= GEOLOGY =

First Find of the Tomakovka Beds in the Gerakleya Peninsula of Southwestern Crimea

I. M. Barg

Presented by Academician Yu.M. Pushcharovsky April 27, 2005

Received May 12, 2005

DOI: 10.1134/S1028334X0601003X

The Tomakovka Beds first defined near the eponymous settlement of the Dnepropetrovsk region [9] represent a reliable stratigraphic reference for the interregional correlation of Lower Miocene sections in the Eastern and Western Paratethys. They contain diverse assemblages of Mediterranean marine organisms and have been thoroughly studied [2, 3].

The Tomakovka Beds are now universally accepted to be Tarkhanian in age. The only difference between them and Tarkhanian sediments is that the latter are represented in the neostratotype of the Tarkhanian regional sediments in the Kerchenskii Peninsula exclusively by deep-water facies deposited in the lower part of the sublittoral zone, while Tomakovka sediments are represented by shallow-water facies.

Recent geological mapping has provided additional data on the almost universal distribution of Tarkhanian sediments in the Crimean Plain [6]. Of particular interest are finds of the mollusks Chlamys aff. macrotis (Sow.) in Tarkhanian sediments of the Alma Depression of the Crimean Plain [5]. This species has been reported from Neogene sediments of Europe [12]. The author of the present communication was the first to find the species in the Tomakovka Beds on the southern slope of the Ukrainian Shield [3]. Goretskii reported this species from the Fore-Carpathian Nagoryanka Beds [8] coeval with the Tomakovka Beds [2]. It should be emphasized that Chlamys macrotis (Sow.) is characteristic of the Carpathian regional stage of West and Central Europe. Finds of this species in Tarkhanian sediments of the Crimea substantiate their correlation with the Tomakovka Beds and the Carpathian regional stage of Europe.

Andrusov [1] was the first to establish Tarkhanian sediments in the Georgievskii Monastyr Ravine, and their age was substantiated by Moiseev [11] and Zhizhchenko [10]. We have studied these sediments in detail in the above-mentioned ravine on the coastal scarp exposed near the Diana Grotto [7] (figure), where one can see the following sedimentary succession on the eroded surface of Middle Jurassic volcanics (from the base upward):

1. Bentonitic clays with conglomerates enclosing *Lentipecten comeus denudatus* (Reuss). The thickness is 1.0 m.

2. Oyster bank with abundant molluscan shells including *Crassostrea gryphoides gingensis* (Schloth.), *Ostrea digitalina digitalina* Dub., *Pycnodonta cochlear* (Poli), and *Chlamys domgeri* (Mikh.); foraminifers (determinations by T.A. Ivanova) *Cibicidoides borislavensis* (Ais.), *C.* cf. *boueanus* (Orb.), *Cibicides* cf. *lobatalus* (W. et J.), *Protelphidium* aff. *insigne* (Pishv.), *Ammonia maschanliensis* (Pron.), *A. native* Koeen., *A. pseudobeccarii* (Putrja), *A.* ex gr. *beccarii* (L.), *Elphidium* cf. *macellum* (F. et M.), *E.* cf. *fichtellianum* (Orb.); ostracodes *Xesteleberis* sp.; scarce echinoderm spines, sponge spicules, *Spirorbis*, and fish bones and teeth. The thickness is 1.5–2.0 m.

3. Light gray sandstones with detritus and shells of mollusks Ostrea edulis digitaliana Dub., Chlamys pertinax (Zhizh.), Gibbula tshokrakensis (Andruss), G. netas (Koles.), G. pictiformis (Andruss.), Bittium digitatum Zhizh., Cerithium cattleyae Baily; abundant foraminifers (determinations by T.A. Ivanova) Nubecularia sp., Quinqueloculina akneriana Orb., Q. cf. ungeriana Orb., Triloculina cf. gibba Orb., Pyrgo inornata (Orb.), Nodobaculariella sp., Spiroloculina sp., Articulina sp., Spirolina sp., Guttulina sp., Discorbis sp., Ammonia ex gr. beccarii (L.), Elphidium crispum (L.), E. cf. macellcum (F. et M.), E. angulatum (Egger), E. ex gr. rugosum (Orb.), and Neobulimina cf. elongata (Orb.); ostracodes (determinations by O.M. Bondar) Loxoconcha carinata alata Schn., Xestoleberis lutrae Schn., Pseudobythocythere dromas Schn., Eucyheropteron cf. inflatum Schn., and Pontocypris aff. vitrea Suz.; common Spirorbis, branching bryozoans, and echinoderm spines and plates. The thickness is 6 m.

4. Light gray marl with *Spaniodontella pulchella* Baily. The thickness is 10–15 m.

Dnepropetrovsk National University, Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine



Fig. 1. Schematic Mesozoic–Cenozoic geological section of the Gerakleya Peninsula (Western Crimea). Arbitrary scale. (1) Oyster bank; (2) shelly limestone; (3) clayey limestone; (4) marl; (5) red marl with Pulmonata casts; (6) sandstone with molluscan shells; (7) conglomerate with *Lentipecten corneus denudatus*; (8) bentonitic clay; (9) intrusive and volcanic rocks; (J₂) Middle Jurassic Series; (N₁tr, N₁čk, N₁kr) Tarkhanian, Chokrakian, and Karaganian regional stages, respectively; (N₁s₁, N₁s₂) lower and middle Sarmatian regional substages, respectively.

In this succession, Bed 1 contains redeposited Tarkhanian conglomerates. Bed 2 corresponds to the Tomakovka Beds developed on the southern slope of the Ukrainian Shield. Bed 3 is coeval with the Chokrakian regional stage, and Bed 4 is a stratigraphic analogue of the Karaganian regional stage.

Of particular interest in this succession are sediments of Bed 2, which are similar in terms of the mol-

DOKLADY EARTH SCIENCES Vol. 406 No. 1 2006



Fig. 2. Mollusks from the analogues of the Tomakovka Beds in the Gerakleya Peninsula of southwestern Crimea. (1) Crassostrea gryphoides (Schloth.) gingensis (Schloth.), external view of the left valve, ×0.9, Specimen G-17, Tarkhanian; (2) Crassostrea gryphoides (Schloth.) gingensis (Schloth.), internal view of the left valve, ×0.9, Specimen G-17, Tarkhanian; (3) Chlamys domgeri (Mikh.), external view of the left valve, ×1.5, Specimen G-18, Tarkhanian; (4) Ostrea edulis digitalina Dub., internal view of the left valve, ×1.5, Specimen G-18, Tarkhanian; (4) Ostrea edulis digitalina Dub., internal view of the left valve, ×1.5, Specimen G-18, Tarkhanian; (4) Ostrea edulis digitalina Dub., internal view of the left valve, ×1.5, Specimen G-19, Tarkhanian.

luscan assemblage and its ecological affinity to the Tomakovka Beds on the southern slope of the Ukrainian Shield. Like in the Tomakovka Beds, large oyster shells belonging to the species *Crassostrea gryphoides* gingensis (Schloth.) and *Chlamys domgeri* (Mikh.), which is characteristic of this stratigraphic unit, are the main element of the molluscan assemblage from Bed 2. Similar oyster and pectenid faunas are typical of analogues of the Tomakovka Beds in shallow-water facies of the Western, Eastern, and Central Paratethys (Fig. 2). The find of analogues of the Tomakovka Beds in the Gerakleya Peninsula indicates that the Tarkhanian–

DOKLADY EARTH SCIENCES Vol. 406 No. 1 2006

Carpathian transgression in the Ukrainian territory advanced from the Fore-Carpathian region [4] as two branches. One branch reached the Tomakovka, Kamenka, and Baburka settlements on the southern slope of the Ukrainian Shield, while another branch flooded southern areas of the Odessa region, Dobrudja foredeep, and the Gerakleya Peninsula in the Crimean Plain.

Thus, the finds of deep- and shallow-water facies of the Tarkhanian regional stage in the Crimean Plain, Kerchenskii Peninsula, and northern Black Sea region provide a convincing basis for their correlation with coeval sediments of the Crimean–Caucasian region and with the Carpathian regional stage of the Western and Eastern Paratethys. This type of correlation makes it possible to elaborate a reliable Miocene stratigraphic scale

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to N.I. Lysenko for the donated material.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Andrusov, Trudy SPb. O-va Ispyt. Prirody **19**, 1 (1888).

- 2. I. M. Barg, Dop. Akad. Nauk UkrSSR, No. 2, 104 (1969).
- 3. I. M. Barg, Candidate's Dissertation in Geology and Mineralogy, Lvov (1969).
- 4. I. M. Barg, Upper Mesozoic Biostratigraphy of Southern Ukraine (DGU, Dnepropetrovsk, 1993) [in Russian].
- 5. I. M. Barg, Dop. Akad. Nauk Ukraini, No. 11, 165 (2000).
- 6. I. M. Barg and Yu. D. Stepanyak, *Stratigraphy and Geological Development of the Crimean Plain and Kerchenskii Peninsula in the Miocene* (Monolit, Dnepropetrovsk, 2003) [in Russian].
- 7. I. M. Barg, T. A. Ivanova, M. I. Lisenko, and O. V. Bondar, Paleontol Zb., No 35, 67 (2003).
- 8. V. A. Goretskii, Doctorate Dissertation in Geology and Mineralogy (Lvov, 1964).
- 9. V. A. Dogmer, Trudy Geol. Komiteta 20 (1), 1 (1902).
- B. P. Zhizhchenko, in *Stratigraphy of the USSR. Vol. 2. The Neogene of the USSR* (AN SSSR, Moscow, 1940), pp. 11–227 [in Russian].
- 11. A. S. Moiseev, Trudy VGRO, Issue 137, 1 (1932).
- 12. J. Roger, Mem. Soc. Geol. France. Nov. Ser. 38, 1 (1939).