

# Paleo sea levels reconsidered from direct observation of paleoshoreline position during Glacial Maxima (for the last 500,000 yr)

Marina Rabineau <sup>a,\*</sup>, Serge Berné <sup>b</sup>, Jean-Louis Olivet <sup>b</sup>, Daniel Aslanian <sup>b</sup>, François Guillocheau <sup>c</sup>, Philippe Joseph <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CNRS, UMR6538, Domaines Océaniques, IUEM, 29280 Plouzané, France

<sup>b</sup> IFREMER, DRO/GM, BP 70, 29280 Plouzané, France

<sup>c</sup> Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes 1, 35042 Rennes, France

<sup>d</sup> IFP, Division Géologie-Géochimie, BP311, 92 506 Rueil Malmaison, France

Received 7 September 2005; received in revised form 13 June 2006; accepted 18 September 2006

Available online 3 November 2006

Editor: V. Courtillot

## Abstract

The drastic climatic changes which characterise the cooling trend of the last few million years of Earth history led to variations in eustatic sea level that had tremendous impact on the geology and ecology of continental margins. Reconstructing a sea-level curve back in time is not an easy task. Observations of shoreline positions are always a local measurement of Relative Sea Level that needs to be corrected from the effect of tectonic and thermal subsidence, sediment loading, compaction and glacio-hydro isostasy. Extensive studies have been done for the last deglaciation and for the last 100,000 yr cycle. But very few studies deal with position of sea level during earlier cycles, simply because conditions are very rarely favourable for the preservation of such witnesses. The shelf of the *Golfe du Lion* (Western Mediterranean) reveals a unique record of shoreline paleopositions during glacial maxima of at least the last five circa 100 kyr glacial/interglacial cycles. In fact it is the entire glacial deltaic lobe of up to 50 m thick (from delta front or shoreface to prodelta) that has been preserved in place and which provides direct and independent constraints for relative sea-level minima. We measure a relative sea level of: –112m, –128, –134, –246 and –262 m for MIS 2, 6, 8, 10 and 12 respectively. After corrections taking into account postdepositional movement of strata (subsidence), we find, that sea level dropped to a depth of  $-102 \pm 6$  m during the last three glaciations (MIS2, MIS6, MIS8) but reached exceptionally low values of more than  $-150 \pm 10$  m during the preceding glaciations MIS10 and MIS 12 at about 340 and 434 kyr BP. This general time framework and sedimentological interpretation has been confirmed by preliminary results from two deep drillings during the PROMESS cruise (july 2004), which validate our methodology. However, no detailed and absolute datings of such witnesses are available so far, so that we cannot prove that these levels are the lowest ever reached during each glacials, but they correspond undoubtedly to the last preserved shoreface before rapid sea-level rise. We also suggest that the abrupt change in sea-level maxima might be the overprint of 400 kyr orbital periodicity cycles. Last but not least, these results prove that the *Golfe du Lion* is indeed a unique laboratory to study paleoclimates and sea-level variations on a larger time scale. Further work is needed for a complete

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 2 98 49 87 28; fax: +33 2 98 49 87 60.

E-mail address: [marina.rabineau@univ-brest.fr](mailto:marina.rabineau@univ-brest.fr) (M. Rabineau).

glacio-hydro-sedimento isostatic modelling of each sequence and each glacial to further constraint local sea level versus global sea level and quantify, in particular the relative effect of glacio-hydro isostatic effect (which differ according to ice sheet extend) but also of erosion–sedimentation isostatic effect (erosion on land and deposition on the outer shelf and slope).

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* sea-level changes; sea-level amplitudes; glacioeustatism; shoreline position; climatic cycles; glacial maxima; subsidence; *Golfe du Lion*; Gulf of Lions; Mediterranean Sea

## 1. Introduction

Throughout the Quaternary, sea-level evolution is directly linked to climate variations and the induced throb of ice sheets. During the last decades, extensive efforts have been made to obtain a subcontinuous record of paleoclimatic changes from the study of marine, continental or ice cores with, in particular, great progress in the use of isotopic ratios. However, such data do not give direct access to *sea-level* history. A simple linear relationship between the oxygen isotope record and global continental ice volume [1] or a more elaborate relationship [2] is often taken as a first approximation but is known to be too simplistic [3,1,2]. Direct proofs of sea-level position such as morphologic, diagenetic or organic features (e.g. marine notches, terraces, archeological data, beach rocks, peats or coral constructions...) can be obtained from geological evidence on continental margins to calibrate the curves. But sea level obtained in that way is a local Relative Sea level (RSL) as the Earth surface is subject to subsidence or uplift. This Relative Sea level needs to be corrected from the effects of tectonic and thermal subsidence, sediment loading and compaction, glacio-hydro isostasy, gravitational potential, in order to obtain a Corrected Sea level (CSL). To do so with confidence, the geological context of the studied area needs to be chosen carefully and known in great detail. In any case, neither of these two approaches alone (isotopic and geological) is sufficient to reconstruct a continuous calibrated sea-level curve; we need to compare and combine their results. This has been done successfully for the *last deglaciation* (from 20 to 0 cal-kyr BP) using corals, dating methods ( $^{14}\text{C}$  and U/Th) [4–9], glacio-hydro-isostatic models [10–12] or hydraulic model of water exchange [13]. Few studies also tried to calibrate the last interglacial and the entire last glacial cycle (OIS 5 to OIS 3) in the same way using corals and dating methods [5,14–21] but also stalagmites, speleothems and dating methods [22,23] or again using glacio-hydrostatic models [24–26]. However, the comparison of all these studies shows that even the sea level at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) is still not so

well constrained and that discrepancies subsist between estimates (Table 1 and Fig. 1). On a longer time scale, apart from the original study of Rohling [27] using variations in salinity in the Red Sea as observed in cores and related to sea-level variations for the last 500,000 yr and the following work of Siddall using hydraulic modelling in the Red Sea [13], and the new long drillings in reefs [28], there have been very few studies using direct geological field evidence to estimate quantitative paleo-sea-level amplitudes, especially for low stands and earlier glacials (Table 1). The work of Ferland [29] is one of the few attempts based on sediment cores on the shelf. The present work provides new estimates of Corrected sea-level amplitude for the last five glacials on the outer shelf of the *Golfe du Lion*, Western Mediterranean Sea.

## 2. Method: using seismic images to measure sea-level amplitude

Many factors of global, regional or local scale have long been recognised to control the overall geometry and deposition of sediments. Seismic stratigraphy was developed at the end of the 70's, to address part of those questions that were critical for petroleum exploration [30–36] but this type of work deals with long-term evolution of sea level with sequences of the order of 1 Ma.

On shorter time scales, (i.e. Pleistocene), many studies have also been undertaken on continental margins. They are too numerous to be cited. However, we note that, to our knowledge, very few attempts have been made to use these observations to estimate sea level. This was also partly due to the inability to drill in shallow water, that has long hampered progress in reconstructing sea-level history [37]. So, in most studies paleoclimatic curves derived from isotopic data were used as a reference and sequences observed on the field were correlated directly to the paleoclimatic curves (e.g. [38,39]). One attempt of amplitude determination after the work of [40,41] was proposed by Skene using numerical stratigraphic modelling [42] that led him to a modification of sea-level curves (see his curve in Fig. 1).

Table 1

Synthesis of results for the estimation of Sea level at glacial maxima during LGM, MIS 6 to MIS12 from literature using different methods

Oxygen isotope stage	Age (kyr)	Sea-level estimates (meters below present SL)	Ice equivalent sea level (meters below PSL)	Authors	Location	Method
Stage 2.2	18 <sup>14</sup> C-kyr (=21 cal-kyr)		127	CLIMAP, 1981	Global	Climate models
			163			
	20 cal-kyr		160	Hughes et al. 1977	Global	Ice sheets
			140			
	21 cal-kyr			Lambeck et al., 2002 (Fig. 11)	Barbados, Bonaparte Gulf, Sunda shelf, Tahiti, Huon, Christchurch	RSL records and isostasy
	30–19 cal-kyr			Lambeck and Chappell 2001 (Fig. 3b)		RSL records and isostasy
			105	Fleming et al., 1998	Barbados	RSL records and isostasy
	18–17 cal-kyr		RSL = 105–115	Peltier, 2002-QSR		RSL records and isostasy
	18–17 cal-kyr		RSL = 135–143	Peltier, 2002 (reply)	Barbados, Bonaparte Gulf, Huon peninsula, Tahiti	RSL records and isostasy
	19 cal-kyr		125	Lambeck and Bard, 2000	Mediterranean Sea	RSL records and isostasy
	19 cal-kyr		118	Camoin et al., 2001	Moruroa, French Polynesia	Corals
21 cal-kyr		116	Camoin et al., 2004	Indian Ocean, Mayotte	Corals (Acropora + Galasea + lithophyllum + hydrolithon)	
19 cal-kyr		114			Drilled coral reefs, <i>Porites</i>	
19–22 cal-kyr			Fairbanks, 1989	Barbados	Drilled <i>Palmata</i> coral	
19–22 cal-kyr		121 to 125 (±4)	Yokoyama et al., 2000	Bonaparte Gulf	Sed cores	
19–22 cal-kyr		Discuss those results	Yokoyama et al., 2001a	Bonaparte Gulf	RSL records and isostasy	
18 <sup>14</sup> C-kyr		130	Shennan and Milne, 2003	Bonaparte Gulf	Cores analysis	
20 cal-kyr		120	Chappell and Shackleton, 1986			
		Above 130 m	Rohling, 1998	Red Sea	Salinities in sed cores	
		Above 125 m	Siddall, 2003	Red Sea	Hydro Model	
Stage 6.2	135 kyr	125	Ferland et al., 1995	Shelf Southeastern Australia	Core analysis	
135 kyr		130	Schackleton, 1987	Huon peninsula	Oxygen isotopes	
135 kyr		125	Chappell and Shackleton, 1986	Deep Pacific	Uplifted sequences	
		Above 130 m	Rohling, 1998	Red Sea	Salinities in sed cores	
Stage 8.2	270 kyr	Above 120 m	Ferland et al., 1995	Shelf Southeastern Australia	Core analysis	
Stage 10.2	340 kyr	122–134		Red Sea	Salinities in sed cores	
Stage 12.2	440 kyr	139	Rohling, 1998	Red Sea	Salinities in sed cores	

Note the discrepancies in the results. References (as appearing in column 5) [65,81,26,82–87,45,28,9,4,5,64,11,68,88,69,27].

Our study gives an estimate of relative sea-level magnitudes during the last five glacial maxima from unique direct seismic observation of delta front using

high quality and very high resolution Sparker Seismic data acquired in a unique geological context: the *Golfe du Lion* (see details in Sections 3 and 4).

### 3. Studied site: the *Golfe du Lion*, an unique site to study sea-level variations

The *Golfe du Lion* offers a perfect natural laboratory for sea-level calibration of glacial maxima for the climatic cycles during Plio-Pleistocene (Fig. 2) as: (a) the Mediterranean Sea has been connected to the global ocean throughout Plio-Quaternary time, so that sea-level variations are directly linked to Arctic and Antarctic ice caps fluctuations (b) The *Golfe du Lion* is a young margin resulting from the rotation of the Corso-Sardinian block between about 21 Myr and 15 Myr [43,44]. The subsidence is still underway at present and continually creates a large amount of space to be filled by sediments (i.e. an accommodation); (c) During the Last Glacial Maximum, mountain glaciers covered a large part of the Alps, and there were smaller glaciers in the Pyrenees and the Massif Central. However, neither the drainage basins nor the shelf was covered by ice (Fig. 2-B). Moreover, the *Golfe du Lion* is situated sufficiently far from former ice sheets (hundreds of kilometers) for important glacio-isostasy of the margin to be excluded, so that sea level follows, in a first approximation, the eustatic changes (Cit. [45], p.204); (d) The mountain glaciers did not

significantly impact on global sea level but their presence led to important erosion and scouring providing an important source of sandy sediments, to be transported by rivers and ultimately deposited in the *Golfe du Lion*; (e) The western part of the Gulf, on which we will focus our study, is not under the direct influence of deposits coming from the Rhone (Figs. 2-C and 3); the reduced sediment input, together with a wide shelf (up to 70 km) and ongoing subsidence, favoured a *progressive* filling of the available space, and therefore the recording of the comings and goings of shorelines, and the rise and fall of sea levels.

As a result, previous attempts have been successfully undertaken to estimate LGM sea level and Deglacial sea-level curves in the *Golfe du Lion* and nearby, using shallow core data [46–48] and glacio-hydro isostatic modelling: during LGM, sea levels along the coast and immediate off-shore areas stood at between 105–115 m below present sea level [45]. The glacio-hydro isostatic signals in this region, at LGM, are of the order of 10–15% of the eustatic contribution and vary significantly across the shelf because of the water loading.

So far, no estimates were available in the *Golfe du Lion* for earlier cycles and earlier glacial maxima.




Fig. 1. Synthesis of sea-level data (from isotopic curves, [4,5,71,2,72–74] glacioisostatic modelling [26], stratigraphic modelling [42], or punctual measurements (corals, spéléothems, salinities...)). Crosses are sea-level estimates for the last glacial-interglacial cycle based on U–Th dated coral reefs and other evidence (full references in Waelbroeck [2]), other punctual measurements ([27,69,19,21]). Scale on right axis: variations in mean ocean water  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  derived from atmospheric  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . Scale on left axis (RSL) for punctual measurements. Note the differences and the uncertainties associated with the different curves and measurements for sea-level estimates.

#### 4. Defining Paleoshoreline positions through time and duration of sequences

The recent compilation of bathymetric data [49] shows that the continental shelf comprises three main areas (Figs. 2-C and D and 3).

- (a) On the inner part, between the present coast 0 m and isobath 90 m (around 30 km seaward of the coast), bathymetric contour lines indicate a step from 0–10 m corresponding to present day prism PII (shoreface sandy deposits), then smooth contour lines with bulges related to the subaqueous part (prodelta) of transgressive and high stand deltaic lobes that have been mapped, sampled and dated (post 18 kyr-C14) ([46,50–52]).
- (b) On the outer part, between 90 and 100 m, bathymetric contour lines indicate a very flat area with smaller scale rough morphology. A distinct notch or step occurs at the end of this area at 110 m (Fig. 3). Shallow cores (few meters long) indicate that sediments outcropping (from 90 to 110 m) are sands [53] (see also Fig. 2 in [54]).
- (c) Between 120 and 160 m, isobaths are smooth again on interfluves with silty to muddy prodeltaic offshore deposits.

The compilation and 3D analysis of Very High Resolution seismic data (Sparker and 2.5 kHz data) combined with the analysis of shallow cores, shows that the sedimentation repeats through time with a distinct sedimentary motif PI/PII/(PIII) developed between major erosion surfaces D30, D40, D50, D60 and D70 [54] (Fig. 4-A and B). Five sequences S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5 are therefore stacked vertically and separated by the erosion surfaces, clearly identifiable on seismic profiles.

Evidence integrating lithology, palynology, micropaleontology, from 21 cores sampling the last sequence S5 with 14 radiocarbon datings together with seismic stratigraphy and numerical stratigraphic modelling demonstrate that the top of PII-t2, which corresponds to the step observed on the outer shelf (Fig. 3) represents the preserved position of the delta front/shoreface during the LGM and that the outcome motif preserved on the outer shelf is the result of one 100,000 yr climatic cycle [54].

S5 is the result of deposition/erosion throughout a cycle of variation of sea level (MIS3/MIS2/MIS1) as summarised on Fig. 4-B. MIS5 was deposited during the high stand on the inner shelf (as in present day conditions), and eroded during following sea level lowering. PI-t1 was deposited during MIS3, (Core VK-20 shows a prodeltaic, upper offshore paleoenvironment


(Ostracodes (mostly infralittoral species, 60 m water depth) dated at 45 cal-kyr BP, steppic pollens and Dinokystes of cold period). PII-t2 and PI-t2 are deposited during MIS2: paleoenvironments of PI-t2 in C12 are shallow (30–40 m according to Pteropodes and Foraminifera) and dated at 23.1 cal-kyr BP, Paleoenvironment of PII-t2 is very shallow (delta front-upper shoreface (5–10 m water depth) with in some places the formation of beachrocks at the top (0 m water depth) dated between 21 cal-kyr and 15.5 cal-kyr [55,56]. PIII-t3 is very limited in thickness, it takes the form of reworking sand dunes that are deposited during MIS1 (C9 shows both cold and warm Foraminifera, dated at 14,139 cal-kyr). A full description of this data set is published in Rabineau et al. [54]. More detailed work has also been undertaken for the transgressive dunes [57] and the last sequence [56].

The geometry of this area represents the ancestral landscape as it stood some 20 thousand years ago (during MIS2) with little reworking during the subsequent rapid transgression [58,59]. We showed [60,54] that the motif PI/PII/(PIII) is complete, only, in the western part of the Gulf (around the Aude-Hérault canyons). Towards the East (the Rhône) and to the South (Cap Creus) PII is often missing (not deposited or eroded).

In depth, seismic profiles show a total of five sequences of prisms PI/PII/(PIII)(S1 to S5), separated by major erosional surfaces: D30, D40, D50, D60, D70 (Figs. 3 and 4). Each prism PII corresponds to the deposition and preservation of the shoreline–shoreface of glacial times. The preservation of the shoreline–shoreface is not the same everywhere, i.e. erosion occurs (subaerial and submarine) (Fig. 5). So, defining the zone where shoreline is the most well preserved needs a detailed 3D interpretation of all seismic lines. This has been done in great detail in the Aude-Hérault area with detailed maps of all units and surfaces [59,60,54]. This work enables us to map the shoreline at the time of glacial maxima and to define the outer limit of best preserved glacial delta front as seen on seismic profiles (Fig. 5) and mapped in 3D (Fig. 6).

We tested the hypothesis that each of the sequences was being deposited during a cycle of the same order of magnitude and duration as S5, i.e.: 100 000 yr. This hypothesis effectively enabled us to reproduce correct geometries using numerical stratigraphic modelling [60,54]. So the last 5 erosion surfaces and preserved delta front-shoreface correspond to delta front related to MIS2, MIS6, MIS8, MIS10 and MIS12.

Preliminary results from two deep drillings realised during the PROMESS cruise (july 2004) confirm the overall chronostratigraphic framework for the last







Fig. 3. Detailed bathymetry of the study zone on the outer shelf in the area of the Aude-Hérault canyons (modified from Berné et al. [49]). A2: head 2 of the Aude canyon; H1 to H4: heads of the Herault canyons (nomenclature from Berné et al. [79]). Crosses represent core samples, crosses with circles represent cores with datings (core numbers in red). The black line represents the location of the seismic profile shown in Fig. 3. Black dots on orange and yellow colours represent the extension of sandy littoral deposits of Last Glacial Maximum (MIS 2).

500,000 yr (originally based on seismic stratigraphy interpretation and numerical stratigraphic modelling): “The occurrence of *Pseudoemiliana lacunosa* below 270 mbsf indicates that Marine isotope stage MIS 12 was reached at the bottom of the hole GL1 on the upper

slope” [Promess cruise Newsletter 3], [61] which corresponds to D30 at the top of S1 (<http://www.pangaea.de/Projects/PROMESS1/Cruise>).

The preservation of such paleoshorelines—shoreface through successive glacial/interglacials is unique (a

Fig. 2. Location and bathymetry of the *Golfe du Lion*. A) Location of the *Golfe du Lion* within the Mediterranean Sea. B) The *Golfe du Lion* with its present day simplified drainage basins (mainly the Rhone to the North, the pyreneolanguedocian rivers to the West) delimited by thick red lines. In white: average and simplified maximum extension of the mountainous glaciers during last glacial maximum (LGM) (drawn and simplified using data from [75–77]). The dotted white line shows the extension of the alpine glaciers during the penultimate Riss glaciation (MIS 6). C) Detailed bathymetric map (modified from Berné et al. [49]). A: Aude (or Bourcart) canyon; H: Hérault canyon. Note the three distinct morphologic areas from coast to shelf break and slope: (a) an area coloured in orange on the inner shelf (between the present coast and isobath 90 m) (around 30 km seaward of the coast) with smooth bathymetric contours and a regular dip with an average slope of 0.3–0.45% (i.e. 0.17–0.25°). The deposits there correspond to post 18 kyr<sup>-14</sup>C sediments [46,47,78]; (b) a very flat area on the outer shelf (depth 90–100 m, width 20 to 40 km) coloured in yellow with rough isobaths and a very low angle of slope 0.05–0.25% (0.015–0.03°). At the end of (b), a clear step occurs at a depth of about 112 m (in the western area of the gulf) and reaches dips of 4°. Surficial cores (several meters) indicate that sediments outcropping between 98–112 m are sand deposits (black dots in Fig. 3); (c) an area coloured in blue with steeper slopes and smooth isobaths, between 120 and 160 m on interflaves (with slopes around 0.4%). The blue line represents the location of seismic profile interpreted in D). D) Profile from present day Coast (with present day sandy yellow prism PII), to the outer shelf (with regressive sandy yellow prism PII from MIS2). Note the three areas (a), (b), and (c) previously described. Grey deposits correspond to transgressive and high stand deposits (post 18 kyr<sup>-14</sup>C).



clear position of the shoreline was not the case for Skene et al., 1998 for example, or in Adriatic where there is very little sands): and enables a quantification of sea-level amplitude because we really can “see” the shoreface (or the delta front) preserved at each glacials.

## 5. Defining “total subsidence”

Previous studies in the area have shown that tectonic subsidence associated with rifting was small and that most of the subsidence, which takes the form of a seaward tilting of the shelf, only started in Miocene time as a consequence of postrift thermic cooling enhanced by sediment loading [62,63]. This subsidence effect is clearly shown on seismic profiles where erosion surfaces, which represent the same environment at different times, show angles of inclination that increase with age (with depth below earth surface) (Fig. 7). The present day depth of paleoshorelines therefore corresponds to the depth below SL at time of deposition plus the movement of the floor after deposition. Here we can measure the tilting on the seismic profiles by the inclination of successive erosion surfaces (Fig. 7). This analysis has been done at the Plioquaternary scale (for the last 5.3 Ma) using a dense grid of industrial petroleum seismic data and industrial drillings that give access to paleobathymetries and thicknesses of deposits through time. The seismic shows that our studied area (in the languedocien region) subsided regularly without substantial local deformation; this enables us to propose a model for the subsidence with a linear tilt. The rate increases with the distance from the Coast, it reaches a rate of 250 m/Ma at 70 km from the coast, for the last 5.3 Ma [60].

Of course, an uncertainty is associated with the estimate of subsidence, corresponding to errors in the measurements, time-depth conversion, paleomorphology variations, and the linear interpolation. We estimated this error to  $\pm 10$  m/Ma.

This “total” subsidence measured from a geometrical point of view is the overall outcome of any processes by which the ground surface has moved on average at the margin scale.

## 6. From RSL to CSL at successive glacial maxima

The measurement of Relative Sea Level (RSL) from our data can be done relative to present day Sea Level ( $t=0$ ). It is defined as the difference between present water depth  $W_{(0)}$  and paleo-waterdepth of the soil ( $b$ ) at time of deposition  $t$ .  $RSL_{(t)} = W_{(0)} - b_{(t)}$ , (Fig. 3B). Paleobathymetry  $b_{(t)}$  is not an easy parameter to define in sediments, it is usually estimated from cores with a description and evolution of sedimentary facies with microfossil assemblages defining open marine, shallow marine, marginal marine and brackish environment e.g. [29,11,64]. In the western part of the *Golfe du Lion*, seismic data images directly provide the position of the delta front at glacial maximum (prism PII) and its present day depth  $W_{(0)}$ . This point has been taken on the most seaward position of prism PII, where it has last been deposited and most well preserved, i.e. near the Hérault H1 and H2 canyon heads (Figs. 5 and 6). This position corresponds to the mouth of the paleoriver feeding and building this lobe (the MIS2 shelf edge delta lobe) (Figs. 3 and 5). This last lobe is the most well preserved and has not been eroded afterwards, as pictured on the seismic images (Figs. 4 and 5). Therefore, at that point, the paleo-waterdepth  $b$  is equal to 0 (with an uncertainty of 10 m; i.e.  $b = 5 \pm 5$  m), we measure a water depth of 112 m, RSL at LGM was  $5 \pm 5$  m above the measured point.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } RSL_{(LGM)} &= W_{(0)} - b_{(LGM)} = 112 - 5 \\ &= 107 \pm 5 \text{ m below present day sea level} \end{aligned}$$

As paleoshoreface (or delta front) are all recorded in this area of the *Golfe du Lion*, and as we

Fig. 4. A) Seismic profile (vertical cross section) through the outer shelf in the western area of the *Golfe du Lion* (see position on Fig. 3). Vertical scale is two way travel time in milliseconds (left axis) and depth in meters (right axis). Black rectangles represent core positions with their names. Three kinds of seismic facies have been identified: in blue, low angle clinoforms made up of silts and clays (PI); in yellow, high angle clinoforms made up of sands (PII); in green dunes made up of reworked sand (PIII). The paired prisms PI–PII+(PIII)(blue-orange+green) form a sedimentary motif that repeats itself [54]. On the profile in A) three sequences (S3 to S5) are clearly identified. (the sandy prisms of Sequences S1 and S2, not visible on this profile, are on the Aude-Hérault interfluvial area). V.E: vertical exaggeration (ratio between vertical and horizontal scale). Conversions to meters assume sound velocity of 1500 m/s in water and 1600 m/s in sediments. Dotted black line is the multiple. B) Formation of the sedimentary motif throughout a cycle of sea-level variations. The sea-level curve is derived from Labeyrie, 1987 [71]. Black rectangles represent core positions with their names and red stars represent key cores with datings (red stars, ages in cal kyr as published in Rabineau et al. [54]). At  $t_1$  (MIS3) the shoreline is on the middle shelf of the Gulf, the depositional profile of deltaic sediments consists of a sandy prism PII (the shoreline) that evolves seaward to a silty prism PI (offshore deposits), both deposited during  $t_1$  and therefore called PII- $t_1$  and PI- $t_1$ . Sea-level drops during MIS2 ( $t_2$ ), and induces intense erosion on the shelf that completely erases prism PII- $t_1$ , further on the outer shelf a new prism PII- $t_2$  and PI- $t_2$  is deposited. Sea level rises rapidly during MIS1 ( $t_3$ ), and reworks previously deposited sediments on top of PI- $t_1$  and PII- $t_2$ . So, the final sedimentary motif preserved is a triplet PI/PII/PIII capped by a major erosional surface (in red). Note, that PIII is often absent in older sequences, because of erosion during subsequent lowering of sea level in the next sequence. This scenario has been fully described in Rabineau et al. [54], using evidence from lithology, palynology, micropaleontology, radiocarbon datings from 21 cores sampling the last sequence S5 together with seismic stratigraphy and numerical stratigraphic modelling core analysis.

interpreted seismic lines in 3D, we are able to define the point where the shoreline is most well preserved and therefore to calculate RSL during glacial maxima related to MIS 6,8,10 and 12 (Fig. 5 and Table 2). But those measurements need to be corrected from

the effect of subsidence.  $CSL = RSL - S$ , where  $S$  = total subsidence.

At 69 km from the present coast, total subsidence rate is  $245 \pm 10$  m/Myr (see Section 5 and Table 2 for details). For MIS 2 (20 calendar-ka); this tilt reaches




Fig. 5. Seismic profiles (vertical cross sections) (A) P\_1052; (B) P\_1055 and (C) P\_1036 through the outer shelf in the western area of the Golfe du Lion (see position on Fig. 3). Vertical scale is two way travel time in milliseconds (left axis) and depth in meters (right axis) (A) and (B) are at the same scale; the scale in (C) is twice as small (but same vertical exaggeration). Conversions to meters assume sound velocity of 1500 m/s in water and 1600 m/s in sediments. Three kinds of seismic facies have been identified: in blue, low angle clinoforms made up of silts and clays (PI); in yellow, high angle clinoforms made up of sands (PII); in green dunes made up of reworked sand (PIII). The paired prisms PI–PII + (PIII) (blue–orange + green) form the sedimentary motif that repeats itself. The Major discontinuities are in red between sequences. On the profile in A two sequences (S4 and S5) are clearly identified with the paired prisms PI–PII (blue–orange). But prism PII of S5 and prism PII of S4 have different geometries. Prism PII of S5 is less than 10 m thick on its outer limit and this step is not so steep. We interpret this geometry as due to erosion of PII in this area (probably submarine erosion during transgression). On the contrary, PII of S4 is thick (reaching 40 m) with a very steep step and very well defined and preserved sigmoïde shape. Here, prism PII has not been eroded. On the profile in B the five sequences are identified. In this area, prism PII of S5 is very well preserved from erosion, its top and outer limit represents the Coast at LGM (bathymetry=0), it lies at –112 m below present day sea level (RSL = –112 m). On the profile in C the two first sequences S1 and S2 are identified. The interfluvium Aude–Hérault is the only place where their prisms PII (yellow) can be observed in this area.




Fig. 5 (continued).




Fig. 6. 3D Mapping of the most seaward limits (maximum progradation) of prisms PII associated to the last five major 100,000 yr cycles (S1 to S5, MIS12 to MIS2). The location of the area is the same as Fig. 3. The limits correspond to the maximum progradation of preserved shoreface during glacial. The black dots represent the most well preserved shoreline where measurements of RSL and CSL have been performed (see Table 2) D30, D40, D50, D60 and D70 correspond respectively to MIS12, MIS10, MIS8, MIS6, MIS2. (D30)=434 ka, (D40)=341 ka, (D50)=248, (D60)=135, (D70)=20 cal-age in ka. Age of deposit is taken from the interpretation of Rabineau, [60,54] the ages are from isotope stages as defined on the SPECMAP curve [73,80]. Note the absolute necessity to do a 3D analysis of seismic data, as the prisms are not all visible on one single profile. Dotted lines correspond to a zone where the prism PII is eroded at the top.

$5 \pm 1$  m. Thus, corrected sea level at isotope stage 2.2 (LGM) is

$$CSL_{(LGM)} = 102 \pm 6 \text{ m. at MIS 2.2}$$

This value is consistent with previous estimates in the region based on molluscs ages [46–48] and also based on glacio-hydro isostatic modelling: during LGM, sea levels along the coast and immediate off-shore areas stood at between 105–115 m below present sea level [45]. More recent studies suggested a RSL of at least –115 m [56] but this value is not corrected from subsidence of the shelf.

The question, now, is whether or not our measured and corrected sea level corresponds to the global value of sea level? As noted in Lambeck and Bard, the isostatic correction is of the order of 10–15 m so that the Global glacio-hydro-isostatically corrected value would be about 112–117 $\pm$ 7 or 8, which is not very different from that found elsewhere. However, part of this isostatic correction due to the water unloading

compensated by the sediment loading at the same time. During LGM, sea level is about –100–120 m below present, this creates a deficit in water loading on the shelf. But, at the same time, sediment has also been deposited on the outer shelf, on the slope and in the deep sea (see thickness of S5, about 30 m, on Figs. 4 and 5 for example); these deposits induce an extra loading that could well compensate the water-loading deficit (as density of sediment is higher than density of water). This calculation ought to be modelled in details in the near future, also taking into account the timing of events (deposition versus sea-level drop).

As calculated for stage 2, we defined the Corrected Local position of sea level during successive glacial maxima MIS12, MIS10, MIS8 and MIS 6 that give respective values of 149, 150, 86, and 92 m (see Table 2 and Figs. 5 and 8). Glacio-hydro-sediment isostatic corrections should also be done. They will not be the same for each glacial maximum and will depend on the location of ice margins, on




Fig. 7. A) Line drawing of a seismic profile from present day coast to the outer shelf with a preservation of LGM shoreline position. The location of the profile is drawn on Fig. 1. The profile shows several erosional surfaces (in red) and preserved paleoshoreface (prisms PII, in yellow). Sediments stack vertically thanks to the tilt of the margin as imaged by the increasing angles of erosional surfaces. Black dots represent the preserved position of delta front (or top of shoreface) B) Sketch showing the effect of total subsidence (S) and the relation between measured Relative Sea Level (RSL) and Corrected Sea Level (CSL) after corrections from subsidence.

the sea level itself, and on the quantity of sediment eroded and deposited.

## 7. Paleoclimatic implications

If our model of subsidence is correct, our results show that:

- (1) Corrected sea level at LGM fell to  $-102 \pm 6$  m on the outer shelf of the *Golfe du Lion* during MIS2. The CLIMAP project provided two possible scenarios for ice sheet extent and volumes [65] a minimum scenario with restricted ice sheets leading to a LGM of  $-127$  m and a maximum scenario with expanded marine ice-sheets accounting for  $-163$  m of sea-level lowering. Clark et al. [66], in an introduction to a recent issue of QSR, dedicated to the results of the EPILOG project [66,67], concluded that even though the now well-constrained ice-sheet extent was near

the maximum scenario of CLIMAP, sea level at the LGM was only between  $-120$  and  $-135$  m. Our study, based on paleoshorelines, suggests that this value is still overestimated. However our estimate is still local and no isostatic corrections were applied. Previous estimates for sea-level position at the LGM were very weakly constrained by direct observations and were often dependent on strong assumptions inherent to indirect methods. The studies on corals, that have proven to be very efficient for the last deglaciation, present only two measures for the LGM:  $-118$  m [6] and  $> -125$  m [8] (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The latter value could be decreased by an amount of 20 m (and therefore be  $-105$  m), because the *Porites* corals seem to be able to live down to 20 m [68]. A recent study on the Sunda shelf [64] gives a value of  $-116$  m (at 21 ka) but as stated by the authors it is "poorly defined." Rohling also found a value of  $-120$  m but his

Table 2

Estimate of Corrected Sea Level (CSL) from the depth of successive shorelines and subsidence corrections

1 $D_i$	2 MIS	3 $D$ (km)	4 $W_c$ (ms)	5 $W_s$ (ms) on profile	6 $W_m$ (m)	7 $b$ (m)	8 RSL (m)	9 RSL (m)	10 $R$ (m/Myr)	11 $A$ (ka)	12 $S$ (m)	13 CSL (m)	14 CSL (m)
D70	Stage 2.2	69	150	150 P1055 150-P1047	112	0–10	-102–112	-107±5	245±10	20±5	5±1	(97)–107±1	-102±6
D60	Stage 6.2	66	157 140	168 P1052 170 P1046	128 128	0–10	-11 8–128	-123±5	232±9	135±5	31±2	(85)–97±2	-92±7
D50	Stage 8.02	53	122	174-P1046	134	0–10	-124–134	-129±5	175±7	247,6±5	43±3	(81)–91±3	-86±8
D40	Stage 10.2	74	182	323-P1036	246	0–10	-236–246	-241±5	267±10	341±5	91±5	(145)–155±5	-150±9
D30	Stage 12.2	70	157	342-P1036	262	0–10	-252–262	-257±5	250±10	434±5	108±5	(144)–154±5	-149±10

Column 1:  $D_i$  Erosional surface names as interpreted from seismic profiles [60,54]. Column 2: MIS: Marine Isotope Stage. Column 3:  $D$  (km): distance from the present day coast as measured along the profile. Column 4:  $W_c$ : Water-column height above shorelines (in ms twtt). Column 5:  $W_s$  top shoreline depth below present sea level is measured on seismic profiles P (in milliseconds two way travel time). Column 6:  $W_m$  Top shoreline depth below present sea-level calculation in meters, considering a velocity in water  $V1=1500$  m/s and in the sediments  $V2=1600$  m/s.  $W_m = (W_c \times 0.75) + (W_s - W_c \times 0.8)$ . Column 8:  $b$  Paleobathymetry (m) and uncertainty in measurement. Column 9: RSL Relative Sea-Level calculation. Column 10:  $R$ : Subsidence rate that varies as a function of the tilt of the margin (from 0 m/Myr at 13 km to 250 m/Myr ( $\pm 10$  m/Myr) at 70 km from present day coast). The rate is constant through time but varies according to the position along the profile:  $R$  (m/Myr) =  $(D - 13) * 250 / (70 - 13)$ . The uncertainty reflects the uncertainty in the calculation. Column 11:  $A$ : Age of deposit is taken from dated isotope stages as defined on the SPECMAP curve and is associated with an average error of 5 kyr [73,80]. Column 12:  $S$ : The amount of subsidence (tilt) is calculated from subsidence rate and the age of the deposit (the associated error is related to errors on the age and on the subsidence). Corrected sea level (CSL) (column 13 and 14) is calculated from the shoreface depth  $W$  (m) (column 6) minus the amount of subsidence (column 12) minus a correction for paleobathymetry estimates:  $b$  (column 8).

estimation is dependent on the *a priori* that sea level was  $-125$  m at MIS-6. Combining the large ice sheet extent (near the maximum CLIMAP value) and our value for lowstand sea level for the

LGM, would imply that ice sheets were therefore much thinner than presently assumed. One could also argue that the observed shoreface does not correspond to the lowest sea level during MIS2. It




Fig. 8. Sea-level curves and geological calibration. Composite sea-level curve (SL) in grey with maximum variability interval (grey zone) derived from isotopic records as shown in Fig. 1. Empty circles: sea level low stands estimated by Rohling [27]. Red filled circles: estimated sea level low stands from this study.

is true that no detailed and absolute datation of such shoreface is available so far, so that we do not prove that these levels are the lowest ever reached during each glacials. However, we do not observe shoreface at lower depth on the shelf.

- (2) Corrected sea level during MIS6 on the outer shelf of the *Golfe du Lion*, is also much higher,  $-92 \pm 7$  m, than usually assumed (around  $-125$  m). In fact, sea level during MIS 6 is even more poorly constrained; most studies are indirect, mainly based on oxygen isotopic data, which are not a direct function of sea level [69,1]. The study from Ferland et al., gives a sea-level drop to a depth less than 125 m because cores showed no evidence of subaerial erosion. No glacio-hydro isostatic modelling has ever been undertaken for MIS6, the ice volume of the Eurasian ice sheet may have been larger than during stage 2, this isostatic contribution may also well have been larger and the calculation needs to be done.
- (3) Our local sea-level measurement on the outer shelf of the *Golfe du Lion*, imply important differences in sea-level minima: MIS 2, 6, 8 are of the same magnitude and the lowstands dropped to a much lower value during MIS 10 and 12. This abrupt change occurs around 350-kyr and we suggest that it could be related (together with the change in cycles duration and magnitude at 800-kyr) to the 400 kyr cycles associated with the excentricity of the Earth that have surprisingly rarely been observed in most paleoclimatic records [70].
- (4) At MIS 10 and 12, sea level dropped to  $-150 \pm 9$  and  $-149 \pm 10$  m respectively. Rohling [27] using a very different and original method (extreme high-salinity conditions recorded in cores from the Red Sea) also found greater glaciations during MIS 10 and 12 (Table 2). Not taking into account the absolute value (based on the *a priori* that SL was  $-125$  at MIS6), Rohling found glaciations 15 m greater during MIS10 and 12 compared to MIS6 (Fig. 8). Our lowstand estimates reflect much more extreme glaciations during MIS 10 and MIS12 (60 m greater) than MIS6. Previous studies always concluded that MIS 12 is more intense than stage 10. Here, we found the same order of magnitude. One could argue that the sequence deposited during MIS 10 was totally eroded, implying a misdating of the oldest two sequences which would then be older. However, this hypothesis would require an especially intense glaciation during MIS 8 in order to erode a complete sequence, for which there is no

present evidence (see Fig. 5C for example where sequences are fully preserved on the upper slope at the location of GL1 PROMESS core).

## 8. Conclusions

Knowledge of glacio-eustatic changes is essential for the estimation of ice volumes, for a better understanding of the rates and amplitudes of glacial build-up and decay.

Direct field evidence gathered in the *Golfe du Lion*, gives a geological validation of 100,000 yr glacial–interglacial cycles, which are recorded by the progradation–retrogradation of the shoreline as a consequence of sea-level fluctuations. The record and preservation of paleoshorelines and shorefaces on the outer shelf corrected from the model of subsidence of the margin allow the assessment of sea-level lowering during successive glaciations. We conclude that glaciations were much milder during MIS 8, 6, 2, with a drop of sea level smaller than glaciations during MIS 10 and MIS 12 with a drop of sea level much higher than previously published estimates. These results are conditioned by our global model for subsidence. As no detailed and absolute datations of such shorefaces are available so far, we cannot prove that these levels are the lowest ever reached during each glacials.

We also suggest that the abrupt change in these extreme glaciations recorded in the sedimentary pattern of the *Golfe du Lion* may mark the imprint of 400,000 yr cycles associated with orbital excentricity [70]. We further raise the question: could the transition from 40,000 to 100,000 yr cycles that occurred around 800 kyr have the same origin? Further attention needs to be drawn to the 400,000 yr cycle and its consequences on the Earth's climate.

The preliminary results of the very recent drilling PROMESS seem to be in agreement with all our results and validate our methodology. They also show that all sequences are preserved in the *Golfe du Lion*. Such a record is outstanding on continental margins, the *Golfe du Lion* is therefore a unique Laboratory to study sea level in relation to paleoclimates, because of a subtle and rare combination of factors (shelf width, high subsidence rate, sandy sediment flow but lower than in front of the Rhône, moderate wave energy, no tides). In our study, only the uppermost part of the sedimentary column in the *Golfe du Lion* has been studied in detail. Deeper sediments need to be explored and dated for sea-level research in order to test and extend our results further back in time and to investigate important events such as the onset of Northern Hemisphere glaciations (around 2.6 Ma). Our results provide a step towards using sea-level minima from

continental margin sediment patterns to calibrate oxygen isotope data for the entire Plioquaternary.

We insist on the need to take into account subsidence effect (s.l.), which is important even in this tectonically stable passive margin and relatively short time intervals (500,000 yr). If the subsidence did not exist, sequences would not be preserved, erosion during successive lowstands would completely erase previously deposited sequences (as this is the case on the shelf of the Bay of Biscay margins during Quaternary for example). This is the reason why this place, the Golfe du Lion, is unique. Preservation of sequences is only due to subsidence. Preservation of glacial shoreface is also due to the rapid rise in sea level after deposition. These observation and results ought to be used for a detailed “glacio-hydro-sedimento” isostatic modelling of each sequence and each glacial to further constraint local sea level versus global sea levels, and quantify, in particular the relative effect of glacio-hydro isostatic effect (which differ according to ice sheet extend) but also of erosion–sedimentation isostatic effect (erosion on land and deposition on the outer shelf and slope).

### Acknowledgements

The final manuscript greatly benefited from the comments and advices of Kurt Lambeck. We thank David Needham for fruitful discussions and a critical review of the manuscript. Didier Granjeon for guidance and help on stratigraphic simulation. M. Rotuno, T. Marsset, G. Lericolais, J-F. Bourillet, J-L. Rubino, F. Trincardi, for useful discussions at an early stage of this work; D. Paillard, E. Michel and C. Waelbroeck and J-C. Duplessy for advice on the manuscript and data on isotopic curves. E. Ledrezen for her long-term assistance. David Needham and Barbara Harris for their final review as English native speakers. This research was mainly funded by IFREMER and CNRS. Additional support comes from IFP (Christian Ravenne, Rémi Eschard), the French GDR Marges (French “Margins” programme) and from the European Community through the Eurostrataform (EVK3-CT-2002-00079) and Progress 1 (EVR1-CT-2002-40024) projects. This is contribution 1014 to IUEM publication European Institute for Marine Studies (Brest, France) and contribution 2172 of French “GDR Marges” program.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.09.033).

### References

- [1] N.J. Shackleton, Oxygen isotopes, ice volume and sea level, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 6 (1987) 183–190.
- [2] C. Waelbroeck, L.D. Labeyrie, E. Michel, J.-C. Duplessy, J. McManus, K. Lambeck, E. Balbon, M. Labracherie, Sea level and deep water changes derived from benthic Foraminifera isotopic record, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21 (1–3) (2002) 295–305.
- [3] A.C. Mix, W.F. Ruddiman, Oxygen-isotope analyses and Pleistocene ice volumes, *Quat. Res.* 21 (1) (1984) 1–20.
- [4] R.G. Fairbanks, A 17,000-year glacio-eustatic sea level record: influence of glacial melting ates on the Younger Dryas event and deep-ocean circulation, *Nature* 342 (1989) 637–642.
- [5] E. Bard, B. Hamelin, R.G. Fairbanks, U–Th ages obtained by mass spectrometry in corals from Barbados: sea level during the past 130,000 years, *Nature* 346 (1990) 456–458.
- [6] E. Bard, B. Hamelin, M. Arnold, L. Montaggioni, G. Cabioch, G. Faure, F. Rougerie, Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge, *Nature* 382 (1996) 241–244.
- [7] J. Chappell, A. Omura, T. Esat, M. McCulloch, J. Pandolfi, Y. Ota, B. Pillans, Reconciliation of late Quaternary sea levels derived from coral terraces at Huon Peninsula with deep sea oxygen isotope records, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 141 (1996) 227–236.
- [8] E. Bard, M. Arnold, B. Hamelin, N. Tisnerat-Laborde, G. Cabioch, Radiocarbon calibration by means of mass spectrometric  $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$  and  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages of corals, An updated data base including samples from Barbados, Mururoa and Tahiti, *Radiocarbon* 40 (3) (1998) 1085–1092.
- [9] G.F. Camoin, L.F. Montaggioni, C.J.R. Braithwaite, Late glacial to post glacial sea levels in the Western Indian Ocean, *Mar. Geol.* 206 (1–4) (2004) 119–146.
- [10] K. Lambeck, Sea-level change along the French Atlantic and Channel coasts since the time of the Last Glacial Maximum, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 129 (1997) 1–22.
- [11] Y. Yokoyama, K. Lambeck, D.D.P., P. Johnston, L.K. Fifield, Timing of the Last Glacial Maximum from observed sea-level minima, *Nature* 406 (2000) 713–716.
- [12] Y. Yokoyama, K. Lambeck, P. De Deckker, P. Johnston, L.K. Fifield, Timing of the Last Glacial Maximum from observed sea-level minima: correction, *Nature* 412 (2001) 99.
- [13] M. Siddall, R.E.J., A. Almogi-Labin, C. Hemleben, D. Meischner, I. Schmelzer, D.A. Smeed, Sea-level fluctuations during the last glacial cycle, *Nature* 423 (2003) 853–858.
- [14] M. Stein, G.J. Wasserburg, P. Aharon, J.H. Chen, Z.R. Zhu, A. Bloom, J. Chappell, TIMS U-series dating and stable isotopes of the Last Interglacial event in Papua New Guinea, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 57 (1993) 2541–2554.
- [15] Z.R. Zhu, K.-H. Wyrwoll, L.B. Collins, J.H. Chen, G.J. Wasserburg, A. Eisenhauer, High-precision U-series dating of Last Interglacial events by mass spectrometry: Houtman Abrolhos Islands, western Australia, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 118 (1–4) (1993) 281–293.
- [16] C.D. Gallup, R.L. Edwards, R.G. Johnston, The timing of high sea levels over the past 200,000 years, *Science* 263 (1994) 796–800.
- [17] B.J. Szabo, K.R. Ludwig, D.R. Muhs, K.R. Simmons, Thorium-230 ages of corals and duration of the last interglacial sea-level high stand on Oahu, Hawaii, *Science* 266 (1994) 93–96.
- [18] C.H. Stirling, T.M. Esat, M.T. McCulloch, K. Lambeck, High-precision U-series dating of corals from western Australia and

- implications for the timing and duration of the Last Interglacial, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 135 (1995) 115–130.
- [19] M.A. Toscano, J. Lundberg, Submerged Late Pleistocene reefs on the tectonically-stable S.E. Florida margin: high precision geochronology, stratigraphy, resolution of Substage 5a sea-level elevation, and orbital forcing, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 18 (1999) 753–767.
- [20] J. Chappell, Sea level changes forced by ice breakouts in the Last Glacial cycle: new results from coral terraces, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21 (2002) 1229–1240.
- [21] K.B. Cutler, R.L. Edwards, F.W. Taylor, H. Cheng, J. Adkins, C.D. Gallup, P.M. Cutler, G.S. Burr, A.L. Bloom, Rapid sea-level fall and deep-ocean temperature change since the last interglacial period, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 206 (2003) 253–271.
- [22] E. Bard, F. Antonioli, S. Silenzi, Sea-level during the penultimate interglacial period based on submerged stalagmite from Argentario Cave (Italy), *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 196 (3–4) (2002) 135–146.
- [23] F. Antonioli, E. Bard, E.-K. Potter, S. Silenzi, S. Improta, 215-ka History of sea-level oscillations from marine and continental layers in Argentario Cave Speleothems (Italy), *Glob. Planet. Change* 43 (2004) 57–78.
- [24] Y. Yokoyama, T.M. Esat, K. Lambeck, Coupled climate and sea-level changes deduced from Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 193 (2001) 579–587.
- [25] Y. Yokoyama, T.M. Esat, K. Lambeck, Last Glacial sea-level change deduced from uplifted coral terraces of Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea, *Quat. Int.* 83–85 (2001) 275–283.
- [26] K. Lambeck, J. Chappell, Sea level change through the Last Glacial Cycle, *Science* 292 (2001) 679–686.
- [27] E.J. Rohling, M. Fenton, F.J. Jorissen, P. Bertrand, G. Ganssen, J.P. Caulet, Magnitudes of sea level lowstands of past 500,000 years, *Nature* 394 (1998) 162–165.
- [28] G.F. Camoin, P. Ebrén, A. Eisenhauer, E. Bard, G. Faure, A 300,000-yr coral reef record of sea-level changes, Mururoa atoll (Tuamotu archipelago, French Polynesia), *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 175 (2001) 325–341.
- [29] M. Ferland, P. Roy, C. Murray-Wallace, Glacial lowstand deposits on the outer continental shelf of Southeastern Australia, *Quat. Res.* 44 (1995) 294–299.
- [30] C.E. Payton, Seismic stratigraphy – applications to hydrocarbon exploitation, *Amer. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Memoir*, vol. 26, AAPG, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 1977, 516 pp.
- [31] H.W. Posamentier, M.T. Jerve, P.R. Vail, Eustatic controls on clastic deposition I. Conceptual framework, in: C.K. Wilgus, B.S. Hastings, C.G.S.C. Kendall, H.W. Posamentier, C.A. Ross, J.C. Van Wagoner (Eds.), *Sea-Level Changes-an Integrated Approach*, SEPM Spec. Pub., vol. 42, SEPM, Tulsa, 1988, pp. 102–124.
- [32] H.W. Posamentier, P.R. Vail, Eustatic controls on clastic deposition II. Sequences and system tract models, in: C.K. Wilgus, B.S. Hastings, C.G.S.C. Kendall, H.W. Posamentier, C.A. Ross, J.C. Van Wagoner (Eds.), *Sea-Level Changes-an Integrated Approach*, SEPM Spec. Pub., vol. 42, SEPM, Tulsa, 1988, pp. 125–154.
- [33] P.R. Vail, J.P. Colin, R.J. Du Chene, J. Kuchly, F. Mediavilla, V. Trifilieff, La stratigraphie séquentielle et son application aux corrélations chronostratigraphiques dans le Jurassique du Bassin de Paris, *Bull. Soc. Géol. Fr.* 8 (7) (1987) 1301–1321.
- [34] B.U. Haq, J. Hardenbol, P. Vail, Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic (250 million years ago to present), *Science* 235 (1987) 1156–1167.
- [35] B.U. Haq, J. Hardenbol, P. Vail, Mesozoic and Cenozoic chronostratigraphy and cycles of sea-level change, SEPM Special Publication, vol. 42, 1988, pp. 71–108.
- [36] J. Hardenbol, J. Thierry, M.B. Farley, P.-C. de Graciansky, P.R. Vail, Mesozoic and Cenozoic sequence chronostratigraphic framework of European basins, in: P.-C. de Graciansky, J. Hardenbol, T. Jacquin, P.R.E. Vail (Eds.), *Mesozoic and Cenozoic Sequence Stratigraphy of European Basins*, SEPM, Special Publication, vol. 60, 1998, pp. 11–18, Charts 11–18.
- [37] IODP-IPSC, Earth, oceans and life, Scientific Investigation of the Earth System Using Multiple Drilling Platforms and New Technologies, 2001, 110 pp.
- [38] J. Torres, B. Savoye, P. Cochonat, The effects of Late Quaternary sea-level changes on the Rhône slope sedimentation (northwestern Mediterranean), as indicated by seismic stratigraphy, *J. Sediment. Res., Sect. B Stratigr. Glob. Stud.* 65 (3) (1995) 368–387.
- [39] F. Trincardi, A. Correggiari, Quaternary forced-regression deposits in the Adriatic Basin and the record of composite sea-level cycles, in: D. Hunt, R. Gawthorpe (Eds.), *Sedimentary Responses to Forced Regressions*, vol. 134, Geological Society Special Publication, London, 2000.
- [40] A.E. Aksu, T.J. Calon, D.J.W. Piper, S. Turgut, E. Izdar, Architecture of late orogenic Quaternary basins in the northeastern Mediterranean Sea, *Tectonics* 210 (1992) 191–213.
- [41] J.A. Berry, D.J.W. Piper, Seismic stratigraphy of the Scotia Rise: record of continental margin glaciation, *Geo Mar. Lett.* 13 (1993) 197–206.
- [42] K.I. Skene, D.J.W. Piper, A.E. Aksu, J.P.M. Syvitski, Evaluation of the global oxygen isotope curve as a proxy for Quaternary sea level by modeling of delta progradation, *J. Sediment. Res.* 68 (6) (1998) 1077–1092.
- [43] J.L. Olivet, La cinématique de la plaque Iberique | Kinematics of the Iberian Plate, *Bull. Cent. Rech. Explor. Prod. Elf-Aquitaine* 20 (1) (1996) 131–195.
- [44] F. Speranza, I.M. Villa, L. Sagnotti, F. Florindo, D. Cosentino, P. Cipollari, M. Matei, Age of the Corsica-Sardinia rotation and Liguro-Provençal Basin spreading: new paleomagnetic and Ar/Ar evidence, *Tectonophysics* 347 (4) (2002) 231–251.
- [45] K. Lambeck, E. Bard, Sea-level change along the French Mediterranean coast for the past 30000 years, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 175 (2000) 203–222.
- [46] J.C. Aloïsi, A. Monaco, J. Thommeret, Y. Thommeret, Evolution paléogéographique du plateau continental languedocien dans le cadre du golfe du Lion; Analyse comparée des données sismiques, sédimentologiques et radiométriques concernant le Quaternaire récent, *Rev. Géogr. Phys. Géol. Dyn.* 17 (1) (1975) 13–22.
- [47] J. Labeyrie, C. Lalou, A. Monaco, J. Thommeret, Chronologie des niveaux eustatiques sur la côte du Roussillon de-33000 ans B.P. à nos jours, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 282 (1976) 349–352.
- [48] J.C. Aloïsi, Sea Level Variations on the French Mediterranean Margin during the Holocene, *Paleoclimate Research; Paläklimateforschung n°spec*, 1993, 25 pp.
- [49] S. Berné, D. Carré, B. Loubrieu, J.-P. Mazé, A. Normand, Carte morphobathymétrique du Golfe du Lion à l'échelle 1/100 000 ème (2001), IFREMER et Région Languedoc Roussillon, Brest.
- [50] B. Gensous, D. Williamson, M. Tesson, Late-Quaternary transgressive and highstand deposits of a deltaic shelf (Rhône delta, France), in: H.W. Posamentier, C.P. Summerhayes, B.A. Haq, G.P. Allen (Eds.), *Sequence Stratigraphy and Facies Associations*, International Association of Sedimentologists Spec. Pub., vol. 18, Blackwell, Oxford, 1993, pp. 97–212.
- [51] T. Marsset, V. Bellec, Late Pleistocene–Holocene deposits of the Rhône inner continental shelf (France): detailed mapping and correlation with previous continental and marine studies, *Sedimentology* 49 (2002) 255–276.

- [52] S. Berné, J.C. Aloïsi, J. Baztan, B. Dennielou, L. Droz, T. Dos Reis, J. Lofi, Y. Méar, M. Rabineau, Notice de la carte morphobathymétrique du Golfe du Lion, vol. 1, IFREMER et Région Languedoc Roussillon, Brest, 2002, 48 pp.
- [53] J.C. Aloïsi, H. Got, A. Monaco, C. Duboul-Razavet, Carte géologique du précontinent pyrénéo-languedocien au 1/250 000 (1973) pp., Musée Océanographique de Monaco.
- [54] M. Rabineau, S. Berne, D. Aslanian, J.-L. Olivet, P. Joseph, F. Guillocheau, J.-F. Bourillet, E. Ledrezen, D. Granjeon, Sedimentary sequences in the Gulf of Lion: a record of 100,000 years climatic cycles, *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 22 (6–7) (2005) 775–804.
- [55] P. Bernier, S. Berné, M. Rabineau, J. Baztan, Les Pierres de Sète : un indicateur paléobathymétrique et paléoenvironnemental, *Atelier Golfe du Lion du GDR Marges*, 2000, pp. 8, Paris.
- [56] G. Jouet, S. Berné, M. Rabineau, M.-A. Bassetti, P. Bernier, B. Dennielou, Flores-Jose-Abel, Siero-Francisco-Javier and M. Taviani, Shoreface migrations at the shelf edge and sea-level changes around the Last Glacial Maximum (Gulf of Lions, NW Mediterranean), *Mar. Geol.* (in press).
- [57] M.-A. Bassetti, G. Jouet, F. Dufois, S. Berné, M. Rabineau, M. Taviani, De-glacial sedimentary processes and deposits in the outer continental shelf of the Gulf of Lions (western Mediterranean), *Mar. Geol.* (in press).
- [58] S. Berné, G. Lericolais, T. Marsset, J.F. Bourillet, M. de Batist, Erosional offshore sand ridges and lowstand shorefaces: examples from tide and wave dominated environments of France, *J. Sediment. Res.* 68 (4) (1998) 540–555.
- [59] M. Rabineau, S. Berné, E. Ledrezen, G. Lericolais, T. Marsset, M. Rotunno, 3D architecture of lowstand and transgressive Quaternary sand bodies on the outer shelf of the Gulf of Lion, France, *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 15 (5) (1998) 439–452.
- [60] M. Rabineau, Un modèle géométrique et stratigraphique des séquences de dépôts quaternaires de la plate-forme du Golfe du Lion : enregistrement des cycles glacioeustatiques de 100 000 ans, Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Rennes 1, IFREMER and IFP, (2001), (392+ 370) p. (392 vols), <http://www.ifremer.fr/docelec>.
- [61] E. Colmenero-Hidalgo, J. Gravalosa, J.-A. Flores, F.-J. Siero, S. Berne, M. Canals, A. Cattaneo, G. Floch, B. Dennielou, J. Frigola, R. Gelfort, D. Ridente, T. Schoolmeester, N. Sultan, G. Tulloch, H.-J. Wallrabe-Adams, PROMESS 1 preliminary results; new high resolution coccolithophore records for the last half million years, *J. Nanoplankton Res.* 26 (2) (2004) 22.
- [62] B. De Voogd, R. Nicolich, J.L. Olivet, F. Fanucci, J. Burrus, A. Mauffret, G. Pascal, A. Argnani, J.M. Auzende, M. Bernabini, C. Bois, L. Carmignani, A. Fabbri, I. Finetti, A. Galdeano, C.Y. Gorini, P. Labaume, D. Lajat, P. Patriat, B. Pinet, J. Ravat, F. Ricci Lucchi, S. Vernassa, First deep seismic reflection transect from the Gulf of Lions to Sardinia (ECORS-CROP profiles in Western Mediterranean), in: R. Meissner (Ed.), *Continental Lithosphere: Deep Seismic Reflections, Geodynamic Series*, vol. 22, American Geophysical Union, 1991, pp. 265–274.
- [63] C. Gorini, A. Mauffret, P. Guennoc, A. Le Marrec, Structure of the Gulf of Lions (Northwestern Mediterranean Sea), in: A. Mascle (Ed.), *Hydrocarbon and Petroleum Geology of France*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 223–243.
- [64] T. Hanebuth, K. Statterger, P.M. Grootes, Rapid flooding of the Sunda Shelf: a Late-Glacial sea-level record, *Science* 288 (2000) 1033–1035.
- [65] P.M. Climap, Seasonal Reconstruction of the Earth's Surface at the Last Glacial Maximum, *Geological Society of America Map and Chart Series*, vol. 36, 1981.
- [66] P.U. Clark, A.C. Mix, E. Bard, Ice sheets and sea level of the Last Glacial Maximum, *EOS Transactions* (2001) 241–246–247.
- [67] A.C. Mix, E. Bard, R. Schneider, Environmental processes of the ice age: land, oceans, glaciers (EPILOG), *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 20 (2001) 627–657.
- [68] Y. Yokoyama, P. De Deckker, K. Lambeck, P. Johnston, L.K. Fifield, Sea-level at Last Glacial Maximum: evidence from northwestern Australia to constrain ice volumes for oxygen isotope stage 2, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 165 (2001) 281–297.
- [69] J. Chappell, N.J. Shackleton, Oxygen isotopes and sea level, *Nature* 324 (6093) (1986) 137–140.
- [70] D. Paillard, Glacial cycles: toward a new paradigm, *Rev. Geophys.* 39 (3) (2001) 325–346.
- [71] L.D. Labeyrie, Variations in mode of formation and temperature of oceanic deep waters over the past 125,000 years, *Nature* 327 (6122) (1987) 477–482.
- [72] N.J. Shackleton, The 100,000-year Ice-Age cycle found to lag temperature, carbon dioxide, and orbital eccentricity, *Science* 289 (2000) 1897–1902.
- [73] J. Imbrie, J.D. Hays, D.G. Martinson, A. McIntyre, A.C. Mix, J.J. Morley, N.G. Pisias, W.L. Prell, N.J. Shackleton, The orbital theory of Pleistocene climate: support from a revised chronology of the marine  $\delta O18$  record, in: A. Berger, J. Imbrie, J. Hays, G. Kukla, B. Saltzman (Eds.), *Milankovitch and climate. Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 126, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Hingham, Mass., 1984, pp. 269–305.
- [74] F. Bassinot, L. Labeyrie, E. Vincent, X. Quidelleur, N.J. Lancelot, Y. Lancelot, The astronomical theory of climate and the age of the Brunhes-Matuyama magnetic reversal, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 126 (1994) 91–108.
- [75] J. Ehlers, *Quaternary and Glacial Geology*, 1996 578 pp.
- [76] E. Chapron, Contrôle climatique et sismo-tectonique de la sédimentation lacustre dans l'avant-pays alpin (Lac du Bourget) durant le Quaternaire récent, 1999, 261 pp.
- [77] P. Antoine, J.L. De Beaulieu, P. Bintz, J.P. Brugal, M. Girard, J.L. Guadelli, M.T. Morzadec-Kerfourm, J. Renault-Miskovsky, A. Roblin-Jouve, B. Schmider, B. Van Vliet-Lanoe, J.D. Vigne, La France pendant les deux derniers extrêmes climatiques, variabilité naturelle des environnements, 1999, 59 pp.
- [78] B. Gensous, M. Tesson, M. Arnold, Analyse en stratigraphie séquentielle des dépôts pléistocènes de la plateforme orientale du Rhône (France): confrontation de l'analyse sismique et des données de terrain, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 317 (1993) 803–810.
- [79] S. Berné, B. Loubrieu, and the shipboard scientific party, Canyons and processus sédimentaires récents sur la marge du Golfe du Lion occidental. Premiers résultats de la campagne CALMAR. Canyons and recent sedimentary processes on the western Gulf of Lions margin. First results of the Calmar cruise, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 328 (1999) 471–477.
- [80] D.G. Martinson, N.G. Pisias, J.D. Hays, J. Imbrie, T.C. Moore Jr., N.J. Shackleton, Age dating and the orbital theory of the ice ages: development of a high-resolution 0 to 300,000-year chronostratigraphy, *Quat. Res.* 27 (1987) 1–29.
- [81] T. Hughes, G.H. Denton, M.G. Grosswald, Was there a late Würm Artic ice sheet? *Nature* 266 (5603) (1977) 596–602.
- [82] K. Lambeck, Y. Yokoyama, A. Purcell, Into and out of the Last Glacial Maximum: sea-level change during the Oxygen Isotope 3 and 2, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21 (2002) 343–360.
- [83] K. Fleming, P. Johnston, D. Zwart, Y. Yokoyama, K. Lambeck, J. Chappell, Refining the eustatic sea-level curve since the Last Glacial Maximum using far-and intermediate-field sites, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 163 (1998) 327–342.

- [84] W.R. Peltier, Ice age paleotopography, *Science* 265 (1994) 195–201.
- [85] W.R. Peltier, On eustatic sea level history: last Glacial Maximum to Holocene, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 2 (2002) 377–396.
- [86] W.R. Peltier, Comments on the paper of Yokoyama et al. (2000), entitled “Timing of the Last Glacial Maximum from observed sea level minima”, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21 (2002) 409–414.
- [87] G.A. Milne, J.X. Mitrovica, D.P. Schrag, Estimating past continental ice volume from sea-level data, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21 (2002) 361–376.
- [88] I. Shennan, G.A. Milne, Sea-level observations around the Last Glacial Maximum from the Bonaparte Gulf, NW Australia, *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 22 (2003) 1543–1547.