2) above.

Both reactions show that  $H_2O$  (equation 1), F, S and Sr with traces of Ba and *REE* (in equation 1) must be introduced to form ancylite-(Ce), synchysite-(Ce), strontianite, and baryte. These secondary minerals do not contain Na and this element together with Ca, *REE* (in equation 2) and CO<sub>2</sub>(aq) are removed from the carbonatite. Calculation of similar equations for the alteration of carbocernaite produces similar results to equation (2).

Residual solutions enriched in Na, Ca and  $CO_2(aq)$  may be described as alkaline carbohydrothermal fluids ('hot brines') and may be an additional cause of wall rock fenitization or may be involved in the formation of the carbonate-zeolite veins.

The two different geochemical paths of burbankite and carbocernaite alteration (ancylite-(Ce) versus synchysite-(Ce) and cordylite-(Ce)) seems to imply action on these minerals by fluids of different composition. One of these fluids was characterized by a high activity of  $F^-$  and  $(SO_4)^{2-}$ and low (OH)<sup>-</sup> resulting in the formation of synchysite-(Ce), cordylite-(Ce) and baryte. In contrast, the second type of fluid was characterized by a high activity of  $(OH)^{-}$ ,  $(SO_4)^{2-}$  and low F<sup>-</sup> which resulted in the formation of ancylite-(Ce) and baryte. Although, there are no data on the temperature stability fields of ancylite(Ce) or synchysite-(Ce), ancylite-(Ce) as a water-bearing mineral containing both  $(OH)^{-}$  and  $H_2O$  groups appears to be stable at lower temperatures than anhydrous synchysite-(Ce).

The nature of these fluids is unclear but there is no change in the Sr and Nd isotope ratios during the evolution (Zaitsev and Bell, in preparation) and all appear to be directly related to the carbonatite complex. The ratio of La/Nd<sub>cn</sub> in primary-secondary mineral pairs can also be used to give some information about the fluids. Similar La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios for the pairs burbankite-ancylite-(Ce) and burbankite-synchysite-(Ce) indicate that alteration took place soon after the initial formation of burbankite, before the evolution of carbonatitic fluids. Different La/Nden values for minerals in the system carbocernaite-synchysite-(Ce)+cordylite-(Ce) and particularly low La/Nd<sub>en</sub> ratios for synchysite-(Ce) and cordylite-(Ce) probably indicate involvement not only of fluids related to carbonatites, but also fluids responsible for the formation of the carbonate-zeolite veins. Finally, low, but similar La/Nden ratios for the pair low-Ca-Sr cordylite-(Ce)-high-Ca-Sr cordylite-(Ce) indicate a fluid source related only to the formation of carbonate-zeolite veins.

#### Acknowledgements

A. Zaitsev received a Royal Society grant for two visits to the UK. Terry Williams and John Spratt are thanked for assistance and advice with the electron microprobe analyses. This work was carried out as a portion of a comprehensive study of the Kola alkaline massifs carried out at St-Petersburg University, University of Leicester and The Natural History Museum. The authors thanks A.G. Bulakh for discussion on mineralogy of REE-rich carbonatites and A.M. McDonald for discussion on chemistry of mckelveyite-(Y). K. Bell and an anonymous reviewer made helpful comments on the manuscript. A.N. Zaitsev would like to thank all staff of the Department of Geology, Leicester University, and Department of Mineralogy, The Natural History Museum, for hospitality. Special thanks go to Helen, Olga and

Polina Zaitseva for their support. This work was funded by the Royal Society (project 638072.P699) and partly by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Sciences (grant 96-05-66151).

### References

- Andersen, T. (1986) Compositional variation of some rare earth minerals from the Fen complex (Telemark, SE Norway): implication for the mobility of rare earths in a carbonatite system. *Mineral. Mag.*, 50, 503-9.
- Bulakh, A.G. and Izokh, E.P. (1966) New data on carbocernaite. *Dokl. Acad. Sci. USSR.*, **175**, 118–20.
- Bulakh, A.G., Kondrateva, V.V. and Baranova, E.N. (1961) Carbocernaite, a new rare earth carbonate. *Zap. Vses. Mineral. Obshch.*, **90** (1), 42–9 (in Russian).
- Chao, G.Y., Mainwaring, P.R. and Baker, J. (1978) Donnayite, NaCaSr<sub>3</sub>Y(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, a new mineral from Mont St-Hilaire, Quebec. *Canad. Mineral.*, **16**, 335–40.
- Chen, T.T. and Chao, G.Y. (1975) Cordylite from Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec. *Canad. Mineral.*, **13**, 93–4.
- Clarke, L.B., Le Bas, M.J. and Spiro, B. (1992) Rare earth, trace element and stable isotope fractionation of carbonatites at Kruidfontein, Transvaal, S. Africa. *International Kimberlite Conference*, 5 Araxa 1991. Proceedings. CPRM Special publication. CPRM, Brasilia, 236–51.
- Dal Negro, A., Rossi, G. and Tazzoli, V. (1975) The crystal structure of ancylite, (RE)<sub>x</sub>(Ca,Sr)<sub>2-x</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (OH)<sub>x</sub>·(2-x)H<sub>2</sub>O. *Amer. Mineral.*, **60**, 280–4.
- Donnay, G. and Donnay, J.D.H. (1953) The crystallography of bastnäsite, parisite, röntgenite and synchysite. Amer. Mineral., 38, 932-63.
- Effenberger, H., Kluger, F. Paulus, H. and Wolfel, E.R. (1985) Crystal structure refinement of burbankite. *Neues Jahrb. Mineral.*, Mh., 161–70.
- Fleischer, M. (1965) Some aspects of the geochemistry of yttrium and the lanthanides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 29, 755-72.
- Fleischer, M. (1978) Relative proportions of the lanthanides in minerals of the bastnaesite group. *Canad. Mineral.*, 16, 361–3.
- Fleischer, M. and Altschuler, Z.S. (1969) The relationship of rare-earth composition of minerals to geological environment. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 33, 725–32.
- Flink, G. (1901) On the minerals from Narsarsuk on the Tunugdliarfic in Southern Greenland. *Meddel. åm Gronland*, 24, 42–9.
- Fu, P. and Su, X. (1988) Baiyuneboite-(Ce) a new mineral. Chinese J. Geochem., 7, 348–56.
- Harris, D.C. (1972) Carbocernaite, a Canadian occur-

rence. Canad. Mineral., 11, 812-18.

- Hatzl, T. (1992) Die Genese Karbonatite- und Alkalivulkanit-assoziierten Fluorit-Baryt-Bastnäsit-Vererzung bei Kizilçaören (Türkei). Münchner Geol. Hefte, 8, 271 pp.
- Hogarth, D.D., Hartree, R., Loop, S. and Solberg, T.N. (1985) Rare-earth element minerals in four carbonatites near Gatineau, Quebec. *Amer. Mineral.*, 70, 1135–42.
- Kapustin, Yu.L. (1973) First find of huanghoite in the USSR. Dokl. Acad. Sci. USSR., 202, 116-9.
- Kapustin, Yu.L. (1980) Mineralogy of Carbonatites. Amerind Publishing, New Dehli, 259 pp.
- Khomyakov, A.P. (1995) Mineralogy of hyperagpaitic alkaline rocks. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 220 pp.
- Knudsen, C. (1991) Petrology, geochemistry and economic geology of the Qaquarssuk carbonatite complex. southern West Greenland. Monograph Series on Mineral Deposits, 29. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin-Stuttgart, 110 pp.
- Kogarko, L.N., Kononova, V.A., Orlova, M.P. and Woolley, A.R. (1995) Alkaline Rocks and Carbonatites of the World. Part 2: former USSR. Chapman and Hall, London, 226 pp.
- Kramm, U. and Kogarko, L.N. (1994) Nd and Sr isotope signatures of the Khibina and Lovozero agpaitic centres, Kola Alkaline Province, Russia. *Lithos*, **32**, 225–42.
- Kramm, U., Kogarko, L.N., Kononova, V.A. and Vartiainen, H. (1993) The Kola Alkaline Province of the CIS and Finland: precise Rb-Sr ages define 380-360 Ma age range for all magmatism. *Lithos*, **30**, 33-44.
- Kukharenko, A.A., Orlova, M.P., Bulakh, A.G., Bagdasarov, E.A., Rimskaya-Korsakova, O.M., Nefedov, E.I., Ilinsky, G.A., Sergeev, A.S. and Abakumova, N.B. (1965) The Caledonian complexes of ultrabasic-alkaline and carbonatite rocks on Kola peninsula and in Northern Karelia (geology, petrology, mineralogy and geochemistry). Nedra, Moscow, 772 pp. (in Russian).
- Milton, C., Ingram, B., Clark, J.R. and Dwornik, E.J. (1965) Mckelveyite, a new hydrous sodium barium rare-earth uranium carbonate mineral from Green River Formation, Wyoming. *Amer. Mineral.*, **50**, 593–612.
- Minakov, F.V. and Dudkin, O.B. (1974) Possible presence of carbonatite in the Khibiny alkalic massif. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (Earth Sci. Sect.)*, 215, 109–12.
- Minakov, F.V., Dudkin, O.B. and Kamenev, E.A. (1981) The Khibina carbonatite complex. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (Earth Sci. Sect.)*, **259**, 58–60.
- Nelson, D.R., Chivas, A.R., Chappel, B.W. and McCulloch, M.T. (1988) Geochemical and isotopic systematics in carbonatites and implication for the

evolution of ocean-island sources. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 52, 1-17.

- Ngwenya, B.T. (1994) Hydrothermal rare earth mineralization in carbonatites of the Tundulu complex, Malawi: processes at the fluid/rock interface. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **58**, 2061–72.
- Ni, Y., Hughes, J.M. and Mariano, A.N. (1993) The atomic arrangement of bastnäsite-(Ce), Ce(CO<sub>3</sub>)F, and structural elements of synchysite-(Ce), röntgenite-(Ce) and parisite-(Ce). *Amer. Mineral.*, 78, 415–8.
- Olsen, J.C., Shawe, D.R., Pray, L.C. and Sharp, W.N. (1954) Rare-earth mineral deposit of the Mountain Pass district, San Bernardino County, California. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 261, 75 pp.
- Pecora, W.T. and Kerr, J.H. (1953) Burbankite and calkinsite, two new carbonate minerals from Montana. *Amer. Mineral.*, 38, 1169–83.
- Platt, R.G. and Woolley, A.R. (1990) The carbonatites and fenites of Chipman Lake, Ontario. *Canad. Mineral.*, 28, 241–50.
- Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distance in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr.*, A 32, 751–67.
- Somina, M.Ya. (1975) Dolomite and ankerite carbonatites from the East Siberia. Nedra, Moscow, 91 pp. (in Russian).
- Voloshin, A.V., Subbotin, V.V., Yakovenchuk, V.N., Pakhomovsky, Y.A., Menshikov, Yu.P. and Zaitsev A.N. (1990) Mckelveyite from carbonatites and hydrothermalites of alkaline rocks, Kola peninsula (the first findings in the USSR). *Zap. Vses. Mineral. Obshch.*, **119 (6)**, 76–86 (in Russian).
- Voloshin, A.V., Subbotin, V.V., Yakovenchuk, V.N., Pakhomovsky, Y.A., Menshikov, Yu.P., Nadezhdina, T.N. and Pustcharovsky, D.Yu. (1992) New data on the ewaldite. *Vses. Mineral. Obshch.*, **121 (1)**, 56–67 (in Russian).
- Wakita, H., Rey, P. and Schmitt, R.A. (1971) Abundances of the 14 rare-earth elements and 12 other trace-elements in Apollo 12 samples: five igneous and one breccia rocks and four soils. *Proc.* 2nd Lunar Sci. Conf., 1319–29.
- Wall, F. and Mariano, A.N. (1996) Rare earth minerals in carbonatites: a discussion centred on the Kangankunde Carbonatite, Malawi. In: *Rare Earth Minerals: Chemistry, Origin and Ore Deposits.* (A.P.

Jones, F. Wall and C.T. Williams, eds.). Mineralogical Society Series, 7. Chapman and Hall, London, 193–225.

- Wall, F., Le Bas, M.J. and Srivastava, R.K. (1993) Calcite and carbocernaite exsolution and cotectic textures in a Sr, *REE*-rich carbonatite dyke from Rajasthan, India. *Mineral. Mag.*, 57, 495-513.
- Williams, C.T. (1996) Analysis of rare earth minerals. In: *Rare Earth Minerals: Chemistry, Origin and Ore Deposits.* (A.P. Jones, F. Wall and C.T. Williams, eds.). Mineralogical Society Series, 7. Chapman and Hall, London, 327–48.
- Wood, D.A., Joron, J.L., Treuil, M., Norry, M. and Tarney, J. (1979) Elemental and Sr isotope variations in basic lavas from Iceland and surrounding ocean floor. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, **70**, 319–39.
- Woolley, A.R. and Kempe, D.R.C. (1989) Carbonatites: nomenclature, average chemical composition, and element distribution. In: *Carbonatites: Genesis and Evolution* (K. Bell, ed.). Unwin Hyman, London, 1–14.
- Zaitsev, A.N. (1996) Rhombohedral carbonates from carbonatites of the Khibina massif, Kola peninsula, Russia. *Canad. Mineral.*, 34, 453–68.
- Zaitsev, A.N., Menshikov, Yu.P. and Yakovenchuk, V.N. (1992) Barium zeolites from Khibina alkaline massif. *Zap. Vses. Mineral. Obshch.*, **121 (2)**, 54–61 (in Russian).
- Zaitsev, A.N., Yakovenchuk, V.N., Chao, G.Y., Gault, R.A., Subbotin, V.V., Pakhomosky, Ya.A. and Bogdanova A.N. (1996) Kukharenkoite-(Ce), Ba<sub>2</sub>Ce(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F, a new mineral from Kola peninsula, Russia, and Quebec, Canada. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 8, 1327–36.
- Zdorik, T.B. (1966) Burbankite and its alteration. In *New Data on Minerals from USSR*, **17**, Nauka, Moscow, 60–75 (in Russian).
- Zhabin, A.G., Shumyatskaya, N.G. and Samsonova, N.S. (1971) Burbankite from the Arbarastakh carbonatite complex. In: *New Data on Minerals from USSR*, **20**, Nauka, Moscow, 202–4 (in Russian).
- Zhang, P. and Tao, K. (1986) *Bayan Obo Mineralogy*. Science Publisher, Beijing, 208 pp. (in Chinese).
- [Manuscript received 25 February 1997: revised 4 August 1997]