# New winter crane flies (Insecta: Diptera: Trichoceridae) from the Jurassic Daohugou Formation (Inner Mongolia, China) and their associated biota

# **Junfeng Zhang**

Abstract: Four extinct new species referable, respectively, to three extinct new genera within Trichoceridae have been recovered from the Jurassic Daohugou Formation in Ningcheng, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, China: Archaeotrichocera ephemera gen. et sp. nov., Tanyochoreta integera gen. et sp. nov., Tanyochoreta chifengica sp. nov., and Sinotrichocera parva gen. et sp. nov. The specimen described earlier as trichocerids from China, Mesotrichocera laiyangensis Hong and Wang 1990, does not belong to this family. The Daohugou biota comprises a wide range of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms that inhabited, a small lake, luxurious everglade, streams, and forest with volcanoes nearby. The Daohugou Formation can be compared to the Karabastau and Haifanggou formations based on biostratigraphic correlation. The geological age for trichocerid-bearing nonmarine volcano-sedimentary rocks may be latest Middle Jurassic (Callovian) or earliest Late Jurassic (Oxfordian) rather than early-middle Middle Jurassic or Early Cretaceous.

**Résumé :** Quatre nouvelles espèces disparues attribuables, respectivement, à trois nouveaux genres disparus des Trichoceridae ont été obtenues de la Formation jurassique de Doahugou, à Chifeng, dans le comté de Ningcheng, en Mongolie intérieure (Chine), soient *Archaeotrichocera ephemera* gen. et sp. nov., *Tanyochoreta integera* gen. et sp. nov., *T. chifengica* sp. nov. et *Sinotrichocera parva* gen. et sp. nov. Le spécimen de *Mesotrichocera laiyangensis* Hong et Wang, 1990, décrit antérieurement comme étant un trichocéride de Chine, n'appartient pas à cette famille. Le biote de Doahugou comprend une grande diversité d'organismes vertébrés et invertébrés qui habitaient, respectivement, un petit lac, une région marécageuse luxuriante, des ruisseaux et une forêt à proximité de volcans. Une corrélation stratigraphique permet de comparer la Formation de Daohugou aux Formations de Karabastau et de Haifanggou. Les roches volcanosédimentaires non-marines qui renferment les trichocérides pourraient être du Jurassique moyen (Callovien) ou du tout début du Jurassique tardif (Oxfordien) plutôt que du début–milieu du Jurassique moyen ou du Crétacé précoce.

[Traduit par la Rédaction]

# Introduction

The family Trichoceridae, commonly called winter crane flies, constitutes some 110 species (Dahl and Alexander 1976) and is subdivided into four recent genera within two subfamilies: Trichocera Meigen, 1800; Diazosma Bergroth, 1913; Nothotrichocera Alexander, 1926 referable to the subfamily Trichocerinae; and Paracladura Brunetti, 1911 referable to the subfamily Paracladurinae (Krzeminska 1992b). The family is confined to temperate and cool climates. Adults are on the wing in spring and (or) autumn and, especially in temperate and warm-temperate regions, during winter or, in colder regions, in summer. Adults often occur in caverns, mine shafts, cellars, hollow trees, and other dark places, but they attract more attention by their habit of swarming. Larvae are scavengers in moist or wet terrestrial biotopes such as decaying leaves and vegetables, manure, fungi, stored roots and tubers, and burrows of rodents. From the southern islands of New Zealand, larvae have been reported from penguin droppings and bird nests (Oosterbroek 2004).

Additionally, 19 species of compression fossil and amber trichocerids have been recorded (Evenhuis 1994; Krzemiński and Evenhuis 2000; Podenas 2001). Among them, six species referable to six genera were recovered from the Mesozoic of Russia, Mongolia, and China: Eotrichocera christinae Kalugina, 1985; Mailotrichocera jurassica Kalugina, 1985; ?Trichocera itatica Kalugina, 1985; Paleotrichocera mongolica Kalugina, 1986; "Trichonomites" aquaticus Kalugina, 1986; and Mesotrichocera laiyangensis Hong and Wang 1990. Among them, "Trichonomites" aquaticus is based on a larva. Mesotrichocera laiyangensis bears a particular wing venation and does not belong to this family (see detailed discussion later in the paper). The oldest representative of trichocerids from Germany has been mentioned but undescribed (Krzemiński and Evenhuis 2000). Based on the report by Krzeminska (1992a), abundant material of fossil Trichoceridae has been

Received 20 December 2004. Accepted 14 September 2005. Published on the NRC Research Press Web site at http://cjes.nrc.ca on 10 March 2006.

Paper handled by Associate Editor J. Jin.

**J. Zhang.** Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 39 East Beijing Road, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China (e-mail: jfzhang@nigpas.ac.cn).



Fig. 1. Map showing the geographic location of trichocerid-bearing sedimentary rocks. The solid triangle represents the fossil site at the village of Daohugou.

collected. Their age varies from the Early Jurassic to Miocene. These fossil trichocerids will soon be published as a monograph (E. Krzeminska, personal communication, 2005).

Four extinct new species within three extinct new genera of winter crane flies from the Jurassic Daohugou Formation in Ningcheng, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, China, are described herein and named Archaeotrichocera ephemera gen. et sp. nov., Tanyochoreta integera gen. et sp. nov., Tanyochoreta chifengica sp. nov., and Sinotrichocera parva gen. et sp. nov.

The biota from the trichocerid fossil locality contains a wide range of vertebrate and invertebrate organisms. Among these, the insects are very rich, and more than 100 species within 14 orders have been recovered. The geological age and stratigraphical correlation of fly-bearing, nonmarine, volcano-sedimentary rocks have been a matter of debate. Biostratigraphic correlation and new radiometric data suggest a latest Middle Jurassic (Callovian) or earliest Late Jurassic (Oxfordian) age. This differs from the early–middle Middle Jurassic or Early Cretaceous age proposed in previous works (see detailed discussion later in the paper).

Vein nomenclature used herein follows that of Wootton and Ennos (1989) and has also been adopted by Shcherbakov et al. (1995) and Blagoderov et al. (2002). The vein traditionally named 1A is, in fact, CuP.

## **Material and methods**

Specimens NIGP (Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Nanjing, China) DHG200396, DHG200397, DHG200398, and DHG200399, four nearly complete male or female adults in shale, are well preserved. The trichoceridbearing sedimentary rocks of the Daohugou Formation are located at the village of Daohugou in Ningcheng County, Chifeng City, Inner Mongolia (= Nei Monggol Autonomous Region), China (see Fig. 1). The fossil site is at latitude 41°18.979'N, longitude 119°14.318'E and has an altitude of 607 m according to Ren et al. (2002).

Specimen descriptions, photographs, and drawings were obtained with the application of glycerol to the surface of the specimens (with the exception of Figs. 1a, 1b, 3a, 5a, 5c, and 5d). The line drawings were executed with a camera lucida, and the digital photographs were taken using a stereomicroscope.

#### **Anatomical abbreviations**

 $t_1$ , first tarsomere;  $t_2$ , second tarsomere;  $t_1/t_2$ , joint between first and second tarsomeres. See Figs. 3b and 5c for explanation of other abbreviations: 1A, CuA, CuP, d (d cell), h, m-cu, m-m,  $M_1$  to  $M_4$ , M, b $M_{1+2}$ , d $M_{1+2}$ , m $M_{1+2}$ , b $M_3$ ,  $M_{3+4}$ ,  $R_1$  to  $R_5$ ,  $R_{2+3}$ ,  $R_{2+3+4}$ , b $R_5$ , r-m, Rs, Sc<sub>1</sub>, Sc<sub>2</sub>.

## Systematic paleontology

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758 Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758 Suborder Nematocera Latreille, 1825 Family Trichoceridae Kertész, 1902 Genus *Archaeotrichocera* gen. nov.

TYPE SPECIES: Archaeotrichocera ephemera sp. nov.

ETYMOLOGY: Greek, *Archaeo*, Archaian, *trichocera* (the feminine gender), the Recent genus *Trichocera*.

SPECIES INCLUDED: The type species only.

DIAGNOSIS: Medium-sized winter crane flies, wing length

7.1 mm. Venationally,  $Sc_1$  more than three-quarters length of wing; d cell correspondingly long and wide (some onesixth length of wing, and one-quarter width of wing), with its proximal angle high (some 60°), both  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$ strongly flexed at r-m; 1A long, about one-quarter length of wing, curved evenly to wing margin; anal lobe prominent. Legs with tibial spurs present; basitarsomere longer than second tarsomere, joint between them articulated obliquely, basitarsomere with strongly terminal bristle and a pair of long setae. Male with lateromesal projection of gonocoxite, which from a bridge leaves gonocoxite at a higher acute angle; bridge incomplete, obtuse–conical.

REMARKS: This new genus bears close similarities in the structure of leg and wing venation to that of *Trichocera*, but it can be separated from the Recent genus by the larger d cell (in *Trichocera*, d cell about one-seventh length and one-fifth width of wing), the obliquely articulated joint between basitarsomere and second tarsomere, and the specialized shape and structure of gonocoxite and bridge in the male. On the other hand, in having the unusual shape of the d cell, *Archaeotrichocera* gen. nov. can be easily distinguished from all known genera from the Mesozoic of Russia and Mongolia (viz. *Eotrichocera* Kalugina, 1985; *Mailotrichocera* Kalugina, 1985; and *Paleotrichocera* Kalugina, 1986).

Recently, E. Krzeminska (personal communication, 2005) examined the monotype of *Eotrichocera christinae* Kalugina, 1985 and measured its basitarsomere, which is 1.25 times longer than the second tarsomere. This character of the leg is similar to that of the present new genus. However, the known genus from the Lower–Middle Jurassic of Transbaikalia, Russia, has a incompletely preserved d cell with its proximal angle distinctly lower (some 30°) and both  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$  almost in line at r-m (Kalugina 1985, p. 49, figs. 14*a*, 14*b*). Therefore, a further comparison between them cannot be completed at this time and, until a redescription of *Eotrichocera* becomes available, *Archaeotrichocera* gen. nov. remains a self-existent genus of Trichoceridae.

Archaeotrichocera ephemera sp. nov. (Figs. 2, 3)

(11gs. 2, 3)

ETYMOLOGY: Greek, *ephemera* (the feminine gender), shortlived, alluding to the short range of the species in the Jurassic.

HOLOTYPE: NIGP DHG200398, a nearly complete impression of a male, lateral aspect; from the uppermost Middle Jurassic (Callovian) or lowermost Upper Jurassic (Oxfordian) Daohugou Formation in the vicinity of Daohugou, Ningcheng, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, China; deposited at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

DESCRIPTION: Head very small; eyes moderately large, suboval. Antennae filiform, tapering apically, some four times longer than head, with short and wide scape and pedicel, each nearly as long as wide, flagellomeres poorly preserved. Thorax correspondingly massive, nearly circular, with strongly convex scutum dorsally. Wing long and narrow (about 2.8 times longer than wide); venationally,  $Sc_1$  ending basad to level of  $R_2$ ;  $Sc_2$  situated distal to level of midway of Rs stem; Rs arising from more than basal third of wing, with Rs stem

less than one-third length of wing;  $R_{2+3+4}$  some three-fourths length of  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $R_2$  about one-fifth length of  $dR_1$  (section of  $R_1$  from  $R_2$  to C), and nearly one-tenth length of  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $R_3$ about 1.3 times longer than  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $bR_5$  rather short, some one-eighth length of crossvein r-m;  $bM_{1+2}$  1.4 times longer than r-m and three-fifths length of  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $dM_{1+2}$  somewhat shorter than  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $M_1$  1.6 times longer than  $dM_{1+2}$ ; crossvein m-m shorter than  $bM_3$ ;  $dM_3$  shorter than length of d cell, pentagonal, with its distal angle as an obtuse angle (near to 120°), M stem and  $M_{3+4}$  at an angle; m-cu meeting  $M_{3+4}$  a little before its fork; 1A weakly developed (only a middle section of 1A visible), about 0.28 times length of wing. Legs thin and long, covered with dense hairs; tibiae of forelegs and midlegs shorter than tarsi, but those of hind leg longer than tarsi; tibial spurs of foreleg inosculated with terminal parts of tibiae, basitarsomeres about 1.4 times longer than second tarsomeres, remainder of tarsomeres gradually shortened terminally; midleg with tibial spur not visible (probably poorly preserved); hind leg obviously long, with a pair of visible tibial spurs, basitarsomere 1.35 times longer than second tarsomere. Abdomen relatively thin and long, subcylindrical, with last abdominal segment distinctly short. Male with gonostylus large, falculate, strongly curved downward.

Length of head 0.3 mm, antenna, as preserved, 1.8 mm, thorax 1.5 mm, wing 7.1 mm; width of wing 2.5 mm; length of femur of foreleg 2.4 mm, tibia 3.2 mm, tarsus 3.83 mm (1.70:1.20:0.50:0.25:0.18), femur of midleg 2.85 mm, tibia 3.4 mm, tarsus 3.7 mm, femur of hind leg 2.8 mm, tibia 4.1 mm, tarsus 3.25 mm (1.35:1.00:0.45:0.25:0.20), abdomen 4.4 mm.

#### Tanyochoreta gen. nov.

TYPE SPECIES: Tanyochoreta integera sp. nov.

ETYMOLOGY: Greek, *tanyo*, elongate, *choreta* (the feminine gender), area, alluding to the discal cell.

SPECIES INCLUDED: *Tanyochoreta chifengica* sp. nov., besides the type species *Tanyochoreta integera* sp. nov.

DIAGNOSIS: Medium-sized winter crane flies, wing length 5.4 mm. Mouthparts with labella large, surrounding tip of labrum and hyphopharynx. Venationally,  $Sc_1$  about 0.7 times length of wing; d cell large (more than one-fifth length and width of wing), with its proximal angle moderately acute (some 55°); both  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$  almost in line at r-m; 1A about one-fifth length of wing, curved evenly to wing margin; anal lobe prominent. Legs with tibial spurs present; basitarsomere clearly shorter than second tarsomere (0.3–0.4 times length of second tarsomeres), joint between them simple, straight. Female with three small spermathecae. Ovipositor (cercus) conical, fleshy, tapering clearly to tip, not curved downward.

REMARKS: By the shortened basitarsomeres, which are markedly shorter than the second tarsomeres, this new genus differs from the Mesozoic and Recent genera *Eotrichocera*, *Archaeotrichocera* gen. nov., *Trichocera*, and *Diazosma*. On the other hand, *Tanyochoreta* gen. nov. shares close similarity in the length proportions of  $t_1/t_2$  (basitarsomere to second tarsomere) to those of Recent *Nothotrichocera* and *Paracladura*,

**Fig. 2.** Photographs of *Archaeotrichocera ephemera* sp. nov.: (*a*) holotype, NIGP DHG200398; (*b*) wing; (*c*) basal parts of tarsus of foreleg; (*d*) basal parts of tarsi of mid-leg and hind leg. tib, tibia;  $t_1$ , first tarsomere;  $t_2$ , second tarsomere;  $t_1/t_2$ , joint between first and second tarsomeres. All scale bars = 1 mm.



but it is distinct from the known genera in having the larger d cell, which is more than one-fifth the length and width of the wing. In *Nothotrichocera* and *Paracladura*, however, the d cell is smaller in area and usually one-seventh or one-eighth the length and width of the wing.

Kalugina (1985, 1986) described another two genera, *Mailo-trichocera* Kalugina, 1985 and *Paleotrichocera* Kalugina, 1986, from the Middle–Upper Jurassic of Transbakailia, Russia, and the Lower Cretaceous of western Mongolia, respectively. It is difficult to compare both genera with the present new genus because the important diagnosis of the length proportions of  $t_1/t_2$  is unknown. As far as the character of wing venation is concerned, *Mailotrichocera* bears shorter Sc, which is about 0.57 times the length of the wing, whereas *Paleotrichocera* apparently lacks  $R_2$  (a short crossvein-like vein between  $R_1$  and anterior branch of Rs). Nevertheless, Kalugina (1986) expressed an opinion that the absence of the vein on the *Paleotrichocera* may be due to incomplete preservation. Therefore, until such time as the type species from Russia

and Mongolia can be reinvestigated or new material recovered, a detailed comparison between these Mesozoic genera could not be done.

*Tanyochoreta integera* sp. nov. (Figs. 4, 5)

ETYMOLOGY: Greek, *integera* (the feminine gender), integrate, alluding to the status of specimens preserved.

HOLOTYPE: NIGP DHG200396, a nearly complete impression of female, lateral aspect. For locality and repository, see under *Archaeotrichocera ephemera* sp. nov.

DESCRIPTION: Head relatively small; eyes large, oval. Antennae filiform, tapering apically; scape and pedicel short, wide, nearly as long as wide, and darker in color than that of flagellomere, with first flagellomere shorter than second one, remaining flagellomeres gradually shortened and thinned apically. Palps with terminal three segments visible, last one



13

long, about two times longer than penultimates, and slightly longer than second one. Thorax correspondingly small, with strongly convex scutum dorsally. Wing correspondingly long and narrow (some 2.6 times longer than wide); venationally, Sc1 ending nearly at level of R2; Sc2 situated basad to level of midway of Rs stem; Rs arising from basal one third of wing, with Rs stem less than one-quarter length of wing;  $R_{2+3+4}$  nearly as long as  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $R_2$  some one-third length of  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $R_3$  nearly three times longer than  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $bR_5$  slightly shorter than crossvein r-m; d cell 2.6 times longer than wide, asymmetrically rhombic, its distal angle nearly orthogonal (some 85°), bM<sub>1+2</sub> longer than r-m, and clearly shorter than  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $dM_{1+2}$  somewhat shorter than  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $M_1$  twice as long as dM1+2; crossvein m-m as long as bM3; dM3 apparently shorter than length of d cell; M stem and M<sub>3+4</sub> slightly at an angle; both crossvein m-cu and bM<sub>3</sub> just in line; 1A about 0.23 times length of wing. Legs relatively thin and long, covered with dense hairs; a single tibial spur of foreleg present but rather small, tarsus clearly longer than tibia, basitarsomere about 0.4 times length of second tarsomere; midleg with tibial spur not visible (probably poorly preserved), tarsus slightly longer than tibia, basitarsomere about 0.3 times length of second tarsomere; hind leg obviously long, with tibial spur not visible, tarsus incomplete (terminal parts missing). Abdomen relatively stout and long, subcylindrical, with last abdominal segment tapering terminally. Spermathecae circular. Ovipositor small (distinctly shorter than eighth abdominal segment).

Length of head 0.5 mm, antenna, as preserved, 1.8 mm, thorax 1.4 mm, wing 5.4 mm; width of wing 2.1 mm; length of femur of foreleg 1.7 mm, tibia 2.3 mm, tarsus 2.65 mm (0.50:1.20:0.50:0.30:0.15), femur of midleg 2.0 mm, tibia 2.3 mm, tarsus 2.27 mm (0.40:1.20:0.30:0.20:0.17), femur of hind leg 2.6 mm, tibia 3.5 mm, tarsus, as preserved, 2.9 mm, abdomen 5.8 mm.

REMARKS: For comparison, see under *Tanyochoreta chifengica* sp. nov.

Fig. 4. Photographs of *Tanyochoreta integera* sp. nov.: (a) holotype, NIGP DHG200396; (b) head; (c) tarsus of foreleg; (d) tarsus of midleg; (e) female genitalia. All scale bars = 1 mm.



**Fig. 5.** Camera lucida line drawings of *Tanyochoreta integera* sp. nov., specimen NIGP DHG200396: (*a*) trichocerid fly; (*b*) head; (*c*) wing; (*d*) tarsus of foreleg; (*e*) tarsus of midleg; (*f*) female genitalia. h, hyphopharynx; lb, labella; lr, labrum; p, palp. All scale bars = 1 mm.



Zhang

*Tanyochoreta chifengica* sp. nov. (Figs. 6, 7)

ETYMOLOGY: After Chifeng City (Inner Mongolia, China) where the fossil was collected.

HOLOTYPE: NIGP DHG200397, a nearly complete impression of female, head and thorax nearly lateral aspect, wings and abdomen nearly dorsoventrical aspect. For locality and repository, see under *Archaeotrichocera ephemera* sp. nov.

DESCRIPTION: Head small, almost completely covered by scutum, with moderately large, suboval eyes. Antennae filiform, tapering apically; scape and pedicel wider than long; first flagellomere longest, about two times longer than second one, third through seventh somewhat shortened apically, and slightly longer than second. Thorax correspondingly small, with clearly convex scutum dorsally. Wing correspondingly short and wide (some 2.2 times longer than wide); venationally, Sc<sub>1</sub> ending nearly at level of R<sub>2</sub>; Sc<sub>2</sub> situated distad to level of midway of Rs stem; Rs arising from basal one-quarter of wing, with Rs stem nearly one-third length of wing;  $R_{2+3+4}$ nearly as long as  $R_{2+3}$ , which is three times longer than  $R_2$ ;  $R_3$  nearly 3.5 times longer than  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $bR_5$  about one-half length of crossvein r-m; bM<sub>1+2</sub> 1.7 times longer than r-m and 0.6 times longer than  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $dM_{1+2}$  nearly two-thirds length of  $mM_{1+2}$ ,  $M_1$  2.5 times longer than  $dM_{1+2}$ ; crossvein m-m slightly shorter than bM<sub>3</sub>; dM<sub>3</sub> apparently shorter than length of d cell; d cell widened (some one-quarter width of wing; and two times longer than wide), asymmetrically rhombic, with its proximal angle moderately acute (some 50°), distal one forming an obtuse angle (some 105°), both  $bM_{1\!+\!2}$  and  $mM_{1\!+\!2}$  as well as M stem and  $M_{3\!+\!4}$  slightly at an angle; m-cu meeting M3+4 before its fork; 1A weak and thin, about one-quarter length of wing. Halter spoon-shaped, with its handle markedly thin and long. Legs thin, long, and covered with dense hairs; midleg with tibiae slightly shorter than tarsi, tibial spur not visible (probably poorly preserved); first tarsomere about 0.47 times length of second one, joint between them straight; hind leg strongly elongated, with a single tibial spur visible, basitarsomere nearly 0.41 times length of second tarsomere, joint between them almost straight. Abdomen subcylindrical, with last abdominal segment tapering terminally. Spermathecae small. Ovipositor as long as eighth abdominal segment, elongate-triangular, and tapering clearly to tip.

Length of head 0.3 mm, antenna 2.0 mm, thorax 1.7 mm, wing 6.0 mm; width of wing 2.7 mm; length of femur of midleg 1.9 mm, tibia 2.0 mm, tarsus 2.08 mm (0.42:0.90:0.40:0.20:0.16), femur of hind leg 2.6 mm, tibia 3.0 mm, tarsus 2.57 mm (0.53: 1.30:0.40:0.20:0.14), abdomen 6.1 mm.

REMARKS: *Tanyochoreta chifengica* sp. nov. can be distinguished from *T. ephemera* sp. nov. by the longer first flagellomere, which is two times longer than second flagellomere, the shorter and wider wing, which is 2.2 times longer than wide, the wider d cell, which is about one-quarter width of wing, and the crossvein m-cu, which links up with  $M_{3+4}$  before its fork.

Genus Sinotrichocera gen. nov.

TYPE SPECIES: Sinotrichocera parva sp. nov.

ETYMOLOGY: Greek, *Sino*, China, *trichocera* (the feminine gender), the Recent genus *Trichocera*.

SPECIES INCLUDED: The type species only.

DIAGNOSIS: Small-sized winter crane flies, wing length 3.4 mm. Venationally, Sc<sub>1</sub> about 0.7 times length of wing; d cell large (about one-fifth length of wing), with its proximal angle moderately acute (some 55°); both  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$  clearly flexed at r-m; 1A short, about 0.12 times length of wing, curved evenly to wing margin but nearly vertical to longitudinal axis of wing; anal lobe markedly wide. Legs with tibial spurs present; basitarsomere clearly shorter than second tarsomere (some 0.5 times length of second tarsomeres), joint between them articulated obliquely. Female with three spermathecae. Ovipositor conical, fleshy, not curved downward.

REMARKS: *Sinotrichocera* gen. nov. shows the closest resemblance in structure of leg and wing venation to that of *Tanyochoreta* gen. nov., but differs from the latter genus by the following aspects: both  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$  are clearly flexed at r-m; 1A becomes very short (about 0.12 times length of wing) and runs nearly vertical to longitudinal axis of wing; joint between basitarsomere and second tarsomere is articulated obliquely; body is quite small (wing length 3.4 mm).

*Sinotrichocera parva* sp. nov. (Figs. 8, 9)

ETYMOLOGY: Latin, *parva* (the feminine gender), small, alluding to the size of this species.

HOLOTYPE: NIGP DHG200399, a nearly complete impression of female, lateral aspect. For locality and repository, see under *Archaeotrichocera ephemera* sp. nov.

DESCRIPTION: Head correspondingly large, with moderately large, oval eyes. Antennae filiform, tapering apically; scape and pedicel short and wide, nearly as long as wide; basal three flagellomeres nearly identical in length, remaining flagellomeres gradually shortened and thinned apically. Thorax relatively massive, with strongly convex scutum dorsally. Wing long and narrow (2.8 times longer than wide); venationally, Sc1 ending basad to level of R2; Sc2 situated distad of midway of Rs stem; Rs arising from basal one third of wing, with Rs stem more than one-quarter length of wing,  $R_{2+3+4}$  less than one-half length of  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $R_{2+3}$  five times longer than  $R_2$ ;  $R_3$  two times longer than  $R_{2+3}$ ;  $bR_5$  as long as r-m;  $bM_{1+2}$  meeting  $mM_{1+2}$  at an angle, two times longer than r-m, and one-half length of  $mM_{1+2}$ , m-m nearly vertical to  $mM_{1+2}$ and  $dM_{1+2}$ ; M stem and  $M_{3+4}$  slightly at an angle. Halter spoon-shaped, with correspondingly short handle. Legs relatively short and stout, covered with dense hairs; a single tibial spur of foreleg present but rather small, tarsus with terminal parts missing, basitarsomere some one-half length of second tarsomere; hind leg with femora and tibiae probably identical in length, and distinctly longer than tarsi, a single tibial spur visible, basitarsomere slightly shorter than one-half length of second one. Abdomen correspondingly stout and long, subcylindrical, with last abdominal segment tapering terminally.



**Fig. 6.** Photographs of *Tanyochoreta chifengica* sp. nov.: (a) holotype, NIGP DHG200397; (b) head; (c) left wing; (d) right wing; (e) tarsus of foreleg; (f) tarsus of hind leg. All scale bars = 1 mm.

Spermathecae moderately large. Ovipositor small (clearly shorter than eighth abdominal segment).

Length of head 0.24 mm, antenna, as preserved, 1.2 mm, thorax 1.1 mm, wing 3.4 mm; width of wing 1.2 mm; length of femur of foreleg 1.3 mm, tibia 1.6 mm, tarsus, as preserved, 1.3 mm, femur of midleg 1.3 mm, tibia 1.3 mm, femur of hind leg 1.7 mm, tibia 2.0 mm, tarsus, 1.47 mm (0.30:0.60:0.30:0.16:0.11), abdomen 3.6 mm.

## Discussion

It is difficult to classify the fossil Trichoceridae based only on the wing venation because it is not treated as a main feature in the taxonomy of recent winter crane flies. Fortunately, the fossil material recovered from the Jurassic of China contains almost complete impressions of sexual trichocerids that show many well-preserved characteristics of body structures. Recently, Krzeminska (1992*a*, 1992*b*, 1997, 2001) and Pratt (1992, 2003) proposed the diagnoses of some Recent trichocerid taxa, which are followed partially in the present study to separate these new genera from the extant and fossil forms:

- (1) Mouthparts The relationship between labrum, hyphopharynx, and labella.
- (2) Wing venation The characters of proximal angle of d

cell; flexing of  $bM_{1+2}$  and  $mM_{1+2}$  at r-m; length and aspect of 1A; and anal lobe.

- (3) Legs The length ratio of basitarsomere and second tarsomere; the structure character of joint between them; and the tibial spurs.
- (4) Structure characters of male and female terminalia.

On the basis of these diagnostic characters, the genera *Archaeotrichocera* gen. nov., *Tanyochoreta* gen. nov., and *Sinotrichocera* gen. nov. may be well founded and easily distinguished from other known extant and extinct genera. However, some supplementary characters have been found to be treated for defining fossil genera:

- (5) Size of d cell All taxa described herein share markedly enlarged d cells. This state also occurs in other Mesozoic genera from Russia and Mongolia (viz. *Mailotrichocera* and *Paleotrichocera*). Thus, this feature of enlarged d cells is most likely symplesiomorphic in the evolutionary lineage of Trichoceridae.
- (6) Other wing vein Sc<sub>1</sub> (in relation to wing length).
- (7) Size of fly (wing length).

*Mesotrichocera laiyangensis* from the uppermost Jurassic – lowest Cretaceous Laiyang Formation in Shandong, China, was previously recognized as a member of winter crane flies. The species has a peculiar wing venation, however: very narrow costal area; much weaker and thinner Sc; absence of





 $R_2$ ; evidently elongated  $R_{2+3+4}$ , which is clearly longer than  $R_3$  and  $R_4$ ; and much shortened and widened d cell and flexuously curved  $M_{3+4}$  (Hong and Wang 1990, p. 122, fig. 6-5-87). These characters suggest that the species does not belong to Trichoceridae.

#### Daohugou biota

Lately (from 2000 to 2004), thousands of animal and plant specimens have been discovered from the Daohugou Formation in the vicinity of Daohugou, Ningcheng, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, China. The Daohugou biota comprises seven new vertebrate species. Among them, unlike other freshwater sedimentary rocks, fish are entirely absent. In the invertebrate fauna, four known conchostracan species within a single known genus are identified. Numerous insects are recovered, namely mayflies (Ephemeroptera), dragonflies, damselflies (Odonata), cockroaches (Blattaria), grasshoppers (Orthoptera), earwigs (Dermaptera), stoneflies (Plecoptera), cicadas, hoppers, bugs (Hemiptera), thrips (Thysanoptera), beetles (Coleoptera), snakeflies (Raphidioptera), lacewings (Neuroptera), scorpionflies (Mecoptera), flies (Diptera), sawflies, and parasitic wasps (Hymenoptera); nevertheless, most have not yet been treated taxonomically, with the exception of long-horned flies (Nematocera) of Diptera, in which nine species referable to four genera within four families have been normally described, besides two species referable to two genera within one family of short-horned flies (Brachycera) recorded. Meanwhile, the following taxa have been recovered: a single adult dragonfly species referable to a single genus, which was mistakenly discovered in the Jehol biota (younger in age than that of Daohugou biota) (Fleck and Nel 2002); two new earwig species in two new genera; one new species of one new genus referable to one new hymenopteran family; and 14 neuropteran species referable to 11 genera of five families. A few spiders and some bivalves are also collected but not further authenticated. In the botanic assemblage, the representatives referable to six genera have been determined (see the following list).

A list of taxa described from the Daohugou biota is as follows:

# Vertebrata Class Amphibia Order Orodela Family Cryptobranchidae *Chunerpeton tianyiensis* Gao et Shubin, 2003 Family incertae sedis *Jelolotriton tianyiensis* Gao et Shubin, 2003

Liaoxitriton daohugouensis Wang, 2004

Class Reptilia

Order Pterosauria

Family Rhamphorhynchidae

Pterorhychus wellnhoferi Czerkas et Ji, 2002

Fig. 8. Photographs of *Sinotrichocera parva* gen. et sp. nov.: (a) holotype, NIGP DHG200399; (b) head; (c) wing; (d) incomplete tarsus of foreleg; (e) tarsi of mid-leg and hind leg. All scale bars = 1 mm.



Family Anurognathidae Jeholopterus ningchengensis Wang, Zhou, Zhang et Xu. 2002 Order Saurischia Family incertae sedis Epidendrosaurus ningchengensis Zhang, Zhou, Xu et Wang, 2002 Pedopenna daohugouensis Xu et Zhang, 2005 Invertebrata Class Branchiopoda Order Conchostraca Family Estheriellidae Euestheria ziliujingensis Chen, 1976 Euestheria haifanggouensis Chen, 1976 Euestheria jingyuanensis Chen, 1976 Euestheria luanpingensis Shen et Niu, 1987 Class Insecta Order Odonata Family Campterophlebiidae Bellabrunetia catherinae Fleck et Nel, 2002 Order Dermaptera Family Sinopapaeodermatidae Sinopapaeodermata neimonggolensis Zhang, 2002 Jurassimedeola orientalis Zhang, 2002 Order Neuroptera Family Kalligrammatidae

Kallihemerobius pleioneurus Ren et Oswald, 2002 Sinokalligramma jurassicum Zhang, 2003 Family Polystoechotidae Meilingius giganteus Ren, Engel et Lu, 2002 Jurapolystoechotes melanolomus Ren, Engel et Lu, 2002 Family Grammoplingiidae Grammolingia boi Ren, 2002 Litholingia rhora Ren, 2002 Litholingia eumorpha Ren, 2002 Litholingia polychotoma Ren, 2002 Leptolingia jurassica Ren, 2002 Leptolingia tianyiensis Ren, 2002 Family Epiosmylidae Epiosmylus panfilovi Ren et Yi, 2002 Family Osmylidae Saucrosmylus sambneurus Ren et Yin, 2003 Rudiosmylus ningchengensis Ren et Yin, 2003 Laccosmylus calophlebius Ren et Yin, 2003 Order Diptera Family Eoptychopteridae Eoptychoptera ansorgei Ren et Krzemiński, 2002 Eoptychoptera jurassica Ren et Krzemiński, 2002 Eoptychopterina elenae Ren et Krzemiński, 2002 Eoptychopterina gigantea Zhang, 2004 Family Tanyderidae

**Fig. 9.** Camera lucida line drawings of *Sinotrichocera parva* gen. et sp. nov., specimen NIGP DHG200399: (*a*) trichocerid fly; (*b*) wing; (*c*) incomplete tarsus of foreleg; (*d*) tarsus of hind leg; (*e*) joint between tibia and tarsus (second through fifth tarsomeres missing). All scale bars = 1 mm.



Praemacrochile chinensis Krzemiński et Ren, 2001 Praemacrochile vulcanium Zhang, 2004 Family Limoniidae Architipula chinensis Zhang, 2004 Family Axymyiidae Psocites pectinatus (Hong, 1983) Psocites fossilis Zhang, 2004 Family Archisargidae Mesosolva daohugouensis Zhang et Zhang, 2003 Archirhagio striatus Zhang et Zhang, 2003 Order Hymenoptera Family Daohugoiidae Daohugoia tobiasi Rasnitsyn et Zhang, 2004 Plantae Class Filicopsida Order Filicales Family Osmundaceae Cladophlebis (Osmunda?) sp. Class Bennetitopsida Order Bennettitales Family incertae sedis

Anomozamites haifanggouensis (Kimura et al.) Zheng et Zhang, 2002 Anomozamites (Trymia?) sp. Class Pinopsida Order Pinales Family Pinaceae Cycadolepis sp. Pityocladus sp. Class Ginkgoopsida Order Ginkoales Family Ginkoaceae Ginkgoites (cf. Ginkgo coriacea Florin) Class Coniferopsida Order Coniferales Family incertae sedis Elatocladus (Yanliaoia)? sp.

The larval mayflies and water boatmen (Corixidae, Heteroptera, Hemiptera) are the dominating groups in the Daohugou biota in terms of specimen abundance. Two undescribed new forms of mayflies that can be assigned to an extinct family Hexagenitidae and a new unknown family are present (Figs. 10a-10d), although these were mistakenly assigned to two known species from Russia, namely *Mesobaetis sibirica* Brauer, Redtenbacher et Ganglbauer, 1889 and *Mesoneta antiqua* Brauer, Redtenbacher et Ganglbauer, 1889 (Ren et al. 2002), respectively. Like other Mesozoic mayfly larvae,



**Fig. 10.** Photographs of (a, b) one new undescribed larval mayfly (Hexagenitidae); (c, d) one new undescribed larval mayfly (unnamed new family); (e) *Brianina longitibialis* Zhang and Lukashevich (2006), holotype, NIGP DHG200406; and (f) *Megathon brodskyi* Zhang and Lukashevich (2006), holotype, NIGP DHG200407. All scale bars = 1 mm.

both Daohugou forms may be lacustrine rather than rheophilous (J. Zhang and N.J. Kluge, in preparation)<sup>1</sup>, although Ren et al. (1996) and Tan and Ren (2002) determined that they are lotic. Also, some rare extant groups of true flies, namely the net-winged midges (Blephariceridae) (Figs. 10e, 10f), coexisted with the winter crane flies (Trichoceridae). Biostratigraphic, taphonomic, and sedimentological data indicate that, during the Jurassic (Callovian-Oxfordian), the Daohugou biota lived in a small, shallow, low-energy freshwater lake, enveloped by luxurious everglades, streams, and forests with volcanoes nearby. The lake hosted a large number of larval mayflies, water boatmen, and conchostracans and some larvae of dragonflies, damselflies, and bivalves. Caudate amphibians, the largest aquatic animal, might be the dominator in the aquatic ecosystem. They could catch almost all the aquatic insects, and sometimes could catch terrestrial insects when on land. On the other hand, the aquatic insects are also

mainly predaceous on minute or small insect larvae, but some smaller species might feed on algae and minute organisms. Various terrestrial groups of insects are mesic or sylvatic, such as the adult mayflies, dragonflies, damselflies, cockroaches, grasshoppers, earwigs, stoneflies, cicadas, hoppers, bugs, beetles, lacewings, scorpionflies, flies, sawflies, and parasitic wasps, where the climates were warm temperate. Their natural enemies might be the pterosaurs in the sky and the maniraptors on land that could climb trees. The netwinged midges, winter crane flies, and snakeflies were probably confined to temperate or correspondingly cool mountains. The former, like their living relative, presumably did not travel far from their breeding sites, i.e., rapidly flowing waters (Zhang and Lukashevich in press); the winter crane flies probably lived in caverns, hollow trees, and other dark places in forest or mountains; and snakeflies could have lived at high altitudes in the mountains. Of course, this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Zhang, J. and Kluge, N.J. Jurassic larvae of mayflies (Insecta: Ephemeroptera from the Daohugou Formation in Inner Mongolia, China. In preparation.

deduction of paleoenvironment, paleoclimate, and palaeoecological characteristics reconstructed for Daohugou is only beginning to emerge, and further investigations are necessary, especially of the biostratigraphical data and insect classification.

#### Age of the Daohugou Formation

The geological age of the Daohugou Formation has been the focus of much debate. It has been dated variously as Early Cretaceous (Wang 2000; Wang et al. 2000, 2002), Late Jurassic - Early Cretaceous (Wang 2004), Late Jurassic (Ji and Yuan 2002; Zhang et al. 2002), late Aalenian - early Bajocian (Ren et al. 2002), Bajocian - middle Bathonian (Shen et al. 2003), Bathonian (Gao and Shubin 2003), and Middle Jurassic (Rasnitsyn and Zhang 2004a, 2004b; P. Chen et al. 2004). The entomofaunas of the Daohugou and Karabastau (Karatau, Kazakhstan) formations, however, are very similar in composition of taxa and age (Oxfordian or Kimmeridgian). It remains debatable whether the age extends to the Callovian (Zhang 2002*a*, 2002*b*, 2003, 2004*a*). Recently, radiometric dating gave an age of about 165-159 Ma for the ignimbrite overlying the Daohugou Formation (Gao and Shubin 2003; Chen and Zhang 2004; W. Chen et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2004; He et al. 2004). The age coincides approximately with Callovian–Oxfordian (164.7–155.7 Ma) (Gradstein et al. 2004). This suggests that the age of Daohugou Formation is Callovian or Oxfordian rather than late Aalenian – mid Bathonian (173.6–166.2 Ma) because the "golden spike" at the Aalenian-Bajocian boundary is dated at 171.6 Ma (Gradstein et al. 2004), which is much older than the Daohugou Formation. Previous interpretation of an Early Cretaceous age for the Daohugou Formation is probably incorrect because the isotope dating of Daohugou Formation is much older than 145.5 Ma, the boundary of the Jurassic and Cretaceous (Gradstein et al. 2004). The Daohugou, Haifanggou, and Karabastau formations are largely correlative because a terrestrial axymyiid fly, Psocites pectinatus, has recently been recovered from the first two formations (Zhang 2004b). Also, a single specimen of Axymyiidae has been recovered from the Karabastau Formation (Blagoderov et al. 2002). These axymyiid impressions are the exclusive records of this group worldwide. In addition, many other Daohugou species of insects described show a close relationship to those from the Karatau (Kazakhstan) and Shara-Teg (Mongolia) localities (both traditionally as Late Jurassic), according to the taxonomic comparison of different authors in various articles (Krzemiński and Ren 2001; Ren and Krzemiński 2002; Ren and Yi 2002; Zhang 2002b, 2004a, 2004c; Zhang and Zhang 2003; Rasnitsyn and Zhang 2004b). This conclusion can apply in many species of true flies: Archirhagio stratus, Eoptychoptera ansorgei, Eoptychoptera jurassica, Eoptychopterina elenae, Eo. gigantea, Praemacrochile chinensis, and P. vulcanium. In addition, Epiosmylus panfilovi, a lacewing-like insect, is closely related to Ep. longicornis Panfilov, 1980; both become the exclusive representatives within the extinct family Epiosmylidae in the world.

#### Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Elena D. Lukashevich (Palaeontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia), Dr. Vladimir A. Blagoderov (Department of Entomology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA), and Drs. Wieslaw Krzemiński and Ewa Krzeminska (Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland) for critical remarks in a previous version of the manuscript. The research was supported by the Pilot Project of the Knowledge Innovation Program, Academia Sinica (grant KZCX2-SW-129), and the Major Basic Research Projects of the Ministry of Science and Technology, China (grant G2000077700).

### References

- Blagoderov, V.A., Lukashevich, E.D., and Mostovski, M.B. 2002. Order Diptera Linn 1758. The true flies (= Muscida Laicharting, 1781). *In* History of insects. *Edited by* A.P. Rasnitsyn and D.L.J. Quicke. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 227–240.
- Chen, W., and Zhang, Y. 2004. Isotope geochronology. *In* Mesozoic Jehel biota of western Liaoning, China. *Edited by* Q. Ji. Geological Publishing House, Beijing, China, pp. 95–158. (In Chinese.)
- Chen, P., Wang, Q., Zhang, H., Cao, M., Li, W., Wu, S., and Shen, Y. 2004. Jianshangou Bed of the Yixian Formation in West Liaoning, China. Science in China Series D Earth Science, 34: 883–895. (In Chinese.)
- Chen, W., Ji, Q., Liu, D., Zhang, Y., Song, B., and Liu, X. 2004.
  Isotope geochronology of the fossil-bearing beds in the Daohugou area, Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia. Geological Bulletin of China, 23: 1165–1169. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Dahl, C., and Alexander, C.P. 1976. A world catalogue of Trichoceridae Kertész, 1902 (Diptera). Entomologica Scandinavica, 7: 7–18.
- Evenhuis, N.L. 1994. Catalogue of the fossil flies of the world (Insecta: Diptera). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- Fleck, G., and Nel, A. 2002. The first isophlebioid dragonfly (Odonata: Isophlebioptera: Campterophlebiidae) from the Mesozoic of China. Palaeontology, 45: 1123–1136.
- Gao, K., and Shubin, N.H. 2003. Earliest known crow-group salamanders. Nature (London), **422**: 424–428.
- Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., and Smith, A.G. 2004. A geological time scale 2004. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- He, H.Y., Wang, X.L., Zhou, Z.H., Zhu, R.X., Jin, F., Wang, F., Ding, X., and Boven, A. 2004. <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating of ignimbrite from Inner Mongolia, northeastern China, indicates a post-Middle Jurassic age for the overlying Daohugou Bed. Geophysical Research Letters, **31**: L20609.
- Hong, Y., and Wang, W. 1990. 5. Insect fossils from the Laiyang Formation. *In* The Stratigraphy and palaeontology of Laiyang basin, Shandong Province. *Edited by* The Regional Geological Surveying Team, Shandong Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources. Geological Publishing House, Beijing, China, pp. 44–189. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Ji, Q., and Yuan, C. 2002. Discovery of two kinds of protofeathered pterosaurs in the Mesozoic Daohugou biota in the Ningcheng Region and its stratigraphic and biologic significances. Geological Review, 48: 221–224. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Kalugina, N.S. 1985. Infraorders Psychodomorpha, Tipulomorpha and Culicomorpha. *In* Dipterous insects of Jurassic Siberia. *Edited* by N.S. Kalugina and V.G. Kavalev. Academia Nauka, Moscow, Russia, pp. 33–113. (In Russian.)
- Kalugina, N.S. 1986. Muscida (= Diptera), Infraorder Tipulomorpha and Culicomorpha. *In* Insects in Early Cretaceous ecosystems of west Mongolia. *Edited by* A.P. Rasnitsyn. Hayka Press, Moscow, Russia, pp. 112–125. (In Russian.)

- Krzeminska, E. 1992a. Morphometric study of wing venation in the recent Trichoceridae — an application to the fossils? Acta zoologica cracoviensia, 35: 53–65.
- Krzeminska, E. 1992b. Paracladurinae new subfamily (Diptera, Trichoceridae). Acta zoologica cracoviensia, 35: 73–78.
- Krzeminska, E. 1997. Shape and length of the first tarsomere in Trichoceridae (Diptera, Nematocera). Bulletin de la Société Neuchâteloise des Sciences Naturelles, **120**: 137–144.
- Krzeminska, E. 2001. Nothotrichocera Alexander (Diptera: Trichoceridae): new species, redescriptions and phylogenetic relationships within the genus. Invertebrate Taxonomy, 15: 205–216.
- Krzemiński, W., and Evenhuis, N.L. 2000. Review of Diptera palaeontological records. *In* Contribution to a manual of Palaearctic Diptera (with special reference to flies of economic importance). Vol. 1. *Edited by* L. Papp and B. Darvas. Science Herald, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 535–564.
- Krzemiński, W., and Ren, D. 2001. *Praemacrochile chinensis* sp. n. from the Middle Jurassic of China (Diptera: Tanyderidae). Polskie Pismo Entomologiczen, **70**: 127–129.
- Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Li, P., Zhang, H., Zhang, L., Li, Y., and Xia, H. 2004. Daohugou biota-bearing lithostratigraphic succession on the southeastern margin of the Ningcheng basin, Inner Mongolia, and its geochronology. Geological Bulletin of China, 23: 1180–1185. (In Chinese with English summary).
- Oosterbroek, P. 2004. Citing online sources: 3. The Family Trichoceridae. *In* Catalog of the Diptera of the Australasian and Oceanian regions Web Version. *Edited by* N.L. Evenhuis. Available from http://hbs.bishopmuseum.org/aocat/trichoceridae.html (cited 25 September 2004).
- Podenas, S. 2001. Trichoceridae (Diptera) from Baltic Amber (Eocene) in the collection of the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Neuchatel, Switzerland. Bulletin de la Société Neuchâteloise des Sciences Naturelles, **124**: 131–147.
- Pratt, H.D. 1992. Key to the winter crane flies of North America (Diptera: Trichoceridae). Acta zoologica cracoviensia, 35: 79–85.
- Pratt, H.D. 2003. The winter crane flies of North America north of Mexico (Diptera: Trichoceridae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, **105**: 901–914.
- Rasnitsyn, A.P., and Zhang, H. 2004*a*. A new family, Daohugoidae fam. n., of siricomorph hymenopteran (Hymenoptera = Vespida) from the Middle Jurassic of Daohugou in Inner Mongolia (China). Proceedings of the Russian Entomological Society, St. Petersburg, **75**: 12–16.
- Rasnitsyn, A.P., and Zhang, H. 2004b. Composition and age of the Daohugou hymenopteran (Insecta, Hymenoptera = Vespida) assemblage from Inner Mongolia, China. Palaeontology, 47: 1507–1517.
- Ren, D., and Krzemiński, W. 2002. Eoptychopteridae (Diptera) from the Middle Jurassic of China. Annales Zoologici (Warszawa), 52: 207–210.
- Ren, D., and Yi, S. 2002. A new Middle Jurassic species of *Epiosmylus* from Inner Mongolia, China. Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica, 27: 274–277. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Ren, D., Lu, L., Ji, S., Guo, Z., Yin, J., and Han, Y. 1996. Late Mesozoic fauna assemblages of Yanliao area, north China, and its paleoecological and paleogeographical significance. Acta Geoscientia Sinica, 17(Supplement): 148–154. (In Chinese.)
- Ren, D., Gao, K., Guo, Z., Ji, S., Tan, J., and Song, Z. 2002. Stratigraphic division of the Jurassic in the Daohugou area,

Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia. Geological Bulletin of China, **21**: 584–591. (In Chinese with English summary.)

- Shcherbakov, D.E., Lukashevich, E.D., and Blagoderov, V.A. 1995. Triassic Diptera and initial radiation of the order. International Journal of Dipterological Research, 6(2): 75–115.
- Shen, Y., Chen, P., and Huang, D. 2003. Age of the fossil conchostracans from Daohugou of Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia. Journal of Stratigraphy, 27: 311–313. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Tan, J., and Ren, D. 2002. Palaeoecology of insect community from Middle Jurassic Jiulongshan Formation in Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, China. Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica, 27: 428–434. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Wang, Y. 2000. A new salamander (Amphibia: Caudata) from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota. Vertebrata PalAsiatica, 38: 100–103. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Wang, Y. 2004. A new Mesozoic caudate (*Liaoxitriton daohugouensis* sp. nov.) from Inner Mongolia, China. Chinese Science Bulletin, 49: 858–860.
- Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., Zhou, Z., Jin, F., Hu, Y., Gu, G., and Zhang, H. 2000. Vertebrate biostratigraphy of the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation in Lingyuan, western Liaoning, and its neighboring southern Nei Mongol (Inner Mongolia), China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica, 38: 81–99. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Wang, X., Zhou, Z., and Zhang, F. 2002. Discovery of the feathered pterosaur from Jehol biota. Chinese Science Bulletin, 47: 54–58. (In Chinese.)
- Wootton, R.J., and Ennos, A.R. 1989. The implications of function on the origin and homologies of the dipterous wing. Systematic Entomology, 14: 507–520.
- Zhang, J. 2002a. Discovery of Daohugou Biota (pre-Jehol Biota), with a discussion of its geological age. Journal of Stratigraphy, 26: 173–177, 215. (In Chinese with English summary.)
- Zhang, J. 2002b. The most primitive earwigs (Archidermaptera, Dermaptera, Insecta) from the Upper Jurassic of Nei Monggol Autonomous Region, northern China. Acta Micropalaeontologica Sinica, 19: 348–362.
- Zhang, J. 2003. Kalligrammatid lacewings from the Upper Jurassic Daohugou Formation in Inner Mongolia, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 77: 141–147.
- Zhang, J. 2004a. Nematoceran Dipterans from the Jurassic of China (Insecta: Diptera: Limoniidae, Tanyderidae). Paleontologicheskij zhurnal, 5: 53–57. (In Russian with English abstract.)
- Zhang, J. 2004*b*. First description of axymyiid fossils (Insecta: Diptera: Axymyiidae). Geobios, **37**: 797–805.
- Zhang, J. 2004c. A new gigantic species of *Eoptychopterina* (Diptera: Eoptychopteridae) from Jurassic of northeastern China. Oriental Insects, **38**: 173–178.
- Zhang, J., and Lukashevich, E.D. In press. The oldest known net-winged midges (Insecta: Diptera: Blephariceridae) from Jurassic of northeastern China. Cretaceous Research.
- Zhang, J., and Zhang, H. 2003. Two new species of archisargids (Insecta: Diptera: Archisargidae) from the Upper Jurassic Daohugou Formation (Inner Mongolia, northeastern China). Paleontologicheskij zhurnal, 4: 72–75. (In Russian with English abstract.)
- Zhang, F., Zhou, Z., Xu, X., and Wang, X. 2002. A juvenile coelurosaurian theropod from China indicates arboreal habits. Naturwissenschaften, 89: 394–398.